4:14-CV1443 SNLJ

Transcript of: Thomas Jackson

Date: September 18, 2015

This transcript is printed on 100% recycled paper



515 Olive Street, Suite 300 St. Louis, MO 63101 (314) 241-6750 1-800-878-6750 Fax: (314) 241-5070

Email: schedule@goreperry.com
Internet: <<<www.goreperry.com>>>



Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

```
TINA MOORE, Individually and as Personal
                                                                 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF THOMAS JACKSON, taken
    Representative of the ESTATE OF JASON
                                                            2 on behalf of the Plaintiffs, at the offices of
    MOORE, DELORES MOORE, and
                                                            3 Pitzer Snodgrass, P.C., 100 South 4th Street,
    RENEE ROGERS, as Next Friend for
                                                            4 Suite 400, in the City of St. Louis, State of
    A.D.R., a Minor,
                                                             5 Missouri, between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and
                                                             6 2:55 p.m., on the 18th day of September, 2015,
                    PLAINTIFF(s),
                                                            7 before Nancy N. Abdallah, RPR, MO-CCR #888, and
                                                             8 Notary Public.
    vs.
                                                           1.0
    BRIAN KAMINSKI, ET AL.,
                                                           11
                                                            12
                    DEFENDANT(s).
                                                           1.3
                                                           14
                                                            1.5
    VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF THOMAS JACKSON
    SEPTEMBER 18, 2015
                                                            17
                                                            18
                                                            19
                                                            21
                                                            2.2
                                                            23
                                                            24
                                                            25
                                                                                                                 4
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                             1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 2
          FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
                   EASTERN DIVISION
                                                             3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF DELORES MOORE AND RENEE ROGERS, AS
                                                             4 NEXT FRIEND OF A.D.R., A MINOR:
 5 TINA MOORE, Individually and as Personal
                                                               Todd M. Johnson, Esq.
 6 Representative of the ESTATE OF JASON
                                                               Baty, Holm, Numrich & Otto, P.C.
 7 MOORE, DELORES MOORE, and
                                                               4600 Madison Avenue, Suite 210
 8 RENEE ROGERS, as Next Friend for
                                                             8 Kansas City, MO 64122
9 A.D.R., a Minor,
                                                             9 816.531.7200
10
                                                            10 tjohnson@batholm.com
11
               PLAINTIFF(s),
12
                                                            12 FOR THE PLAINTIFF TINA MOORE:
                            Case Nos. 4:14-CV1443 SNLJ
13 vs.
                                                            13 William T. Dowd, Esq
                                      4:14-CV1447 SNLJ
                                                            14 Dowd & Dowd, P.C.
15 BRIAN KAMINSKI, ET AL.,
                                      (Consolidated)
                                                            15 211 North Broadway, Suite 4050
16
                                                            16 St. Louis, MO 63102
17
               DEFENDANT(s).
                                                            17 314.621.2500
18
                                                            18 bill@dowdlaw.net
19
                                                            19
2.0
                                                            20
21
                                                            21
22
                                                            22
23 //
                                                            2.3
24 //
                                                            24
25
                                                            25
```

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

5	7
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: (CONTINUED)	1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record at
2	2 9:20 a.m. Today's date is September 18th,
3 FOR THE DEFENDANT(s):	3 2015. This is the deposition of Chief Thomas
4 Robert T. Plunkert, Esq.	4 Jackson, to be taken in the matter of Tina
5 Ida S. Shafaie, Esq.	5 Moore versus Brian Kaminski, et al.
6 Pitzer Snodgrass, P.C.	6 At this time would counsel please identify
7 100 South 4th Street, Suite 400	7 themselves for the record.
8 St. Louis, MO 63102	8 MR. JOHNSON: Todd Johnson for Plaintiffs
9 314.421.5545	9 Renee Rogers and Delores Moore.
10 plunkert@pspclaw.com	10 MR. DOWD: Bill Dowd also for Plaintiff
11 shafaie@pspclaw.com	11 Tina Moore.
12	12 MR. PLUNKERT: Bob Plunkert for the
13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER:	13 defendants.
14 Mr. Tom Nickeson	14 MS. SHAFAIE: Ida Shafaie for the
15	15 defendants.
16	16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Would the
17	court reporter please swear in the witness.
18	18 THOMAS JACKSON,
19	19 having been first duly sworn to testify the truth,
20	20 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the
21	21 case aforesaid, deposes and says in reply to oral
22	22 interrogatories, propounded as follows, to-wit:
23	23 EXAMINATION
24	24 QUESTIONS BY MR, JOHNSON: 25 O Sir, would you state your name for the
25	25 Q Sir, would you state your name for the
	0
6	8
1 INDEX	1 record.
2 PAGE	2 A Thomas Jackson.
3 Examination by Mr. Johnson 7	3 Q Mr. Jackson, Chief Jackson, my name is
4 Examination by Mr. Plunkert 206	4 Todd Johnson. I'm an attorney that's been retained
5 Examination by Mr. Johnson 207	5 by some family members of an individual that passed
6 Examination by Mr. Dowd 207	6 away in 2011. We're here this morning at your
7 Examination by Mr. Plunkert 241	7 attorney's office for you to give a deposition in
8 Examination by Mr. Dowd 244	8 that case that's now pending in federal court in
9 Examination by Mr. Johnson 250	9 St. Louis. Do you understand that, sir?
10 Examination by Mr. Dowd 255	10 A I do.
11	11 Q I'm going to ask you a series of questions
12 PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS	12 probably primarily about the operations of the
13 Deposition Exhibit 1 35	13 Ferguson Police Department and obviously when you
14 Deposition Exhibit 2 42	14 were chief of that department.
15 Deposition Exhibit 3 72 16 Deposition Exhibit 4 78	15 If you don't understand any of my
16 Deposition Exhibit 4 78 17 Deposition Exhibit 5 103	16 questions, sir, just ask me to repeat or rephrase my
18 Deposition Exhibit 6 117	17 question. Can we have that understanding?
19 Deposition Exhibit 7 120	18 A We do. 19 O Sir, if you don't ask me to repeat or
20 Deposition Exhibit 8 130	
21 Deposition Exhibit 9 145	20 rephrase my question, I'll presume that you 21 understand my question and you'll give me an answer
22 Deposition Exhibit 10 160	
23 Deposition Exhibit 11 180	22 to the best of your ability. 23 A Understood.
24	23 A Understood. 24 Q If you need to take break, please let me
	71
1 25 1Exhibits retained by Mr Dowd 1	25 know I'd he hanny to accommodate you in that
25 [Exhibits retained by Mr. Dowd.]	25 know, I'd be happy to accommodate you in that

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

9	11
1 request, okay? 2 A Yeah. 3 Q Verbal answers are preferred. 4 A Yes. I know, I know. 5 Q I know you've met with lawyers who 6 represent the defendants in this case. If you hear 7 me suggest that I need a verbal answer, sir, I'm not 8 trying to be rude, I just want to make sure that you 9 give a verbal answer so I know what you've said here 10 today. 11 A I understand. 12 Q We do have the benefit of a court 13 reporter, we have a videographer, but unlike 14 everyday conversation where you may nod your head or 15 cut me you off because you know what question's 16 coming, it's a little more literal process. 17 So I'll do my best also, sir, to let you 18 get your answer out, and I'd ask the same courtesy 19 to try and let me get my question out. I have a 20 tendency to drag on at times, but 21 A Okay. 22 Q I'll try and do that for you as well. 23 Can we have that understanding? 24 A We do. 25 MR. PLUNKERT: And I'll help out, too,	1 line up the part-time security. 2 Q Have you actually done projects with 3 Griffin since resigning at Ferguson? 4 A Yes, I have. 5 Q How many? 6 A One. 7 Q And where was that located? 8 A Well, two, but the second one we canceled, 9 we're not doing it, so 10 Q And where were the projects, sir? 11 A The first one was in Denver, outside of 12 Denver, and the second one was in Buffalo, New York. 13 Q And is this private security services 14 A Yes. 15 Q that are being furnished? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And where are Griffin's offices located? 18 A O'Fallon, Missouri. 19 Q And are you a principal or an owner of 20 that company, sir? 21 A No. Just an occasional employee. 22 Q Sure. On an as-needed basis when projects 23 come up? 24 A Yes. 25 Q When the projects occur, are you actually
whenever I can. MR. JOHNSON: That's right. We'll all it will be a joint effort. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Are you currently working, sir? A Not not really. I have sort of a part-time gig as a project manager for a personnel firm, but I don't have a project right now. Q Have you had law enforcement jobs since resigning with the Ferguson Police Department? A No. Q I understand that you resigned with the Ferguson Police Department last year or earlier this year? A It was this year, yes. Q It was March of 2015?	1 dispatched to the location and provide the services? A On the one the one project that went through, yes. Q And that was the one in Denver or Buffalo? A Yes, Denver. Q Denver. Okay. The last public agency in law enforcement you worked for was the Ferguson Police Department, correct? A Yes. Q And I understand your tenure at Ferguson was from approximately 2010 to 2015? A March to March, yes, sir. Q And when you were working in Ferguson, did you always hold the title of Chief of Police? A Yes, I did. Q And March to March, is there some term of
17 A It was. 18 Q And what's the name of the personnel firm 19 that you're doing work through or certain projects 20 with right now, sir? 21 A Griffin Personnel Group. 22 Q And what's the nature of their business? 23 What what do they do? 24 A They provide temporary security for 25 corporations that may be having job actions. I just	employment that runs annually as chief? A No. No. I was under no contract. Q Prior to working at Ferguson as police chief, you worked for the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department? A No, that's not correct. I worked for the St. Louis County Police Department. Q I'm sorry. You worked for the county police department as opposed to the city?

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

Thomas Jackson

15 13 1 A I did. Mizzou. So from '74 to '79. 2 **Q** And it looks like you worked there for Did you work at Howard County first? approximately 20 years? 3 I did, yeah. A Thirty-one. Did you work at Fayette? 4 Q 5 Thirty-one. 5 Mm-hmm. 6 But thank you. 6 Yeah? 0 7 O It won't be the last time I make a 7 Yeah. A 8 mathematical error. 8 **Q** I've been there. 9 What different departments or divisions 9 A Central Methodist College. 10 did you work at with the county police department, 10 **Q** Is that where you went to school, sir? 11 sir? 11 Yes. For the first two years. 12 A Started out in patrol. I worked 12 Q Then finished at Mizzou? undercover drug enforcement from '81 to '85. I was 13 A No. No. I just got my EMT license at in the tactical operations unit as an operator for Mizzou in the evening. 14 five years, from I believe '88 -- '87 to '92, was 15 Q And then you -- after working at Howard promoted, was a burglary sergeant, went back into 16 16 County in their county ambulance district, you then 17 tactical operations as a sergeant. worked for the EMS service out of Christian 17 18 I was also the chief helicopter pilot and Hospital? 18 19 flight instructor for the county. And my last 12 19 A I did. years I was deputy commander and then commander of 20 And were you an EMT? the Bureau of Drug Enforcement in the 21 **A** I was a paramedic. Multijurisdictional Drug Task Force. I think that's **Q** Paramedic. When did you obtain your 22 23 about it. certification as a paramedic? 23 24 Q How many years did you work patrol at the 24 Through Christian Hospital. 25 county? 25 In what year? 14 16 A Total, four as a patrolman, two as a **A** '76. At the time they had a program. sergeant, and less than one as a lieutenant. **Q** And then what training did you receive to **Q** And obviously for the people who are go into law enforcement with the county after your uneducated, it goes officer, then sergeant, then career working as an EMS -- or EMS worker? lieutenant, correct, as far as chain of command? **A** I went to the Metro Police Academy, 5 A Yes. 6 St. Louis Metro. **Q** I understand, prior to working at the Q And when did you graduate or obtain a county as an officer and then later above an officer certification from the academy? 8 9 grade, you worked as an EMS or an EMT? September of 1979. 10 A Yes. I was -- at the time I joined **Q** And then went to work directly for the St. Louis County Police Department I was a paramedic 11 county? supervisor at Christian Hospital. 12 A Yes. I was already employed by the county 13 **Q** During the period of time you worked for 13 when I was in the academy. 14 the county in law enforcement, did you also work 14 **Q** Ever certified on the use of a Taser? 15 either full or part time as an EMS? 15 A 16 16 A No, I did not. **Q** Ever apply for certification on the use of 17 **Q** So you went directly from the EMS 17 a Taser? 18 affiliated with Christian Hospital to the county in 18 A No. law enforcement? 19 Who hired you at Ferguson? Q 20 A Correct. 20 John Shaw. 21 Q And it looked like you worked as an EMS 21 **Q** Was Mr. Shaw the city manager the entire 22 worker back in the 1970s? 22 period of time you were at Ferguson? 23 A Actually, I went to college in '74 and 23 A He was. immediately started working for the county 24 Q And how did you become affiliated or ambulance, and that's where I got my EMT license at acquainted with Mr. Shaw or Ferguson that caused you

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

THOMAS JACKSON	September 18, 2013
17	19
to make the leap from the county to work at the Ferguson Police Department? A Well, the position for chief of police came open, I was eligible for retirement, you know, I had a long history with Ferguson, and applied for the position and was hired. Q Who did you interview with? A Many many people. There was an interview process with outside city managers. I couldn't tell you where they were from. And I interviewed with City Council and I interviewed with the staff, the department heads of the City of	1 A Initially it was just a conversation with 2 the mayor, James Knowles, discussing the the 3 prospect, yeah. 4 Q Tell me about 5 A What was what was in the best interest 6 of the city. 7 Q Was it at Mr. Knowles' office? 8 A No. We were just in the council chambers. 9 Q About when was the meeting, sir? 10 A Probably the first week of March, around 11 then, of this year, 2015. 12 Q Did you have to go to Mr. Shaw to inform
13 Ferguson. 14 Q Whose place did you take as chief at 15 Ferguson? 16 A Tom Moonier. 17 Q And could you spell his last name? 18 A M-o-o-n-i-e-r. Thomas, actually. 19 Q And where did Mr. Moonier go after 20 Ferguson? If you know. 21 A To the gambling boat, best I could tell. 22 Q Yeah. 23 A Yeah, he he retired. 24 Q Retired. 25 A He was well into his 70s.	 him that you wished to resign, or was he already gone by that point? A No. He was still there. But I had the same conversation with him. Q Do you have an understanding why Mr. Shaw resigned? MR. PLUNKERT: Let me object. It calls for speculation. MR. JOHNSON: I'm asking if he knows. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Go ahead. A I don't. Q Was there any threat made of you that you
18	20
1 Q Okay. Who took your place as police chief 2 of Ferguson? 3 A Temporarily, Al Eickhoff. 4 Q And filled full time eventually by who? 5 A It's not filled full time. There is a 6 interim chief there now. 7 Q Somebody after Mr. Eickhoff? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Who is that? If you know. 10 A Andre Anderson.	 needed to resign in lieu of termination? A No, not at all. Q Do you know if there was any threat made to Mr. Shaw that he needed to resign in lieu of termination? A No, I don't know that. Q Any other Ferguson Police Department employees at any level resigned other than you this year? A There were two that retired.
11 Q And I understand Mr. Shaw resigned as well 12 at Ferguson? 13 A He did. 14 Q Did he resign before you? 15 A I don't remember. 16 Q And do you know who took Mr. Shaw's place 17 as city manager at Ferguson? 18 A I don't know his name. I do know his 19 name, and if it comes to me I will tell you. 20 Q Fair enough. When you resigned, did 21 somebody come to you and ask you to resign, or was 22 it your idea? 23 A No. It was my idea.	that were terminated this year that you know of? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And I understand the arrangement, at least me as a layperson, is the arrangement that you voluntarily resigned, and in exchange for that you voluntarily resigned, and in exchange for that you would receive one year salary plus benefits through the City of Ferguson? A Correct. Q So that will expire in March of 2016? A Yes, it will. Q So technically you're still on the payroll of the city, as far as you know?
24 And who did you go to to inform Engage	24 A No

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

What is -- and I don't care about the

24

25

25 that you wished to resign?

Q And who did you go to to inform Ferguson

24

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

21 23 finances, I just care about the arrangement. 1 captain while I was the deputy commander there and 1 made commander of the drug task force. And there **A** The arrangement was that the payment was final and that there was no other compensation due were 15 or so municipalities that supplied officers to me from the City of Ferguson. to the drug task force and Ferguson was one of them. So I met regularly with police chiefs of **Q** Was that an arrangement that you negotiated with the assistance of counsel? the -- of those officers were the de facto board of 6 6 A No. 7 7 directors for the drug task force, so I had monthly 8 **O** Even -- even union or labor counsel? 8 meetings with them. 9 A 9 **Q** On site at Ferguson? 10 **Q** Is there a written agreement -- separation 10 A No. Usually at the police academy. 11 agreement as between you and the city? 11 **Q** And so it sounds like your law enforcement 12 involvement with Ferguson prior to working there 13 Did you resign after the Department of would have been on tactical drug operations? Justice came out with their report on police 14 14 A Yes. 15 **Q** In other words, there may be some Ferguson 15 practices at the City of Ferguson? 16 A Yes, I did. 16 officers that are part of the drug unit or drug task 17 Datewise it was after that -force that you were also a part of? O 17 18 18 A Yes. Yes. A Yes. 19 19 Q -- the issuance of that, correct? **Q** And -- and insofar only as it relates to 20 20 that task force, you would be directing in part some A Yes, it was. 21 Q How long after that did you go to Ferguson officers that were on part of the task 22 Mayor Knowles and tell him what you told me about 22 force? 23 23 resigning? A Yes. Q And were you the actual supervisor of that 24 24 **A** Within a couple weeks, if that. 25 **Q** You received the report, correct? drug task force that at times was comprised of 24 1 Yes. Ferguson Police Department officers? 2 Did you read the report? A I was the commander. So the Ferguson Q police officer would have a sergeant and then a 3 A I did. In its entirety? 4 0 lieutenant. 5 Yes. 5 **Q** Right. And then as commander are you A And did you receive and read the report directly above the lieutenant? 6 A Yes. 7 from the Department of Justice on police practices at Ferguson before you made the decision to resign? 8 **Q** Is there anybody that you reported to on 8 9 the task force as commander? 9 Yes. Α A Reported to? Did it play some role in your mind? 10 10 0 No. I -- no. 11 Q Well, if there's a -- if there's an 11 A Q You mentioned earlier, Mr. Jackson -- is operational question, is there somebody above you 12 13 it okay Mr. Jackson or Chief, I want to make sure --13 within the drug task force that you would report to? **A** You can call me Tom. **A** No. I was the top person in the drug task 14 14 15 Well, sometimes we -- we can't do that. force, but I had a -- I reported to the Chief of 15 Detectives, St. Louis County. 16 A I know we're adversarial, but -- here, but 16 17 yeah. 17 **Q** I understand. When you arrived at Ferguson as chief, it looks to me like there were 18 **Q** I get it. 18 some general orders that you either created or 19 A I get it. 19 20 Q Tell me about the -- when you were with 20 revised. 21 the county over the 30-plus years you were there, A Yes. what type of law enforcement relationship you had 22 **Q** Tell me about when you first arrived at

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

Ferguson and what you saw as the need to create a

revised different general orders for the police

24

department.

with Ferguson.

A During the final 12 years of my tenure at

25 St. Louis County, as I said, I was promoted to

23

24

Thomas Jackson

25	27
1 A Well, it was my intention when I went to, 2 Ferguson to make it a an accredited agency, and 3 that required having general orders and policies 4 that complied with either the Missouri state 5 accreditation or CALEA accreditation. 6 Q And what does CALEA stand for, sir? 7 A The Council for Accreditation of Law 8 Enforcement Agencies. 9 Q Okay. 10 A It's an international accrediting agency. 11 Q Prior to you arriving at Ferguson, was 12 Ferguson an unaccredited police agency? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did it receive accreditation during the 15 period of time you were chief? 16 A We were in our final year. It's about a 17 three- or four-year process, and we were in our 18 final year last year. 19 Q As of 2014 it sounds like you were in the 20 process of getting accredited? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And do you know if they actually received 23 an accreditation since you separated employment with 24 the agency? 25 A I don't believe that the that it's been	1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Go ahead, sir. 3 A Yes. 4 Q I just want to understand what you were 5 doing. 6 A Sure. 7 Q Were you the person who drafted the 8 revised or new general orders for Ferguson? 9 A Initially, yes. 10 Q And what do you mean by "initially"? 11 A I started the process. I met with several 12 other chiefs and the director of the Missouri State 13 Police Chief Association, determined that we would 14 start out with the Missouri accreditation because it 15 basically mirrored the CALEA accreditation, and got 16 lots of help, met with several chiefs from 17 accredited agencies and reviewed their policies, got 18 copies of their policies, and I started, you know, 19 revising the general order manual myself. 20 It became time consuming and I was able 21 to to get my boss to allow me to assign a 22 full-time person to to work on accreditation. 23 It's generally what most agencies do. 24 Q Right. Let's start with the sources of 25 information that you look to, Mr. Jackson. When you
1 finalized yet. 2 Q Do you know if they're still in the 3 process? 4 A Yes, they are. 5 Q Did Ferguson's police department have 6 general orders prior to you acting as police chief? 7 A Yes. 8 Q They were just in a different form? 9 A They were. 10 Q Did you determine them to be deficient in 11 any way? 12 A Some of them I felt were not up to date 13 and but I revised the entire manual regardless. 14 Q So regardless of if you thought you 15 know, I'm just going to make something up. 16 A Sure. 17 Q If there's a bomb scare, for example, if 18 you thought that was deficient, you just didn't 19 attack that general order itself, you went through 20 agency and tried to revamp the whole set of general 21 orders? 22 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. You 23 may answer. I objected to the form. You may 24 answer.	1 first set forth to revise the general orders, what 2 outside law enforcement sources of information did 3 you go to? 4 A The chiefs of police, St. Louis 5 Q Of where? 6 A St. Louis County, Maryland Heights, 7 Bellefontaine Neighbors, Olivette. The director of 8 the Missouri Chiefs Association, which is who 9 ultimately coordinates the the accreditation. 10 Q And I take it the representatives with the 11 county, Maryland Heights, Olivette, Bellefontaine, 12 are peers or people that you know and trust? 13 A Yes. 14 Q And did you go to the police chiefs 15 themselves of those agencies 16 A Yes. 17 Q for assistance? 18 A I'm sorry. 19 Q That's okay. 20 A I didn't mean to interrupt you. I 21 apologize. 22 Q No problem. 23 Were they the police chiefs you went to? 24 A Yes, they were. 25 Q And it looks like some of the orders began

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

29 31 to be revised almost the same year you got to enforcement. Ferguson, true? 2010? O Other than the outside law enforcement A True. agencies you told me about, IACP and the Missouri So your meetings with these different Police Chiefs Charitable Foundation, any other Q outside law enforcement agencies, were they done in outside resources that you, or Mr. McBride, to your 2010, when you started working at Ferguson? knowledge, utilized in formulating the general 7 orders that bear your signature? 8 Q Was it an ongoing process where you were 8 A Nothing specific, but use law, case law, 9 continuing to meet with outside agencies to further 9 constitutional law, things like that, make sure get information about their orders? 10 10 things were in compliance with our agency, our city, 11 11 Q Are there agencies that you know that you 12 **Q** Did your law enforcement agency actually copied either identically or closely in drafting the do the legal research yourself in order to determine whether or not the general orders were compliant 14 new general orders at Ferguson? 14 15 A St. Louis County's, Maryland Heights, 15 with existing constitutional law? 16 Olivette's. 16 A Initially I was doing that, but then that 17 **Q** The reason I ask that is, I do see an 17 was delegated. 18 annotation or a reference in some of the general 18 **Q** To Mr. McBride? 19 orders to the Missouri Police Chiefs Uniform Orders. A Yes. 20 20 Did you use that as a source of **Q** And when you did it, what sources did you 21 information as well, sir? go to to do the legal research necessary to determine whether or not the general orders that 22 A That's -- that's an assistant or -- that 23 assistant accreditation process, because there are bear your signature were in conformance with 24 hundreds of standards, thousands of standards, so 24 existing constitutional law? 25 when you have a general order you reference the 25 A I can't say any specific attorneys. We 30 32 standard or standards that it may apply to, so that had our city attorney, Stephanie Karr. I relied on when you're going through your proofs they're her a lot for a variety of things. looking for a policy that meets this standard and **Q** Sure. Was it all in house, then? In you can say here it is. other words, did you use outside counsel? 4 5 **Q** Right. And so when we see a reference **A** Outside counsel, no. 6 to -- and I think it's MPC -- MPCCF, Missouri Police Q Okay. 7 Outside sources, yes. A

Chiefs?

8 **A** Yes. Charitable Foundation is actually 9 the accrediting agency.

Q Did you go to the IACP for a source of 10 11 information in revising general orders? 12

A Actually, once I assigned a full-time 13 person, we got him a log-on to access the resources 14 the IACP has, so yes, they were used. Not by me.

15 Q Right. But by the person you delegated 16 the responsibility to?

17 A Yes.

18 **O** And who was that person?

19 A Dennis McBride.

20 **Q** And is Mr. McBride still with Ferguson?

21 A I think so.

22 What is his job title, at least when you Q

23 left.

24 A He was captain, but he was assigned -- in 25 addition to this, he was also overseeing code

8 **Q** Do you know what outside sources Mr. McBride went to to do the legal research necessary to evaluate whether the general orders that bear your signature were in conformance with existing constitutional law at the time? 13 A As I said, generally it's the IACP's -- I can't remember the name of the site, but it's a 14 15

research site specifically set up for this type of 16 thing. 17 **Q** Did you have to go to Mr. Shaw at all in terms of determining whether or not you needed to 18

19 revise the general orders? 20 A We -- when we discussed this, I let him 21 know, and I think this was part of my interview process, but that this was my intention to do an

accreditation, and therefore I was going to require funds, so I had to go to him so we could go to the 24

council and get the funding authorized to do this.

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

33	35
1 Q The actual workmanship, though, in 2 drafting, revising, and then issuing the general 3 orders that bear your signature, sir, did you have 4 to go to Mr. Shaw for approval to do that? 5 A No. 6 Q Were there any general orders that you 7 presented to Mr. Shaw at all for his review and 8 approval? 9 A I'm sure there were, yeah. I can't say 10 any specific ones. 11 Q Okay. And for what reason would you 12 present those to Mr. Shaw for his review and 13 approval? 14 A He was my boss and he liked 15 Q He was your direct report? 16 A He liked to be kept informed, so 17 Q Is he heavy-handed? 18 A No. 19 Q Did he let you did he give you 20 discretion to do your job? 21 A Quite a bit. 22 Q Were there any general orders that you 23 presented to Mr. Shaw that were rejected by him? 24 A Not that I can remember. 25 Do you mind if I grab a little more	Q And as the let's do this. I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 one of these orders, and depending on how the answers go, it may short-circuit a lot of things A Okay. Q but I want to make sure I understand some of the principles of these orders. (Deposition Exhibit Number 1 marked for identification.) BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Mr. Jackson, I'm going to first hand you what I marked as Exhibit 1 and ask if you could please identify that for me. A Yes. Essentially our mission statement. Q And for the record, this is General Order 103.00, correct? A That's correct. Q And Exhibit 1 is a four five-page document. Is this one of the general orders that you drafted when you became Chief of Police at Ferguson? A Yes, it is. Q And just so I understand the layout and the lay of the land in terms of these orders that we may go through today, this bears your signature on
34	36
1 coffee?	1 the third page, correct?
1 coffee?2 Q Go ahead. No problem.	1 the third page, correct?2 A Correct.
 coffee? Q Go ahead. No problem. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off 	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel
 coffee? Q Go ahead. No problem. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true?
 coffee? Q Go ahead. No problem. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? (Discussion off the record.) 	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True.
 coffee? Q Go ahead. No problem. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? (Discussion off the record.) 	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held
 coffee? Q Go ahead. No problem. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? (Discussion off the record.) THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held
 coffee? Q Go ahead. No problem. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? (Discussion off the record.) THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. BY MR. JOHNSON: 	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel
 coffee? Q Go ahead. No problem. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? (Discussion off the record.) THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Did you have to go to the City Council to 	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement?
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated?	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No.	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of the law enforcement agency, chief of police.
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No.	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 4 the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No. 14 Q Did you go to Mayor Knowles or any mayor at the time you were drafting and formulating the new general orders for their for the mayor's	 the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of the law enforcement agency, chief of police. Q And then when we see underneath your signature on the third page of Exhibit 1 an attachment, obviously that refers to the Code of
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 4 the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No. 14 Q Did you go to Mayor Knowles or any mayor at the time you were drafting and formulating the new general orders for their for the mayor's review and approval?	the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of the law enforcement agency, chief of police. Q And then when we see underneath your signature on the third page of Exhibit 1 an attachment, obviously that refers to the Code of Ethics that is goes with that general order,
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 4 the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No. 14 Q Did you go to Mayor Knowles or any mayor at the time you were drafting and formulating the new general orders for their for the mayor's review and approval? 18 A No.	the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of the law enforcement agency, chief of police. Q And then when we see underneath your signature on the third page of Exhibit 1 an attachment, obviously that refers to the Code of Ethics that is goes with that general order, true?
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No. 14 Q Did you go to Mayor Knowles or any mayor at the time you were drafting and formulating the new general orders for their for the mayor's review and approval? 18 A No. 19 Q And I understood at no point between 2010	the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of the law enforcement agency, chief of police. Q And then when we see underneath your signature on the third page of Exhibit 1 an attachment, obviously that refers to the Code of Ethics that is goes with that general order, true? A True.
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 4 the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No. 14 Q Did you go to Mayor Knowles or any mayor at the time you were drafting and formulating the new general orders for their for the mayor's review and approval? 18 A No. 19 Q And I understood at no point between 2010 and 2015 did you directly report to the mayor of	the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of the law enforcement agency, chief of police. Q And then when we see underneath your signature on the third page of Exhibit 1 an attachment, obviously that refers to the Code of Ethics that is goes with that general order, true? A True. Q And then the distribution, meaning it
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 4 the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No. 14 Q Did you go to Mayor Knowles or any mayor at the time you were drafting and formulating the new general orders for their for the mayor's review and approval? 18 A No. 19 Q And I understood at no point between 2010 and 2015 did you directly report to the mayor of Ferguson, correct?	the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of the law enforcement agency, chief of police. Q And then when we see underneath your signature on the third page of Exhibit 1 an attachment, obviously that refers to the Code of Ethics that is goes with that general order, true? A True. Q And then the distribution, meaning it would go to all the department Ferguson Police
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 4 the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No. 14 Q Did you go to Mayor Knowles or any mayor at the time you were drafting and formulating the new general orders for their for the mayor's review and approval? 18 A No. 19 Q And I understood at no point between 2010 and 2015 did you directly report to the mayor of Ferguson, correct? 20 A That's correct.	the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of the law enforcement agency, chief of police. Q And then when we see underneath your signature on the third page of Exhibit 1 an attachment, obviously that refers to the Code of Ethics that is goes with that general order, true? A True. Q And then the distribution, meaning it would go to all the department Ferguson Police Department personnel?
1 coffee? 2 Q Go ahead. No problem. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? 4 the record? 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 7 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. Are we back on? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 Q Did you have to go to the City Council to have anybody with the City Council review and approve the general orders that you formulated? 13 A No. 14 Q Did you go to Mayor Knowles or any mayor at the time you were drafting and formulating the new general orders for their for the mayor's review and approval? 18 A No. 19 Q And I understood at no point between 2010 and 2015 did you directly report to the mayor of Ferguson, correct? 20 A That's correct.	the third page, correct? A Correct. Q And at the time you were listed as Colonel Thomas Jackson, Chief of Police, true? A True. Q And is that the job title that you held during the period of time you were at Ferguson? A That's correct. Q And in terms of chain of command, colonel would fit where in terms of the other job titles and designations we talked about with law enforcement? A It's an honorary title that is the head of the law enforcement agency, chief of police. Q And then when we see underneath your signature on the third page of Exhibit 1 an attachment, obviously that refers to the Code of Ethics that is goes with that general order, true? A True. Q And then the distribution, meaning it would go to all the department Ferguson Police Department personnel?

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

39 37 A Yes. Missouri Police Chiefs Charitable Foundation 1.3 in 2 **Q** I saw in a different general order that this case, true? you're also referred to as the chief executive A True. officer for the Ferguson Police Department as **Q** And the date of the general order in Exhibit 1 on the first page is April 26, 2011? determined by the City of Ferguson ordinances, 5 correct? A Yes. 6 **Q** Walk me through when a general order, any **A** That's correct. 8 general order that you formulated at Ferguson, what 8 **Q** And to quote somebody from my side of the the process is for you to -- after you've created it 9 state, the buck stops with you --10 to then have that issued with a date affixed to it. 10 A Yes. 11 What did you have to do, sir? 11 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. 12 A So, for example, in this case I --12 BY MR. JOHNSON: anything that had the MPC reference was after 13 **Q** -- in terms of the police department? 14 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection to the form. You Captain McBride took over, so he would have presented this general order to me and I would have 1.5 15 can answer. A Yes. 16 reviewed it, revised it if I felt it was necessary, and then returned it for distribution as a draft to 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 18 the command staff, and then we would meet on these 18 Q And as chief executive officer, you have 19 orders. total authority and responsible for the management, 19 20 And then if we agreed that it -- I also 20 direction, and control of operations in the administration of your agency? made sure that it was in compliance with the city personnel manual and ordinances, and then if that 22 A Yes. 23 was all in order, then that's when I would sign off Q And you have general supervision and 24 24 control of the police department, including the on it. 25 enforcement of discipline, among the members thereof **Q** After you signed off on a general order, 38 40 would it then become part of a book or volume of and the instruction of the members in their duties, general orders applicable to the operations of your correct? 3 police department? A That's correct. 3 The mission statement, as you refer to 4 A Yes. 5 **Q** So, in effect, once you reviewed in this Exhibit 1, was that a mission statement that was in case what Mr. McBride gave you, met with your effect with the Ferguson Police Department at all command staff, reviewed it in conformance with times during the period of time you were chief, 7 General Order 103.00? Ferguson's personnel ordinances, then it -- in your 8 9 **A** From the time of its inception, yes. terms, it became effective for your department? 9 10 10 So from the time of its inception A Yes. 11 **Q** Because as I understand, in a separate April 26, 2011, until your resignation March of general order, as Chief of Police you're responsible 2015, Exhibit 1 was a general order of the Ferguson 13 for establishing policy within the department? 13 Police Department? 14 A Yes. 14 A Yes. You're responsible --15 15 **Q** And there are different values that you've Q 16 Yes. 16 set forth in Exhibit 1, correct? A **Q** -- for overall operation of the police 17 17 That's correct. 18 One of the values is teamwork, correct? 18 department? Q 19 A Yes. 19 A 20 20 **Q** And you have authority over all the One of the values is professionalism? 0 bureaus units and personnel in the police 21 Correct. 2.1 And one of the values is you want to be a department? 22 O 23 23 humane organization, correct? A Yes. 24 Yes. **Q** And all general orders are issued under 24

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Which values the constitutional, civil,

25

Q

25 your authority as chief of police?

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

43 41 and human rights of all citizens? 1 1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 A That's correct. **Q** I'll lay some foundation. Here's 3 Police officers and nonpolice officers? Exhibit 2. What is Exhibit 2, sir? A Exhibit 2 is the general order titled A 5 **Q** Is there a mission statement with the 5 "Training, General Order 222.00," dated August 23rd, 6 Ferguson Police Department during the period of time 6 you were there that -- that you considered to be O Is this a general order that you created separate and apart from the mission statements we 8 or drafted during your period of time as chief of 9 see in Exhibit 1? Is there a credo that you used? 9 Ferguson? 10 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection -- object to the 10 A I'm sure it is. Let me just verify. Yes. 11 form. 11 **Q** The training that -- first of all, it is a policy of the department to provide training to 12 A Not that I'm familiar with. I'm not sure 12 13 I understand what you're asking me. police officers that work there, correct? BY MR. JOHNSON: 14 A Correct. 14 15 **Q** Well -- and I appreciate that. 15 **Q** And that training can come from any number 16 What I'm asking is, sometimes leaders of 16 of sources. Some are enumerated in Exhibit 2, organizations such as yourself have their own credo 17 aren't they? or mission statement. Sometimes it could be on a 18 18 A Yes, they are. O An officer at Ferguson can receive letterhead, sometimes it could be on the side of a 19 car door. 20 20 training through approved provider training, 21 21 correct? Was there a separate mission statement that you used as police chief at Ferguson during the 22 A Correct. period of time you were there? 23 **Q** In-service training? 24 A Nothing official, no. 24 Yes. 25 25 **Q** What does it say on the side of the door Initial training, meaning before Ferguson? 44 of the Ferguson police cruiser during the period of A Yes. time you were there? **Q** And then continuing education as they're 3 **A** Ferguson Police Department. working there, correct? O It didn't have any type of credo or 4 A That's correct. 4 5 5 saving? **Q** The in-service training, does that mean in 6 6 **A** I don't -- I don't remember. house? 7 Q We hear "To serve and to protect"? 7 A It can. It can also be with another 8 Sure. 8 outside agency as well, but generally that refers to A **Q** We know a lot of different catch phrases 9 9 in-house training. 10 that law enforcement uses. Anything like that at Q Between 2010 and 2015, when you were at 10 Ferguson? 11 Ferguson, did they have in-house training that they A Not that I can recall. 12 provided their officers on any aspect of law 13 **Q** When it comes to the training of the 13 enforcement? personnel that worked for you when you were at 14 14 A Yes. Ferguson, were you the person who had ultimate 15 15 Q Describe for me, you know, in terms of who responsibility in the area of training? 16 did the training and generally what type of topics 17 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form, you were familiar with that were the in-house 17 18 foundation. You may answer. 18 19 A Okay. Again, that would be a delegated 19 A Some of the in-house training we did was 20 responsibility, but as -- as we pointed out, 20 on, for example, racial profiling is required by the 21 ultimately everything comes to me, but sure. 21 state, and I had two certified instructors in that. 22 22 Firearms training would be in house, 23 (Deposition Exhibit Number 2) 23 use-of-force training would be in house. What we're 24 marked for identification.) 24 talking about here, Taser training, would be in 25 25 house.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015 47 45 1 1 A No. 2 **Q** Because you had never been Taser We also would have brief role call 3 certified, correct? trainings, like maybe review of a general order, a new general order, review of a new constitutional A No. 5 Q Have you ever sat in on Taser training in decision. Those types of things. **O** When you first got to Ferguson in 2010, 6 St. Louis County? 7 did they have in-house training? 7 8 A They had -- yes, they had in-house 8 **Q** Ever sit in on any of the training that 9 training. 9 was in house at Ferguson in Taser training? **Q** Did they have in-house training that 10 10 11 utilized use-of-force topics? 11 **Q** And was that always conducted by 12 Mr. Brannon? 12 Yes. 13 Q Did they have in-house training that 13 A Yes. utilized Taser training? 14 Q Any other in-house instructors on the use 14 of a Taser at Ferguson during the period of time you 15 A No. were there other than Mr. Brannon? 16 **Q** Who made the decision to use Taser 16 in-house training at Ferguson after you came on as 17 A No. 17 18 **Q** What in-house training did Mr. Brannon chief? 18 19 perform, if you know, when it came to in-house Taser 19 A That would have been me. training at Ferguson? What did he do? 20 20 0 And why did you do that? 21 A He certified all the officers in the use 21 There was a lot of discussion about Taser. A St. Louis County had it and most of the surrounding of the Taser. 22 agencies in Ferguson -- to Ferguson had it. And 23 **Q** Every officer? 24 A I can't say that with certainty. I was with lots of discussions amongst my officers and command staff, it was determined that it was a safer 25 not one of them. 48 way to get compliance of hostile individuals, in **Q** How was it selected that an officer would particular, rather than hand fighting. And so I receive Taser training at Ferguson? had -- I had one officer who was an instructor, and A Well, the intent was for all the officers he essentially put the package together to -- to get to receive the Taser training. I just can't say the training going. 5 with certainty that everybody actually did, if 6 **O** Who was that? someone was injured or --7 John Brannon. 7 A Sure. Q 8 8 **O** Mr. Brannon, was he Taser certified when -- you know, somewhere. 9 9 Q Right. Did Ferguson have Taser devices he came on board? when you came there? 10 A Yes, he was. 10 Q And do you know where Mr. Brannon worked A No. 11 11 12 at, if he did, before Ferguson? 12 **Q** Tell me about the decision to purchase 13 A No, I don't. 13 Taser devices. First of all, was that your 14 **Q** And what sources -- similar to the sources decision? 15 you looked to in drafting the general orders, sir, 15 A Yes. did you look to sources of information prior to 16 Q And you -- you told me earlier that there 17 determining to undertake in-house Taser training at was some discussion about the use of a Taser as a 17 18 Ferguson? device to obtain cooperation, correct? 18 19 A Yes. And I was actually part of the 19 **A** That's correct. process as command staff at St. Louis County when we 20 **Q** And when the decision was made to purchase were viewing -- reviewing whether or not to 21 Tasers, were you the one to make the decision? implement a Taser program there, so I was familiar 22 Yes. A 23 with a lot of the research and background. 23 And where did you go to purchase Tasers? Q

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Did you speak with anybody from Taser

Taser International.

24

25

A

Q Were you part of undertaking an in-house

25 Taser training program at St. Louis County?

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015 51 International prior to determining to purchase Taser **A** Yes. He scheduled the training. 1 **Q** During the period of time you were at Ferguson, did anybody take outside training on the 3 **A** No. I had that delegated. use of the Taser device, to your knowledge? 4 To who? Q **A** To my knowledge, there were officers who 5 A John Brannon. were previously certified, but I couldn't tell you 6 O Did Mr. Brannon speak with any 6 7 their names. I do recall that that was the case. 7 representatives of Taser International before you made the decision to purchase Taser ECWs? 8 **Q** Do you know Brian Kaminski? 8 9 MR. PLUNKERT: It calls for speculation. 9 A I do. 10 10 **Q** And how do you know Mr. Kaminski? You can answer. 11 A Yes. He told me that he did many times. 11 **A** He was one of my officers at Ferguson 12 BY MR. JOHNSON: 12 Police Department. **Q** Is your relationship with him just a 13 **Q** What did he tell you that the substance of 13 his conversations were with Taser? professional one limited to the workplace? 14 14 15 A Well, it surrounded training and purchase 15 A Yes. **Q** Did you know Mr. Kaminski to have ever 16 of the Tasers. 16 received Taser training? 17 **Q** Did Taser train Mr. Brannon? 17 18 A I'm not sure. I -- I can't -- I can't 18 A Yes. Q How? 19 19 recall that. Through John Brannon. 20 20 **Q** Did Taser ever send any representatives to **Q** Any other training other than through 21 Ferguson for the purpose of training? 21 A I don't remember. Mr. Brannon that Mr. Kaminski received? 22 22 A Not that I'm aware. 23 **Q** Did Taser send any representatives to 23 **Q** Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was 24 Ferguson prior to your decision to purchase Taser 24 recertified on the use of the Taser? 25 ECWs? 25 50 52 **A** I don't remember. A No. I don't. 1 2 Do you know what ECW means? **Q** Do you know if Mr. Kaminski received Taser Q Electronic -training from any outside sources other than the 3 A Ferguson Police Department? 4 0 Okay. A No, I don't. 5 -- weapon. Α **O** What materials did Mr. Brannon use to 6 I want to make sure we're on the same Q 7 train on the use of the Taser? page. A He used written presentations as well as 8 A I'll probably use that a couple times actual demonstrations in the use of the Taser. Most 9 of the officers were tased. 10 today. **Q** Where did Mr. Brannon get the materials to 11 A That's fine. Yes, it means electronic 11 train on the use of Taser? 12 control compliance weapon. 12 **Q** Does Taser provide use-of-force training 13 13 A I don't know. 14 for the use of the Taser device? 14 **Q** Do you know if the materials, if they were 15 **A** Yes. They provide the certification. used, if there was written materials that were Q But do they provide use-of-force training utilized, do you know if they were kept by 16 16 as part of the training on certifying you on the use 17 Mr. Brannon or by somebody else with the department? 17 of the device? 18 A I don't know if he had somebody else keep 18 A I don't remember that. 19 19 them. 20 Q Approximately when did Ferguson first 20 **Q** What was Mr. Brannon's job title within the department during the period of time he was a

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

A He was a police officer and he was also a

Q Was he officer or sergeant, lieutenant?

21

22

23

24

25

Taser trainer?

firearms instructor.

of this?

obtain Taser ECWs?

A Like 2010 or maybe early 2011.

Q Once they obtained the Taser devices, how

did training then follow? Was Mr. Brannon in charge

21

22

23

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

55 53 Officer. 1 graduate of the Eastern Missouri Police Academy --1 2 Officer. Was he a patrol officer? **A** Yes, he was. When I first arrived there, **Q** -- and -- did you determine that to be 3 he was a community relations officer. sufficient training to be a Ferguson Police 5 **Q** Back to Exhibit 2, the training general Department officer, without any other training order. On page 2 of Exhibit 2, there's a subheading 6 whatsoever? called "Required Training." Do you see that, 7 A Again, we're talking about one factor that 8 Mr. Jackson? 8 would go into someone's qualifications for 9 A I do. 9 employment, so we look at a lot of things. But as 10 **Q** And bullet point number 3 reads, "The 10 far as initial training as being the basic 11 Ferguson Police Department acknowledges that 11 requirement for the job, yes. graduates of approved provider initial recruit 12 **Q** But that on top of that this policy states training do not receive training specific to the 13 that they would receive additional training specific policies and procedure of the Ferguson Police 14 14 to the job? 15 Department." 15 A Yes. 16 Did I read that correctly? 16 **Q** So that's necessary, correct? 17 17 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. A You did. 18 Q When -- when I see that phrase, are you 18 referring to academies as initial recruit training, BY MR. JOHNSON: 19 or is that something different? 20 **Q** And that training they received is from an A Yes, police academies. 21 FTO, correct, field training officer? **Q** So Ferguson acknowledges that any training 22 22 A Yes. Yes. **Q** Who was the field training officer when that a police officer at Ferguson receives through 23 23 an academy isn't specific to the trainings that 24 you started working in 2010? Ferguson gives the officer? **A** We had Dominica Fuller, John Beaird. I 54 56 1 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 1 think there were about four that were qualified at BY MR. JOHNSON: the time, but I'm -- I would be guessing if I gave **Q** I mean, is that paraphrased correctly, or you the other names. 3 does that mean something different? 4 **O** Is there a representative with the 5 Ferguson Police Department who maintains or keeps MR. PLUNKERT: Same. Go ahead. the training records of each officer? 6 7 **A** The training that the officer will receive 7 A Yes. in field training is in addition to, it's 8 And who was that when you started? supplemental to, initial training. 9 9 Captain Henke. BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 10 H-e-n-k-e? Q **Q** In other words, there's initial training 11 sometimes through an academy, but then the police 12 And what was his job title? Q department will give additional training specific to 13 He was the commander of field operations. the operations of that department? 14 14 And did he report directly to you? Q 15 A Yes. 15 Yes. A **Q** Did Ferguson rely solely on a police 16 16 **Q** And what types -- if you know, what types 17 officer's academy training as sufficient? 17 of training materials did Mr. Henke keep on an MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 18 18 19 BY MR. JOHNSON: 19 A Generally the records, the sign-in sheets, 20 O You can answer. 20 and then the copies of the certificates that 21 MR. PLUNKERT: You may answer. 21 officers would receive. 22 A As sufficient for --22 **Q** For example, if they went to an approved 23 BY MR. JOHNSON: provider program outside of Ferguson and they got a 24 **Q** Let me give you a hypothetical. 24 certificate of completion, Mr. Henke would keep one 25 If a police officer came in and was a of those, correct?

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

57	59
1 A Yes. 2 Q If there was in-house training that 3 Ferguson rendered to an officer, would there be some 4 documentation that Mr. Henke would keep? 5 A It would be a sign-in sheet, just an 6 acknowledgment that the training was given. 7 Q And specific to Taser training that 8 Mr. Brannon did, how would that be memorialized that 9 Mr. Brannon gave in-house Taser training to a 10 Ferguson Police Department officer? 11 A We actually went to an electronic form of 12 maintaining training records, and Officer Greg 13 McDanel was then the custodian of that because it 14 was on his evidence program. And then John Brannon 15 would keep a record of that, too. 16 Q Prior to I've seen some of the 17 electronic records, and we'll go through those 18 later. When was that switch made? 19 A I don't remember. 20 Q The reason I ask that is, some of those 21 obviously don't have some of the earlier dates to 22 the extent the training existed. 23 Was it kept by the sign-in sheet before it	1 A The police department adopted CIT training 2 and actually had one of their sergeants was a 3 trainer and brought the program into the police 4 academy. 5 Q What year did you first encounter CIT at 6 the county? 7 A I don't know. 8 Q Are you CIT certified or trained? 9 A I am not. 10 Q Have you ever applied? 11 A No. 12 Q What officers within the county were 13 CIT-trained? Patrol officers, detectives? I mean, 14 was there a specific 15 A Generally patrol officers, because they 16 would be most likely to use that training 17 Q They encounter individuals. 18 A and some sergeants, yes. 19 Q Sure. They're the people on the street. 20 A Yes. 21 Q And did you understand, when you worked at 22 the county, that CIT focuses on deescalation 23 strategies?
 24 went to an electronic basis? 25 A There would still be a sign-in sheet. 	24 A Yes. 25 MR. PLUNKERT: I'm not sure he was done
1 Q So, for example, if an individual took 2 Taser training through Mr. Brannon and didn't 3 receive a certificate of completion, the best 4 evidence would be a sign-in sheet? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And if you use an electronic database to 7 track the trainings, it would be logged in the 8 electronic database? 9 A It should be, yes. 10 Q What is CIT? 11 A Crisis Intervention Team. 12 Q Did you 13 A It's I'll expand on it. Sorry. 14 Q Absolutely. It's a broad term. 15 A Yes, it is. It's it's a program to	answering the question on the general BY MR. JOHNSON: Q I'm sorry. And I didn't mean to interrupt you, sir. MR. PLUNKERT: I think you said started to say sar I don't know, you said patrolmen, and you take over from there. Did you did you finish answering? A Some sergeants MR. PLUNKERT: Okay. A some supervisors, street supervisors, would also be trained. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Certainly. When you first learned of CIT, or Crisis
train officers to deal with individuals in emotional or other type of distress. It was our intention my intention to have all our officers trained in CIT. Q When did you first encounter CIT or that concept, sir? A When I was at St. Louis County Police Department. Q In what way did you first encounter that concept?	16 Intervention Team training, do you remember the 17 person at the county that you first learned that 18 from? 19 A I think it was Sergeant Barry Armfield was 20 talking about it. 21 Q And what did he do within the department, 22 sir? 23 A He basically made it his own; it became 24 his his project. 25 Q Was he the advocate within the county for

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

	61		63
1	CIT?	1	a patrol level, is because police are the ones who
2	A I can't say he was the only advocate for	2	are called often to a crisis situation?
3	it. There were others.	3	A That that was the idea, yeah, for the
4	Q He was to you? You knew him to be	4	first responders.
5	associated with CIT?	5	Q How did the county then train and certify
6	A Well, there was also Bob Trittler, who	6	their own personnel on CIT?
7	ended up being a major with the county police	7	A Through the through the St. Louis
8	department, and he was a very big proponent of it as	8	County Police Academy.
9	well.	9	Q So there was a separate module or
10	Q Do you remember what department or	10	component of training for CIT through the county
11	division within the county you were working for when	11	academy during the time you worked for the county?
12	you first learned of CIT from Mr. Armfield or	12	A Yes.
13	otherwise?	13	Q And do you know who directed that?
14	A I'm pretty sure that I was by then in the	14	A I'm pretty sure it was Major Trittler and
15	drug task force.	15	Sergeant Armfield.
16	Q And when you learned of this topic, this	16	Q Do they still do that to this day?
17	general topic, did you endeavor to undertake or	17	A No.
18	learn more about it or did you just hear it?	18	Q Are they retired?
19	A No, we talked about it quite a bit,	19	A I think so, yeah.
20	command staff.	20	Q Do you know if that program still exists
21 22	Q Because you were command staff at the	21	as a training module with the county academy?
23	time?	22	A They're still teaching it, yes.
23	A Yes.	23	Q Did it have when you were command
25	Q So at a county level, how did the command staff address that topic? What how did it come	24 25	staff, did it have a favorable or beneficial
23	starr address that topic? What now did it come	23	outcome? I mean, did you receive feedback on the
	62		64
			•
1	up and what did they do to promulgate or implement	1	effectiveness of CIT?
2	things associated with that topic?	2	A Yes.
3	A I think they we made the decision to	3	Q What feedback did you receive when you
4	implement that training and to make it available	4 5	were a commander at the county about the
5	through the St. Louis County Police Academy.	1 5	offer of income of CITO
6	Q Is that a separate academy from the		effectiveness of CIT?
7		6	A In general terms, just that that was the
7	Eastern Missouri Academy?	6 7	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining.
8	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is.	6 7 8	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases
8 9	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated	6 7 8 9	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety?
8 9 10	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy?	6 7 8 9	 A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude
8 9 10 11	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis	6 7 8 9 10 11	 A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah.
8 9 10 11 12	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased
8 9 10 11 12 13	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and	6 7 8 9 10 11	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation?
8 9 10 11 12 13	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that.
8 9 10 11 12 13	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that the use of CIT within the county prevented some
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay. A Eastern Missouri there is out in St. Charles.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that the use of CIT within the county prevented some unfortunate occurrence with a certain individual or
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay. A Eastern Missouri there is out in St. Charles. Q Did you ever teach at that academy when	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that the use of CIT within the county prevented some unfortunate occurrence with a certain individual or suspect?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay. A Eastern Missouri there is out in St. Charles.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that the use of CIT within the county prevented some unfortunate occurrence with a certain individual or
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay. A Eastern Missouri there is out in St. Charles. Q Did you ever teach at that academy when you were with the county?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that the use of CIT within the county prevented some unfortunate occurrence with a certain individual or suspect? I mean, was there any specific occurrence
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay. A Eastern Missouri there is out in St. Charles. Q Did you ever teach at that academy when you were with the county? A Sure. Q What topics did you train at the St. Louis	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that the use of CIT within the county prevented some unfortunate occurrence with a certain individual or suspect? I mean, was there any specific occurrence that somebody addressed and said, "Thank God we had
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay. A Eastern Missouri there is out in St. Charles. Q Did you ever teach at that academy when you were with the county? A Sure.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that the use of CIT within the county prevented some unfortunate occurrence with a certain individual or suspect? I mean, was there any specific occurrence that somebody addressed and said, "Thank God we had CIT because that prevented a bad outcome"?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay. A Eastern Missouri there is out in St. Charles. Q Did you ever teach at that academy when you were with the county? A Sure. Q What topics did you train at the St. Louis County Academy, the county?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that the use of CIT within the county prevented some unfortunate occurrence with a certain individual or suspect? I mean, was there any specific occurrence that somebody addressed and said, "Thank God we had CIT because that prevented a bad outcome"? A There was generally an award given for a
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Eastern Missouri Academy? A Yes, it is. Q Is that the same academy you graduated from, the St. Louis academy? A No. No. I graduated from the St. Louis Metro Academy right down the street here, St. Louis it's actually the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy, which is in Wellston. Q Okay. A Eastern Missouri there is out in St. Charles. Q Did you ever teach at that academy when you were with the county? A Sure. Q What topics did you train at the St. Louis County Academy, the county? A Blood-borne pathogens response, taught a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A In general terms, just that that was the case and that it was a program worth retaining. Q Did you receive feedback that it increases officer and citizen safety? A That was generally the the attitude towards it, yeah. Q Did you receive feedback that it decreased police liability or litigation? A I didn't know about that. Q Did you receive anecdotal evidence that the use of CIT within the county prevented some unfortunate occurrence with a certain individual or suspect? I mean, was there any specific occurrence that somebody addressed and said, "Thank God we had CIT because that prevented a bad outcome"? A There was generally an award given for a particular case throughout the year involving a CIT

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015 67 6.5 **Q** Was there a survey or tracking data used 1 A Yes. 1 2 to track the effectiveness of the CIT program? O And there are different modules or topics A Not that I'm aware of. 3 that you can take continuing education in law 4 **Q** Once you left the county and went to enforcement in. Among those are interpersonal -- or 5 Ferguson, did you have any concerns with the I'm sorry -- legal studies, interpersonal effectiveness of CIT within law enforcement? perspectives, technical studies and firearms. 7 I mean, when you left, I mean, is there Those are just some of them, correct? 8 something that changed your mind that it was a bad 8 Yes. 9 program as opposed to a beneficial one? 9 **Q** What module, if it's one of them, is CIT? 10 10 Is that interpersonal to you, or is CIT A No. 11 **Q** When you got to Ferguson, what efforts did 11 separate and apart? 12 you undertake to train your own police staff in CIT? 12 **A** It would -- it would actually have -- it's 13 A I started sending officers, having the 13 an assigned through the academy. It would have an assigned one of these four core areas. So I don't 14 commanders send officers to the CIT training. We 14 15 could only do a few at a time because it's a --15 know which one they assigned to it, I don't remember, but I -- presumably that would be it. 16 O It's intensive. 16 17 17 **Q** When you undertook it to instruct or ask A It's an intense and long program, it takes 18 an officer off the street for a while and it 18 your supervisors to have their officers receive CIT decreases manpower. But I let the command staff training, did you follow up to make sure that that know that it was my intention to have everybody 20 was being done? 21 trained on the patrol level. A I -- yes. I actually went to the first **Q** And how did you do that? Did you send an 22 22 graduation of my officers. email? Did you do it at a command staff meeting? O Can you name for me any of the Ferguson 23 23 24 24 How did that -- how was that known? Police Department officers who were CIT trained 25 A Regular meetings, command staff meetings. during your tenure as chief? 68 Verbally? 1 **A** Not at the moment, no. 2 A Just talking about it, this is what we **Q** Do you have an estimate as to the percentage of Ferguson Police Department officers 3 want to do. **O** You started in March of 2010. 4 who are CIT trained as of the first year you were 4 5 5 At what point after that at Ferguson did there? 6 6 you first send officers for CIT training? A No, I don't. 7 7 A I don't remember. It was -- it was up on **Q** And the training that you had the officers 8 the priority list, so it would have been not too 8 receive was taken where? 9 9 long after that. **A** At the St. Louis County Police Academy. 10 10 Where you used to work? **Q** Why was it a priority? Q 11 A I thought the training would be good --11 Yes. A 12 good for the officers. 12 **Q** And when you started at Ferguson in 2010, 13 **Q** And was there a training person such as 13 was there a different CIT trainer at the St. Louis 14 Mr. Henke that was in charge of enrolling people for County Academy other than the names of the retirees 15 CIT training at Ferguson? 15 you told me about before? A It was up to the supervisors to -- you 16 16 A I don't know. I don't remember. 17 17 know, the lieutenants, to make training available **Q** And part of this is you're taking for their officers, and then it was Greg McDanel who 18 patrolmen off the street? I mean, they're there 40 would -- he was the official person to make the hours for the training or so, correct? 20 applications. Each agency has one person that 20 A Yes. 21 applies for training at the academy. 21 Q It's training that they're there basically 22 **Q** Exhibit 2, which again discusses training, 22 for a workweek?

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

Q And -- meaning they can't work as a patrol

23

24

25

A Yes.

officer?

it's a general order you drafted, on page 2, the

25 requirements for education or training, correct?

lower portion of page 2 talks about post-commission

23

Thomas Jackson

71 69 can't this guy show up, oh, he's at training, you 1 Was there budgetary concerns at Ferguson 2 know -for taking too many people off the street to do CIT 3 **A** Always staffing complaints, but ... 3 training? 4 **Q** People are going to complain about 4 5 5 **A** Budgetary, no. everything. 6 **Q** Was it a money issue to you that we didn't **A** Nothing -- yeah. Nothing specific to this 7 want people to take CIT training because they that I remember. 8 couldn't be working for us? 8 **Q** Did you receive feedback from the officers 9 **A** No. It was a staffing issue. 9 that went to CIT training? 10 **Q** So when the decision was made -- strike 10 A Yes, I did. that. Was the decision, to your knowledge, to take 11 **Q** What was their feedback to you, sir? CIT training voluntary to the officer, or were some 12 A They loved it. 13 selected and instructed to take CIT training? 13 Why? Q A They felt the training was excellent and 14 **A** It was my intention to make it mandatory. 14 For everybody? 15 Q 15 the presentation was -- was really well rehearsed. 16 A Yes. 16 **Q** Between you starting as chief in March of At some point? 2010 and let's say a year later, within that first 17 Q 17 18 year, did you receive anecdotal evidence similar to A Yes. 18 19 **Q** And did you have a rotation or schedule what you received at the county about the 19 where your officers were to take it? 20 20 effectiveness of CIT training? 21 A I -- I didn't. 21 **A** I don't remember anything specific. **Q** You understood that CIT training was **Q** Did you give out any commendations or 22 22 23 offered at various points during the calendar year, awards to officers for having an effective use of 23 24 24 correct? CIT? 25 25 A Yes. A I gave out a lot of awards, so I can't put 72 70 1 Q Not just a one-shot deal? 1 my finger on any one right now. Right. 2 **Q** Okay. Staffing, when it came to staffing, A first of all, who did it? Who was in charge of 3 **Q** Did you, as Chief of Police of Ferguson, make sure that every rotation of CIT training was staffing the shifts during the time you were chief? 5 staffed by as many people as you could get in it? A In general, the commander of field MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form and 6 operations, Captain Henke. And he actually did a 7 foundation. lot of the scheduling, although the -- the watch 8 MR. JOHNSON: That was a poorly worded commanders, the lieutenants, and then sometimes the question. Let me ask a better one. 9 sergeants, had the option of moving those around 10 BY MR. JOHNSON: to -- to make sure that all beats were manned. 10 **Q** As chief of police, when there was 11 11 **Q** And what -- how many shifts did you guys openings, if there were openings for CIT training, 12 operate at Ferguson? How did it work? did you take it upon yourself to ensure that you had 13 A When I started there, it was three enrolled as many police officers as you feasibly 14 eight-hour shifts backward rotation. Ultimately, we 15 could? 15 went to the 12-hour shift. 16 A There would be another factor there, and 16 (Deposition Exhibit Number 3 17 that would be staffing. 17 marked for identification.) **Q** Sure. Because you have staffing of 18 18 BY MR. JOHNSON: 19 shifts, correct? 19 **Q** I'm going to hand you Exhibit 3, 20 A Yes. 20 Mr. Jackson, which I understand to be another 21 **Q** Did Ferguson sending officers to the general order that was utilized in Ferguson. county academy for CIT training become a staffing 22 Do you see that, sir? issue, to your knowledge? 23 23 Yes. 24 A I don't have any specific memory of that. 24 What is Exhibit 3? Q

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

Daily assignments and monthly work

25

Q

No complaints, no concerns, you know, why

25

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

75

76

schedules, General Order 200, November 30th, 2011.	1 Mr. Henke was complying with your directive
Q Is this the staffing schedule that you	2 ensure that a CIT-trained patrolman was on e

4

7

8

14

16

73

created as chief of police, sir? 4

A It is.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

25

3

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

20

25

And it's dated November 30th, 2011.

Do you know if there was a prior general order that would have been in effect between March of 2010 and November 30th of 2011 as it pertains to daily assignments and monthly work schedules?

A I don't have specific memory of that.

11 Q You don't have specific memory whether you created a new general order on this topic or just 13 revised an old one?

A That's correct.

15 Q And in -- let's say between 2010 and

midpoint of 2011, okay, was it Mr. Henke who was the 16 17 one who did staffing?

18 A Yes. And if I may interject, this general order has obviously been -- was for the 12-hour 19 shift, not the backward rotating eight-hour shift. 20

Let me clarify that.

Q Would Exhibit 3 apply to patrol officers 23 at Ferguson for their staffing and their scheduling?

24 A Yes.

Q And if you know, how did Mr. Henke

ve to

each shift?

There was no specific tracking of that.

5 **Q** Did you extend your implementation of the 6 CIT program to the communications division?

A Yes. Yes, we did.

O When did you do that, sir?

9 A Again, specific dates I -- I can't recall. 10

Q Do you know whether, as of September 2011,

11 that you had CIT-trained personnel working in 12 communications?

A Yes. Say that date again. 13

Q September 2011.

I don't --1.5

Q So about a year and a half into your

17 tenure? 18

A I don't know.

19 **Q** Do you know within the first 18 months of 20 your tenure whether you had sent any communications

personnel for CIT training?

22 A I -- I just can't give you a specific date

23 on when that happened.

24 Q At St. Louis County did you have a

25 communications division?

actually implement the staffing? Did he -- first of all, did he do it on his own?

A Yes. He took large responsibility for making out the schedule and did it with paper and pencil for quite a long time. Eventually we went to electronic, but the officers and supervisors still maintained the paper and pencil because of the potential need to revise that on short notice, someone calls in sick or something to that effect.

O Do you know if Mr. Henke ever used as a staffing consideration ensuring that a CIT-trained officer was on each staff, on each shift?

A That was my instruction.

When did you provide that instruction? O

A I don't recall. It just was the -- the intention that we -- we had as a staff, part of the -- implementing the CIT.

Q And why did you want a CIT-trained 18 patrolman on each shift? 19

A To provide that extra expertise in 21 situations where it may be warranted.

22 **Q** And those situations obviously could be 23 somebody who's emotionally unstable?

24 Yes.

Did you -- how did you track whether

A Yes.

Q Use your own 911 operators? 2

3 Yes. A

Q Use your own dispatchers?

5 A

When you were at St. Louis County, were

your own communications department personnel trained 8 in CIT?

9 A I don't know.

10 **Q** When you signed on at Ferguson to be the

chief executive officer, did you make it a priority to make sure that not only the patrolmen on the

street but also the communications division who 13

14 would deal with individuals were CIT trained? 15 **A** My first priority with communications was

to make sure that they had a procedure manual and 16 specific initial training guidelines. 17

Q And the procedure manual, is that the 18 19 general orders or is that something different?

20 A It's a specific set of general orders 21 specifically for communications officers. It's 22 rolled in.

Q I'm sorry. No, go ahead, go ahead.

24 A It's rolled into the general orders

manual, but we made it a separate manual also for

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

23

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

77	79
1 communications.	1 BY MR. JOHNSON:
2 Q Similar to the patrol, what efforts did	2 Q This is a general order that you
3 you undertake to ensure that there was a CIT-trained	3 promulgated, sir?
4 individual working on each shift in communications?	4 A Yes.
5 A That was up to the supervisor of	5 Q And it was effective two months or so
6 communications. Communications is a lot more	6 after you started?
7 problematic because there's a lot of turnover, so	7 A Yes.
8 that was that would be a difficult proposition at	8 Q Do you remember wanting to address
9 best.	9 employee misconduct early in your tenure?
10 Q In 2011 who was the supervisor of the	10 A Yes.
communications department at Ferguson?	11 Q Why?
12 A Sergeant Sergeant Bill Mudd was	12 A There are several things that are
13 initially, and I started there and then it was	13 important to a police chief, those being use of
14 Sergeant Mike Wood. The first one was well, I'm	14 force, internal affairs investigations, domestic
15 sorry. The first one was Bill Mudd, and he was	15 violence and other issues. It's just a matter of
16 followed by Sergeant Mike Wood.	16 prioritizing.
17 MR. PLUNKERT: Do you want to take a	17 Q Absolutely. And under this general order,
18 break?	18 that, again, would have been applicable your entire
19 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, let's do that.	19 period of time as chief? I didn't get any others.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at	20 A Unless's there's a revision, then yes.
21 10:32.	21 Q Okay. So this general order,
22 (Recess taken.)	22 Exhibit Number 4
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the	23 A Seven. Oh.
record at 10:49. This begins disk number 2 in	24 Q That's my handwriting.
the deposition of Chief Thomas Jackson. Please	25 A Okay. Sorry.
are deposition of emer friends suckson. Flease	23 A Okay. Bony.
78	80
1 continue.	1 Q Totally my fault.
2 BY MR. JOHNSON:	2 A I apologize.
3 Q I want to switch topics for a second,	3 Q It's 4.
4 Mr. Jackson, and I want to focus on a couple of	4 A Got it.
5 other policies. I'm not going to show you every	5 Q Who was the professional standards
6 general order that you ever signed, but I do want to	6 inspector at Ferguson when you were chief?
7 make sure I understand some of the different aspects	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7 A That is a position that I rotated among
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a	8 the command staff.
 8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 	8 the command staff.9 Q So there was no set person?
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics	 8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not.
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated?
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson.	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months.
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the	 8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and	 8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff 15 during the time you were chief?
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed.	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff 15 during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants.
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed. 17 First of all, I'm going to mark as	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants. 17 Q Six?
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed. 17 First of all, I'm going to mark as 18 Exhibit 4 another general order that I received in	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants. 17 Q Six? 18 A Yes.
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed. 17 First of all, I'm going to mark as 18 Exhibit 4 another general order that I received in 19 this case and ask if you could please identify that	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants. 17 Q Six? 18 A Yes. 19 Q All overseeing a different department of
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed. 17 First of all, I'm going to mark as 18 Exhibit 4 another general order that I received in 19 this case and ask if you could please identify that 20 for me, sir.	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants. 17 Q Six? 18 A Yes. 19 Q All overseeing a different department of the department division of the department?
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed. 17 First of all, I'm going to mark as 18 Exhibit 4 another general order that I received in 19 this case and ask if you could please identify that 20 for me, sir. 21 (Deposition Exhibit Number 4	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants. 17 Q Six? 18 A Yes. 19 Q All overseeing a different department of the department division of the department? 20 the department division of the department?
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed. 17 First of all, I'm going to mark as 18 Exhibit 4 another general order that I received in 19 this case and ask if you could please identify that 20 for me, sir. 21 (Deposition Exhibit Number 4 22 marked for identification.)	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants. 17 Q Six? 18 A Yes. 19 Q All overseeing a different department of the department division of the department? 20 the department division of the department? 21 A Some worked in the same division. Patrol captain had two lieutenants.
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed. 17 First of all, I'm going to mark as 18 Exhibit 4 another general order that I received in 19 this case and ask if you could please identify that 20 for me, sir. 21 (Deposition Exhibit Number 4 22 marked for identification.) 23 A General Order 301.00, dated May 21st,	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants. 17 Q Six? 18 A Yes. 19 Q All overseeing a different department of the department division of the department? 20 the department division of the department? 21 A Some worked in the same division. Patrol captain had two lieutenants. 23 Q I've seen the name of a Lieutenant
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed. 17 First of all, I'm going to mark as 18 Exhibit 4 another general order that I received in 19 this case and ask if you could please identify that 20 for me, sir. 21 (Deposition Exhibit Number 4 22 marked for identification.) 23 A General Order 301.00, dated May 21st, 24 2010, Allegations of Employee Misconduct Internal	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants. 17 Q Six? 18 A Yes. 19 Q All overseeing a different department of the department division of the department? 20 the department division of the department? 21 A Some worked in the same division. Patrol captain had two lieutenants. 22 Q I've seen the name of a Lieutenant 24 Ballard.
8 of some of them, so I'm probably going to mark a 9 handful of these general orders and make sure I 10 understand some of the different topics 11 operationally that would have existed within the 12 police department at Ferguson. 13 I want to bypass and kind of jump all the 14 way back to an allegation of employee misconduct and 15 then kind of go from there on some discipline issues 16 just to give you a roadmap where I'm headed. 17 First of all, I'm going to mark as 18 Exhibit 4 another general order that I received in 19 this case and ask if you could please identify that 20 for me, sir. 21 (Deposition Exhibit Number 4 22 marked for identification.) 23 A General Order 301.00, dated May 21st,	8 the command staff. 9 Q So there was no set person? 10 A No, there was not. 11 Q How often was it rotated? 12 A Probably every six months. 13 Q And how many different members of the 14 Ferguson Police Department were called command staff during the time you were chief? 16 A Three captains and three lieutenants. 17 Q Six? 18 A Yes. 19 Q All overseeing a different department of the department division of the department? 20 the department division of the department? 21 A Some worked in the same division. Patrol captain had two lieutenants. 23 Q I've seen the name of a Lieutenant

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

81	83
1 Q Are you familiar with Mr. Ballard?	1 this out. It would come to me. I would assign
2 A Yes.	2 it a an internal affairs decision file
3 Q And was he a patrol captain in September	3 that division file number and then assign it
4 of 2011, if you know?	4 to a commander.
5 A He was a patrol lieutenant.	5 BY MR. JOHNSON:
6 Q Patrol lieutenant, meaning he oversaw the	6 Q Did all complaints made by citizens come
7 sergeants who then oversaw the police officers who	7 to you?
8 were on patrol, correct?	8 A All written complaints, yes.
9 A Yes.	9 Q Through all written?
10 Q And so was Mr. Ballard at one point a	10 A Yes.
11 professional standards inspector at Ferguson?	11 Q What about verbal?
12 A No.	12 A Sometimes those could be handled by a
13 Q And the professional standards inspectors,	13 supervisor on the street and I wouldn't be aware of
14 whoever that may have been at the time, would	14 it.
15 receive and review allegations of brutality?	15 Q And if they were written, how were they
16 A Yes.	16 routed to you? Who would provide them to you?
17 Q Misuse of force?	17 A The commander that was assigned to the
18 A Yes.	18 investigation would give me a written memorandum
19 Q Breach of civil rights?	19 detailing the investigation, the conclusions.
20 A Yes.	20 Q Would the conclusions as to whether the
21 Q Corruption?	21 complaint was warranted be made before it was
22 A Yes.	22 presented to you for your review?
Q And criminal misconduct?	23 A It would be an opinion of the
 24 A Yes. 25 Q And those complaints, if they were 	24 investigator, yes. 25 Q So the investigator would make the
25 Q And those complaints, if they were	2.5 Q So the investigator would make the
82	84
1 provided by a citizen, could be complaints made	1 preliminary opinion, you would then review the
2 verbally?	2 complaint yourself?
3 A Yes.	3 A No.
4 Q They could be made in writing?	4 Q And you obviously had the discretion to
5 A Yes.	5 determine whether or not the complaint was warranted
6 Q They could be made verbally and reduced to	6 or not warranted?
7 writing?	7 A Yes.
8 A Yes.	8 Q Once the complaint left your desk, where
9 Q And just so I understand how things	9 did it go, sir?
10 operationally worked when it came to citizen	10 A Into a file, a locked file.
complaints, if a citizen comes off the street and	11 Q And what's that file called?
makes a complaint, are they referred to the	12 A Complaints file.
professional standards inspector, or do they give	13 Q Who maintained the file in 2010, when you
14 that complaint to somebody else within the	14 started?
15 department?	15 A Prior to me?
1 6 A So the complaint do you have the form	16 Q Well, when you started who maintained it? 17 A Oh. Me.
in here?Q I probably do have a template in there, if	
	, 1
 19 you want to look at it. 20 A Okay. This is the complaint form that I 	 19 complaint file that were written complaints made 20 about representatives of the Ferguson Police
21 created.	21 Department the entire period of time you were chief?
22 MR. PLUNKERT: Was that Bates stamped 495?	22 A Yes.
23 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.	23 Q So the five years that you were chief
24 THE WITNESS: Yes. And if a citizen	24 executive officer, if it was a written complaint
	cacculite officer, if it was a written complaint

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

25 routed to you, you kept it?

wanted to make a complaint, they would fill

25

Thomas Jackson

8.5 87 1 A Yes. 1 Police Department officer, did that complaint become 2 **Q** The reason I ask is, I'm not sure that I part of the officer's personnel file? have -- and counsel can correct me if I'm wrong. MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. I'm not sure that we have any written complaints 4 BY MR. JOHNSON: 5 that predate September of 2011. 5 **Q** If you know. Do you know what you did with all the 6 **A** That became a part of his police file. 6 7 complaints, to the extent they were made, that you 7 Okay. Let's talk about files for a 8 had in your possession? 8 second. Are there personnel files maintained on officers by Ferguson Police Department? 9 **A** They were all in that file. 9 10 **Q** And the file was just called complaints? 10 A Yes. 11 A It was -- it was the internal affairs 11 **Q** Did you do that when you were chief? Were you the one who actually maintained the personnel 12 complaints file. 12 **Q** Is there a difference between citizen 13 files of the department officers? complaints and internal-affairs-related complaints? 14 **A** No. We -- we took them all immediately 14 and turned them over to Human Resources, so they 15 A If a supervisor made a complaint against 15 an officer, it was still maintained in the same were all -- all city employees were essentially 16 16 17 file, so technically, no. 17 located in City Hall. 18 18 **Q** So whether the complaint came from a Q So when you started as police chief in 19 citizen against an officer or an officer against an 19 March of 2010, all police department personnel files 20 officer, all of those complaints were routed to you 20 were sent to the City of Ferguson City Hall? for your review? 21 21 22 22 **Q** To be maintained by City of Ferguson human A Yes. 23 23 resources representatives? **Q** And is there a different approach that 24 Ferguson undertook when you were chief in reviewing 24 A Correct. 25 citizen complaints of an officer versus officer **Q** Did you maintain a separate personnel file 86 88 1 in addition to the file maintained by HR? complaints of an officer? 2 A Only in that in a citizen complaint, you **A** Only the internal affairs investigations. Any -- any discipline would be reported to human know, we would communicate the finding. 3 O If you know, did you receive complaints 4 resources. about officers from citizens in the first year you 5 5 **Q** And what documents generally are comprised were in office? For any reason. in an internal affairs file? 6 7 A I can't say for certainty any specific 7 A Generally it's going to be this form, one, but it seemed that -- it seemed that there 8 0495. 8 9 9 were. **Q** Okay. Q Did you receive a complaint that you 10 **A** And then a memorandum from the 10 deemed to be a complaint involving physical abuse of investigating supervisor who would detail an officer by a citizen the first year you were interviews, any evidence, any investigation that was 12 conducted, the listing of the general orders that 13 chief? 13 14 **A** I don't remember any specific examples. 14 was alleged to have been violated, and then a 15 **Q** Did you ever receive a citizen complaint conclusion by that investigator on whether or not 16 about an officer of the Ferguson Police Department 16 they believe the allegations were in full or in part involving an improper or inappropriate use of force 17 valid. during the first year you were chief? 18 **O** Would citizen complaints against an 19 A I don't remember. officer be part of the internal affairs files that 20 Q Have you ever received a complaint about 20 you kept? an officer inappropriately using a Taser device on a 21 A Yes. citizen during the time you were Ferguson police 22 Would the investigation into those citizen 23 chief other than the complaint I'm here for today? 23 complaints be kept in the internal affairs files you 24 A I don't remember. 24 kept? 25 Q If a complaint was made against a Ferguson 25 A Yes.

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

89	91
Q We used the term "complaint files" earlier. A Yes. Q Is complaint file and internal affair file one and the same in your mind? A Yes. Q Got it. Did you continue the process of your predecessor in doing that, sir? A I don't actually know what his process was. Q When you got to your office and moved in, were there personnel files there or internal affair files, as you said earlier? That's two questions. Let me break it up. A Okay. Q When you moved in, were there old complaint or internal affair files present in your office for officers? A The files were kept by the individual supervisors or commanders. Q Okay. A I consolidated them. Q So the six supervisors or commanders, I think you told me earlier, they were kept kind of	1 A Yes. 2 Q Describe for me the size of it. Big, 3 small, medium? 4 A It was 5 Q One cabinet, two cabinets? You tell me. 6 A One file drawer. 7 Q You're kind of gesturing, and we're on a 8 tape, we can see these things, but describe for me 9 what it looked like. 10 A One standard-size file drawer, desk-type 11 file drawer. 12 Q And was that kept a folder per officer? 13 A No. It was kept by the IAB number which 14 you see at the top of the complaint form, 0495. 15 Q So did you try and keep that 16 chronologically, then? 17 A I kept it chronologically, yes. 18 Q If there was a lawsuit against an officer 19 for whatever claim, did you keep the lawsuit as part 20 of the internal affairs file? 21 A No. 22 Q Would you consider a lawsuit to be a 23 citizen complaint against an officer? 24 A No, not in the not in the sense that
25 department by department?	25 this is a direct complaint to the police department
1 A By division. 2 Q Division. I'm sorry. 3 A Yes. 4 Q And how many divisions were there when you started as chief? 6 A Just the two, but there was also a separate unit that that oversaw the civilian employees, and that was maintained separately as well. 10 Q So going forward, after you started as thief, you then maintained internal affair files for five years on each officer? 13 A Yes. 14 Q And if discipline was rendered relating to a complaint, HR in the city would be the one to receive the disposition? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Did you provide a copy of the internal affair files to HR at the City of Ferguson, or did you keep that separate? 21 A I kept that separate.	1 from a citizen, so no. 2 Q So lawsuits, to the extent they existed, 3 would not be maintained in the IA files? 4 A They were managed separately. 5 Q By who? 6 A By counsel. 7 Q In-house counsel or outside? 8 A Both. 9 Q And so when I say "in house," that's 10 somebody at City Hall? 11 A Yes. 12 Q Ms. Karr? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Is she still there? 15 A To the best of my knowledge, yes. 16 Q Do you know whether there were complaints 17 that were written for any reason between 2010 and 18 2014 that you received about an officer? 19 A Yes. 20 Q How many? 21 A It seemed to me, when I was reviewing this
Q When you resigned, you still had the internal affair files in your office? A Yes. Q And there were things in those files?	last year, we had an average of three per month over that time, which included supervisor complaints. Q Sure. Meaning complaints against a supervisor or a supervisor complaining about a

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015 95 93 subordinate? 2014, 2015, five years, did you make five reports to 1 the city manager compiling IA statistics? A What I meant is a supervisor complaining against a subordinate, but it would work both ways. A Did you say 2010? Q Sure. Well, starting in 2011. Q 5 A Then yes, my administrative assistant 5 A A subordinate could make a complaint would just come to me and ask me for the stats, and 6 against a supervisor. 7 I would give them to her and she would ... **Q** It could be an HR issue, I mean, it could 8 be he's working me too much, something like that? 8 **Q** Did you draft the log yourself, sir? 9 A Often, yeah. 9 A I kept the log myself, yes. 10 10 **Q** You kept all types of complaints, correct? **Q** And so was that a separate document that 11 A Anything that was put in writing and 11 you maintained along with the various investigated -- or anything that was investigated chronologically ordered complaints? 13 was -- I kept a file on. 13 A Yes. Q Out of the 36 per year, on average, and 14 Q Do you still have copies of any of these 14 I'm using your numbers, so tell me if I'm wrong, out 15 15 documents since you separated employment? of the 36 per year on average, how many of them did 16 you find to be warranted? 17 **Q** Did you take any operational documents 17 18 A I couldn't give you an accurate number on 18 with you, sir? 19 19 A No, I did not. I tried to take my own that. 20 20 Q Did you find any complaint to be warranted documents with me and they weren't on the disk, the 21 for any reason? thumb drive. 22 Q Yeah. 22 A Yes. A I lost them all. 23 Was that commonplace with you, or was that 23 Q unusual that you found a complaint to be 24 24 Technology. Q substantiated or warranted? 25 Yeah. 96 A Probably less often than not. Q Did you categorize the statistical 1 **Q** And to be fair to you, there are summaries in any type of complaint fashion, such as definitions on page 6 of Exhibit 4. this is one about corruption, this is one about use 3 of force? Was it set forth in that manner? 4 A Yes. **Q** We see unfounded, exonerated, withdrawn, 5 5 A Not -- no. it was not. not sustained, and sustained. Are those terms that 6 **Q** Tell me the layout and design of the log. 7 you used? 7 Was it -- you told me before. Is it just number, 8 A Yes. complainant, disposition? 9 9 **Q** So when you would make a recommendation or A Number, complainant, who the complaint was 10 disposition of a complaint, did you utilize the 10 against, and disposition. terms we see on page 6 of Exhibit 4? **Q** And it says the professional standards 11 11 A Yes. inspector is the one that compiled it. You did it 12 12 13 **Q** And the Annual Statistical Summaries that 13 yourself? 14 are referenced on page 8 of Exhibit 4, where were 14 A I did it myself. 15 those maintained or located at Ferguson? 15 Q The inspectors did not do it? 16 A I kept a log of all complaints, and they 16 17 were all kept by the complaint number and 17 Q Did you get feedback from Mr. Shaw when complainant, officer complained against, and the you would meet with him about the statistical 18 19 disposition. And that was made in an annual report summaries? Strike that. Let me ask a better 20 that went to the city manager. 20 question. 21 Q When did you make that report? Was there 21 Did you meet with him about the 22 a certain month, fiscal year, calendar year that you 22 statistical summaries?

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

A Yes. I met with him about almost

Q Any concerns that he made to you with the

23

24

25

everything.

24

25

made that to the city manager?

A Usually after the first of the year.

Q So in your tenure, early 2011, 2012, 2013,

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

99 97 1 nature of the complaints? asked you to do that. 2 2 Not in general terms, no. THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we were talking 3 3 The numerosity of the complaints? about every officer receiving the Taser 4 4 A training --**Q** Did you consider the complaints to be 5 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir. 5 excessive in number? 6 THE WITNESS: -- that -- that was an 6 7 assumption. I'm -- if officers came over and A No. 8 **Q** Did you actually give Mr. Shaw a copy of 8 were certified, I don't know for certain that 9 the log or did you just meet with him about it? 9 they sat through a second certification. **A** No. My administrative assistant did 10 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 11 the -- did the log. 11 Okay. So to your knowledge, there were -were there any recertifications of Taser-certified 12 **Q** So you think, and I know I'm not holding 12 Ferguson Police Department officers, period? 13 you to anything too specific here, but you think there may be five logs that you would have given 14 A Recertifications ... Mr. Shaw during your tenure as Ferguson police 15 **Q** Meaning they got a certification, whether it be in house or prior to or outside of Ferguson, 16 chief? 16 17 17 did you ever, to your knowledge, recertify any A Yes. officer on the use of the Taser ECW? 18 Q And the logs would be kept --18 19 A What I'm referring to is an officer going 19 A It's -through the initial certification a second time. I 20 20 **O** Go ahead. A Let me -- because you're saying "log," and don't know that everybody, in fact, did that. 21 these would be summaries. 22 Q Okay. And then my followup question would 22 23 be, if an officer was certified, to your knowledge, 23 **Q** Summaries. was any officer ever recertified? A Summaries of the complaints for the 24 24 25 A It was my understanding that there was 25 previous year. 100 **O** And you were the one to determine how the recertification training. complaint would be characterized when you put in the By Mr. Brannon? Q summary? 3 3 Yes. A A Yes. 4 Anybody else? 0 **Q** So if we see -- and I'm going to make 5 Not that I remember. 5 A something up here -- we see a use-of-force 6 Do you know the identity of any personnel who are recertified? 7 complaint, you know, somebody hogtied a suspect, would you put down use of force as the category? 8 A No. I can't say off the --8 9 9 **Q** Do you know why Mr. Brannon recertified A Yes. 10 certain officers? MR. PLUNKERT: Todd, when you're done with 10 this line of questioning, I wanted to bring **A** It would only be a recertification if 11 11 their certification expired or if there was --12 something up I just now remembered --Q Like a driver's license? 13 13 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 14 A Yes. 14 MR. PLUNKERT: -- but I don't want to 15 Was there any recertification on the Taser 15 get --16 ECW because of different guidelines that had been 16 MR. JOHNSON: Well, don't get in my way. 17 MR. PLUNKERT: There was a -- something 17 promulgated? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to foundation. 18 that I think he wanted to clarify on the record 18 19 MR. JOHNSON: We'll get there on that one. 19 that we forgot to say when he came back on from BY MR. JOHNSON: 20 20 the break. 21 **Q** Go ahead. 21 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. A I -- I can't recall any specific 22 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. certification trainings that happened beyond the 23 MR. PLUNKERT: A previously asked question 24 initial. 24 25 **Q** Fair to say you weren't personally 25 MR. JOHNSON: And I appreciate that and I

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

101	103
 1 involved in the Taser certification of your 2 officers? 3 A That's very fair to say, yes. 4 Q Fair to say you were not personally 5 involved in the CIT certification of any of your 	 progressive discipline at Ferguson? A Yes. Q And if discipline was necessary, was there a chain of command in terms of who handed out the discipline for a patrol officer?
5 involved in the CIT certification of any of your officers? 7 A That's correct. 8 Q Fair to say that you just knew whether or 9 not maybe they had it or didn't have it and that's about it? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And in some cases you may not know whether 13 they had it at all? 14 A Directed it to be done. 15 Q But you agree with me that under the 16 general orders that you promulgated, you had the 17 ultimate responsibility at the end of the road for the training of your officers? 19 A Yes. 20 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form and foundation. 21 BY MR. JOHNSON:	discipline for a patrol officer? A Yes. Q And what was the chain of command when it came to the discipline of a patrol officer in terms of who was to mete out the discipline first? A In minor offenses it would often be the first-line supervisor, and that would be usually in the form of an oral reprimand or admonishment. (Deposition Exhibit Number 5 marked for identification.) BY MR. JOHNSON: Q I'm going to hand you Exhibit 5, sir, and ask if you can identify this general order for me, Mr. Jackson. A Progressive Discipline and Counseling, General Order 303.0, July 6, 2010. Q Again, early in your tenure at Ferguson? A Yes.
Q Was there any, first of all, any general order that you promulgated at Ferguson on in-custody deaths?	23 Q Did you see progressive discipline and 24 counseling as a priority when you started as chief? 25 A Yes. As I I'm sorry.
102	104
A I don't remember specifically. Q Any trainings that you directed on in-custody deaths? A I don't remember any specifically. Q Whether that in-custody death is as the result of a firearm, a ECW, or any other use of force? A Well, there were procedures to be followed, you know, after such an incident. Q Procedures to be followed after the death of a suspect? A Yes. A Okay. D And we'll get to those. A Okay. Q That would be notification of the kin, et cetera? A Yes. Q I gotcha. Were you the as chief executive officer of the Ferguson Police Department, ultimately responsible for any discipline and counseling of your police officers? A Yes. A Yes. A Yes.	1 Q Why? 2 A As I stated earlier, taking over as chief, 3 there were I prioritized certain functions within 4 the department and felt that complaint practices and 5 subsequent discipline was important and a priority. 6 Q They go hand in hand? 7 A They do. 8 Q And minor violations and major violations 9 are set forth in Exhibit 5, true? 10 A That's true. 11 Q And there is a progressive chain of 12 discipline that's set forth for minor violations on 13 page 2 of Exhibit 5, true? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Is the first page of Exhibit 5, the minor 16 violations, is that an exhaustive list of minor 17 violations, or are there other minor violations that 18 can lead to some form of discipline? 19 A That is not an exhaustive list; there 20 could be others. 21 Q What do you consider to be clerical 22 errors? 23 A Putting the wrong ordinance number on a 24 traffic violation.
 24 counseling for somebody who had been alleged to have 25 engaged in some inappropriate conduct, was there 	24 traffic violation. 25 Q Things of that nature?

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

Thomas Jackson

105	107
A I'm blank. Q That's okay. How about an inaccurate reporting on the use of force? Is that clerical in your mind? A If it was an unintentional inaccuracy, then yes. Q You draw that distinction, intentional versus unintentional? A If it's something that could be corrected with Q Go ahead, sir. A If the error is something that could be corrected with a pen stroke, then it's a clerical error. If it's an intentional misrepresentation, then it would be otherwise. Q Do you consider clerical errors that are made that are unintentional, do you expect that the officer will correct that clerical error? MR. PLUNKERT: It calls for speculation. You may answer. A It would be my intention that if the officer didn't catch it that the supervisor would and direct it to be corrected. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Because you have police report procedures	opposed to a correction. Q And that could be something on the continuum of discipline A Yes. Q from verbal all the way up to termination? A Yes. Q If it was bad enough, correct? A It could be. Q Is the supervisor the first line of review of an officer report? A Yes. Q And that supervisor, if that officer is on a patrol division, is that a shift supervisor who is the first line of review of an officer report? A Yes. Q And is there more or additional review beyond the supervisor of a patrol officer's report, offense report? A It would depend on the report, but in general circumstances, no. Q It generally stops at the supervisor? A Yes. Q Generally? A Generally, but also the commanders will
within your department when you were chief, correct? A Yes. Q And you want, consistent with those police report procedures for your officers and/or their supervisors who are reviewing those reports, to be truthful and accurate in the reporting of a situation? A Yes. Q And if an unintentional clerical error is caught, whether it be by the officer or the supervisor, you would expect that that error would be remedied? A Yes. MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q If it's not, does that transform a minor violation into some other violation, a clerical error that's not an unintentional clerical error that is not remedied? A If it's not caught at any point, then I guess we wouldn't know about it. Q Well, you have a what is A If it's if it's caught and not corrected, then it would still probably be a minor violation, but there would be discipline involved as	spot check and review those reports. Q Because is that the next in the chain of command A Yes. Q would be officer, shift supervisor, commander? A Yes. Q And as I understand, in your police report procedures general order an officer generally is required to submit a completed report to their supervisor for approval prior to the termination of their tour of duty or shift? A Generally, yes. Q Obviously there can be exigent circumstances that cause a change in that expectation, but if there are exigent circumstances, is there kind of a date certain that that report needs to be turned in for review? A That would be as soon as possible. Q And is it the supervisor's responsibility to make sure that reports are submitted in a timely manner? A Yes, it is. Q And with all applicable attachments or enclosures?

Thomas Jackson

	*
109	111
1 4 37	1 1: 0
1 A Yes.	1 as chief?
2 Q And a written police report is required	2 A No.
3 when an individual violates the law, correct?	3 Q When it came to discipline and you
4 A Yes.	4 received a complaint that you considered to be in
5 Q When there is a use of force involved?	5 your IA file, did you go to Mr. Shaw at all in
6 A Yes.	6 reviewing the merits of the complaint?
7 Q And any situation which may result in	7 A Yes. There were times when I discussed
8 civil action or a complaint against the department,	8 with him the severity of the discipline. Usually
9 true?	9 that would involve suspension or termination.
10 A True.	10 Q Did that ever occur, where you conferred
11 Q Specific to use of force, there are	11 with Mr. Shaw and it then resulted in a termination?
12 additional forms beyond the report itself that are	12 A Yes.
13 required, is that correct, sir?	13 Q What was the officer involved or officers?
14 A That's correct.	14 A There were more than one occasion. One
15 Q And that was also correct as of	15 was Sergeant Norwood and another one involved a
16 September of 2011, true?	16 couple of officers.
17 A Yes.	17 Q Let's start with Mr. Norwood. What was
18 Q And are there even more or other forms	18 the complaint against him?
19 that are required if the use of force involves the	19 MR. PLUNKERT: Let me object at this
20 use of a Taser ECW?	point. In discovery we've we've objected to
21 A Yes.	21 complaints regarding not regarding excessive
23 involving a Taser or a use of force involving a	23 case; it's not reasonably calculated to lead to
24 Taser, is the expectation the same that the	discovery of admissible evidence.
25 supervisor is the first line of review of those	You know, the complaints that I believe we
110	112
1 reports?	1 went into and to produce were subject to that
1 reports? 2 A Yes.	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go
 1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm
 1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's 4 supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to
 1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's 4 supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 5 2011? 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the
 reports? A Yes. Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? A No, I don't. 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery.
 reports? A Yes. Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? A No, I don't. Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this
 reports? A Yes. Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? A No, I don't. Q Would that normally be a sergeant? A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing
 reports? A Yes. Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? A No, I don't. Q Would that normally be a sergeant? A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. Q It's a weekend, so 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in
 reports? A Yes. Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? A No, I don't. Q Would that normally be a sergeant? A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. Q It's a weekend, so A Yeah, sergeant. 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to
 reports? A Yes. Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? A No, I don't. Q Would that normally be a sergeant? A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. Q It's a weekend, so A Yeah, sergeant. Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was
 reports? A Yes. Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? A No, I don't. Q Would that normally be a sergeant? A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. Q It's a weekend, so A Yeah, sergeant. Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated.
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's 4 supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 5 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I 12 gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified 13 in this case.	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though,
 reports? A Yes. Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? A No, I don't. Q Would that normally be a sergeant? A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. Q It's a weekend, so A Yeah, sergeant. Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified in this case. Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard 	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 5 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard 15 could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol division?	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made to terminate certain members of the department.
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 5 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol division? 17 A Not unusual.	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made to terminate certain members of the department. MR. DOWD: And then we'll agree further
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol division? 15 A Not unusual. 16 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form, but	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made to terminate certain members of the department. MR. DOWD: And then we'll agree further that it's subject to the protective order that
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 5 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol division? 15 A Not unusual. 16 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form, but 19 BY MR. JOHNSON:	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made to terminate certain members of the department. MR. DOWD: And then we'll agree further that it's subject to the protective order that has been entered.
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 5 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol division? 15 A Not unusual. 16 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form, but 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 20 Q Are you the sole person who decides	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made to terminate certain members of the department. MR. DOWD: And then we'll agree further that it's subject to the protective order that has been entered. MR. JOHNSON: Protective order that's been
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 5 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol division? 15 A Not unusual. 16 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form, but 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 20 Q Are you the sole person who decides whether a complaint of misconduct from a citizen is	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made to terminate certain members of the department. MR. DOWD: And then we'll agree further that it's subject to the protective order that has been entered. MR. JOHNSON: Protective order that's been entered, as well as we'll agree that you don't
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 5 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol division? 15 A Not unusual. 16 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form, but 19 BY MR. JOHNSON: 20 Q Are you the sole person who decides whether a complaint of misconduct from a citizen is warranted or not warranted?	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made to terminate certain members of the department. MR. DOWD: And then we'll agree further that it's subject to the protective order that has been entered. MR. JOHNSON: Protective order that's been entered, as well as we'll agree that you don't have to have a continuing objection to these
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol division? 15 A Not unusual. 16 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form, but 19 BY MR. JOHNSON: 20 Q Are you the sole person who decides whether a complaint of misconduct from a citizen is warranted or not warranted? 23 A I wouldn't say sole, but in general, yes.	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made to terminate certain members of the department. MR. DOWD: And then we'll agree further that it's subject to the protective order that has been entered. MR. JOHNSON: Protective order that's been entered, as well as we'll agree that you don't have to have a continuing objection to these and they can be addressed through a motion
1 reports? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Do you factually know who Mr. Kaminski's supervisor was the morning hours of September 17th, 2011? 6 A No, I don't. 7 Q Would that normally be a sergeant? 8 A Either the sergeant or the lieutenant. 9 Q It's a weekend, so 10 A Yeah, sergeant. 11 Q Yeah. Definitely not a commander. I gotcha. Lieutenant Ballard is actually identified in this case. 14 Is it unusual that Lieutenant Ballard could be working as shift supervisor for the patrol division? 15 A Not unusual. 16 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form, but 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 18 Q Are you the sole person who decides whether a complaint of misconduct from a citizen is warranted or not warranted?	went into and to produce were subject to that objection. And right now we're trying to go into an area that we have objected to, so I'm wondering if this is reasonably calculated to discovery or if this is a method to bypass the previous objections to discovery. MR. JOHNSON: Well, I think that this could be remedied down the road. I'm not doing this to harass you. And if it's limited in facts and circumstances, I'm not here to embarrass anybody, including the person who was terminated. What I am interested in knowing, though, is general very general facts and circumstances as to why the decision was made to terminate certain members of the department. MR. DOWD: And then we'll agree further that it's subject to the protective order that has been entered. MR. JOHNSON: Protective order that's been entered, as well as we'll agree that you don't have to have a continuing objection to these

Thomas Jackson

113 115 1 this point for these individuals to be pursuant 1 the police department related to the use of force? 2 A Use of force, no, I don't think so. I to the protective order is a good way to handle it and also to ask them generally so that we don't remember any specific example. don't delve into things that -- that are **Q** Any form of discipline where you had to be 5 established through questioning aren't related 5 involved as chief -to the claims or at least the nature of the 6 6 A Yes. 7 7 claims that are at issue here in this case. I **Q** -- against any individual related to the 8 think that's -- that's good. 8 use of force? 9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 9 A The use of physical force, no, I don't 10 **Q** With those safeguards in place, why don't 10 remember any of those. 11 you walk me through, to your knowledge, the general 11 **Q** How about the use of Taser ECW? Any facts and circumstances of the police department 12 discipline that you had to be involved with that members that you terminated during your period as relates to an officer in the use of a Taser device? 13 chief, the names and the reasons. **A** None that I can remember. 14 14 15 A As I said, Sergeant Norwood was terminated 15 **Q** And to be fair, would any complaints about following an incident at a school in town where his 16 16 officers and any claimed inappropriate use of force son, I believe, attended. Sergeant Norwood, I be contained in the IA log you told me about 17 believe that his son was being bullied and went into 18 earlier? 19 the classroom in uniform and made threats against A Yes. 20 the alleged perpetrator. 20 **Q** They may also be verbal and just not got 21 **Q** Understood. The other names, and you said to you, is that fair as well -there were other names, if you can recall the facts 22 That's --A 23 and circumstances, sir, please let me know. 23 -- as you said earlier? Q 24 MR. PLUNKERT: And again, are you asking 24 Yes. Α 25 for generally, too? 25 Easiest way to say it, Mr. Jackson, would 114 116 MR. JOHNSON: Yep. 1 be, any complaints that I know about and was 2 A Yeah. I can't remember their names. You involved with, I logged in the files I maintain that know, on the tip of my tongue I can see them I call the IA log, is that correct? 3 standing in front of me. But they pulled a prank on A Yes. **Q** Okay. When you received a complaint from an officer who had a take-home car. They took the car, moved it and parked it in the city park. a citizen about the conduct of an officer and you 6 were asked to weigh only the complaint of the 7 BY MR. JOHNSON: citizen versus the word of the officer in **Q** And did that lead to a termination of more 8 determining whether that was substantiated, did you 9 than one individual -always give the benefit of the doubt to the officer? 10 10 A Yes. 11 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form and 11 O -- as far as there was more than one 12 foundation. 12 individual involved? 13 BY MR. JOHNSON: 13 A Yes. 14 **O** Go ahead. 14 **Q** Any others, sir? 15 MR. PLUNKERT: You may answer. 15 A I terminated a jail employee for, among 16 A Absent any other factors, you know, if we 16 other things, letting a -- letting a prisoner out when I think the city was supposed to be coming and 17 couldn't prove an allegation, then it could be one 17 of those categories where it's just not sustained, picking that prisoner up. 18 18 but it wouldn't necessarily be unfounded. 19 19 **Q** Any communications division terminations? BY MR. JOHNSON: 20 20 Pardon me? A **Q** What information is given to the citizen 21 21 Any communications divisions --Q who complains about the outcome of your 22 22 A Yes. 23 investigation? 23 -- terminations? Q A Generally I would send them a letter. 24 24 Yes. General for a drug-related offense. A When I had addresses for them, I'd send them a 25 Any suspensions of any individual within

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

Thomas Jackson

117 119 letter stating what the outcome was. 1 Ferguson utilized other than Exhibit 5 in the event 1 an officer violated a standard of conduct set forth **Q** Do you maintain copies of the letters you sent to the citizens who complained that you were in Exhibit 6? able to provide an outcome to? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form. A Ī did. A So there's also a personnel manual, and 5 I -- one of the early general orders that I put out 6 O And were those also kept in the IA log? stated that if there was any conflict between a 7 **A** No. They were just kept in my Word 8 documents. general order and a personnel manual, that that 9 **Q** Just on your --9 would have to be addressed. But in general, the --10 A Oh, there was a copy of them. There 10 if there was discipline to be meted out, it would be wouldn't necessarily be a copy in the investigative 11 in accordance with 303. logs. But I just send it to my administrative 12 BY MR. JOHNSON: Q And are these standards to ensure, at 13 assistant, and she would fill out the letter and the 13 envelope, I'd sign it and then they'd send it off. least in part -- let me point to the one I care 14 14 about. Number 38, page 6, this is reporting again, O Did you save on your computer all of the 15 15 letters you sent to the citizens responding to their sir. Do you see that, Reporting? 16 16 complaints? 17 A Okay. 17 18 **A** I don't know that I saved them all. Some 18 Q "Reports be truthful and complete," true? of them I wrote over. 19 19 A 20 20 **O** "Personnel will not normally enter or Okay. cause to be entered any inaccurate, false, or 21 A But there were quite a few of them in improper information," correct? 22 there. 22 (Deposition Exhibit Number 6 23 A Correct. 23 **Q** That's to ensure that officers provide 24 24 marked for identification.) 25 25 honest, truthful information in their reports, 118 120 BY MR. JOHNSON: 1 correct? A Yes, it is. Q I'm going to hand you Exhibit 6, and I Q And is that also to ensure that they think this is somewhat similar with what we've been provide honest and truthful testimony if they're asking about, but I'm going to jump around a little asked questions under oath? 5 bit on a couple of these. A Yes. 6 6 What is Exhibit 6, Mr. Jackson? 7 7 A General Order 304.0, January 24th, 2011, **Q** Next I'm going to mark Exhibit 7 and ask if you could please identify this general order for Standards of Conduct. 8 9 me, Mr. Jackson. 9 O These are standards of conduct for both 10 10 sworn and nonsworn department employees, correct? (Deposition Exhibit Number 7 11 marked for identification.) 11 12 A It's titled "Personnel Responsibilities, 12 Q Starting January 24th, 2011, under your 13 watch and extending all the way through March of 13 General Order 111.0." 2015, when you resigned? 14 BY MR. JOHNSON: 15 A Yes. 15 **Q** Are these job descriptions, essentially, for the different people that work at the Ferguson 16 **Q** And would these be applicable to patrol 16 officers working for the City of Ferguson Police 17 Police Department? 17 18 A Yes, in general. Department? 19 A Yes, they would. 19 **Q** So the standards that we see in Exhibit 6, **Q** Is the discipline policy we just looked at 20 are they applicable to all different jobs within the in Exhibit 5, is that the policy that would exist in Ferguson Police Department, meaning both sworn and 22 the event an officer violates one of these 22 nonsworn personnel? 23 standards? 23 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form. 24 Generally, yes. 24 A The question is --A 25 25 Is there any other discipline policy that

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

123 121 BY MR. JOHNSON: 1 internationally accepted standards, specifically 1 2 Let me ask a better question. CALEA. We see 18 different job descriptions in 3 **Q** Did you refer to the -- your time at the 3 Exhibit 7, okay, at the top. 4 county and their CIT program in formulating that 4 Are the standards of conduct found in 5 policy? 5 Exhibit 6 applicable to all 18 job descriptions in **A** That informed the policy, but the policy 6 6 7 was -- as with all the policies, were designed to 7 Exhibit 7? 8 A Yes, if they were working for the police 8 meet the highest standards. 9 department. 9 **Q** Best practices? 10 **Q** And are the goals and objectives -- strike A Yes. 10 that. Is the mission statement that we went through 11 **Q** Did you strive for best practices in the in Exhibit 1 applicable to all 18 job descriptions 12 formulation of all the general orders that you 13 we see in Exhibit 7? 13 signed off on? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form. 14 14 A Yes. **Q** Once you signed off on a general order, 15 A Yes. 15 whenever that date is, and I know we've looked at 16 BY MR. JOHNSON: 16 **Q** Do any of the policies that we've looked different dates, was there a time where you went 17 17 at so far, do they apply to all different types of back and revised that policy before you resigned? 18 people that Ferguson Police Department officers 19 A Yes. would encounter on the street? 20 **O** Was that common? 20 21 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form. 21 **A** It wasn't uncommon. **Q** When you would have to revise the policy, 22 BY MR. JOHNSON: 22 **Q** Both mentally healthy and mentally what were the factors or variables that went into 23 23 24 the revision of a general order after you had unhealthy? 25 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form. drafted the first general order? 122 124

A Yes. 1 BY MR. JOHNSON:

3

5

6

7

10

15

O Was there ever a time where you had discussions about promulgating policy for patrol officers encountering people who may be mentally unstable?

A I believe there's a general order for it.

Q And what is the general order, sir? What 8 9 is the title of it?

A I don't remember.

What is the substance of it? If you 11 0 12 recall.

13 A Procedure to be followed when dealing with mentally unstable personnel. 14

Q And you drafted that?

16 A I need to take a look at it. I've been

17 away from these for a while. 18

Q I can't give you that lifeline yet.

19 Did you sign it similar to the other ones 20 we've seen?

21 **A** If it's a general order that was promulgated post-2010, then yes. 22

23 **Q** Tell me about the formulation of that

24 policy, how it came to be. 25

A It would be designed off of

A For example, when we went from rotating backward eight-hour shifts to, say, 12-hour shifts, those general orders would need to be revised. We also stopped using the REJIS criminal justice system and switched over to MULES. We switched to a

different CAD, computer-aided dispatching service, so any time those things were referenced in a

general order, we would need to go back and change 9 that reference.

10 **Q** Did you have the ability to modify 11 discipline that a supervisor would have handed out?

12 A Well, supervisors would not mete out 13 discipline.

14 **Q** Let's say a supervisor verbally 15 disciplined a subordinate. Do you as chief have the 16 ability to modify that discipline to some other form of discipline? 17 18

A Yes.

Have you had to do that in the past? Q

20 A I -- I have, yes.

21 **Q** Did you have final authority to exonerate 22 police officers from a complaint of misconduct?

24 **Q** Did you draft a document retention policy 25 at Ferguson?

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

19

23

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

	* *
125	127
1 A Yes.	1 Q And were all the summaries there when you
2 Q Was that something that was lacking before	2 left
3 you arrived as chief?	
4 A I don't remember.	4 Q of the IA logs that you would have
5 MR. PLUNKERT: It calls for speculation.	5 presented to Mr. Shaw?
6 Go ahead. I'm sorry.	6 A I don't know.
7 A I don't remember.	7 Q Did you maintain the summaries with the
8 BY MR. JOHNSON:	8 logs themselves?
9 Q Do you have a memory as to whether or not	9 A No.
10 you created for the first time, to your knowledge, a	10 Q Just the raw data?
11 document retention policy at the Ferguson Police	11 A Yes.
12 Department?	12 Q Did you draft a summary on your computer
13 A Again, I don't remember seeing one.	13 similar to letters you told me about?
14 Q Is the only statistical information	14 A No. That's why my administrative
15 regarding allegations of police officer misconduct	15 assistant would ask me for the data, and then she
16 the IA log that you just told me about	16 would create the summary.
17 A Yes.	17 O And who was that?
18 Q and the summary you presented to the	18 A Mary Simmons.
19 city manager?	19 Q And is Ms. Simmons still with the
20 A Yes.	20 department?
21 Q And so any statistical data we would see	21 A Yes.
22 of officer misconduct would be found in the log and	22 Q She's the current chief's administrative
	23 assistant?
,	
1	
25 A Yes.	25 Q So did you rely on Ms. Simmons to
100	100
126	128
1 BY MR. JOHNSON:	1 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on	
1 BY MR. JOHNSON:	1 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on	1 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a 2 certain category?
 BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Were any any statistical data on employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary?
 BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Were any any statistical data on employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant to a document retention policy? 	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her,
 BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Were any any statistical data on employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant to a document retention policy? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. BY MR. JOHNSON: 	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in
 BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Were any any statistical data on employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant to a document retention policy? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. BY MR. JOHNSON: 	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and
 BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Were any any statistical data on employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant to a document retention policy? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q That you know of. A Not that I know of. 	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did
 BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Were any any statistical data on employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant to a document retention policy? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q That you know of. A Not that I know of. Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your understanding of the document retention policy?	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her?
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her.
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point.	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her?
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention?	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her? A For example, she would come in; it was
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah.	 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her? A For example, she would come in; it was time for her to do the summary. She would come in
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the	1 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a 2 certain category? 3 A No. 4 Q But she would draft the summary? 5 A Based on the information that I gave her, 6 she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in 7 her computer, and 8 Q So just so I understand the process, did 9 you take all of these complaints and give them to 10 Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? 11 A I verbally told her. 12 Q What did you verbally tell her? 13 A For example, she would come in; it was 14 time for her to do the summary. She would come in 15 and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the 16 employee misconduct claims that form the basis of	1 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a 2 certain category? 3 A No. 4 Q But she would draft the summary? 5 A Based on the information that I gave her, 6 she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in 7 her computer, and 8 Q So just so I understand the process, did 9 you take all of these complaints and give them to 10 Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? 11 A I verbally told her. 12 Q What did you verbally tell her? 13 A For example, she would come in; it was 14 time for her to do the summary. She would come in 15 and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past 16 year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the 16 employee misconduct claims that form the basis of 17 the IA log, were they destroyed pursuant to some	1 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a 2 certain category? 3 A No. 4 Q But she would draft the summary? 5 A Based on the information that I gave her, 6 she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in 7 her computer, and 8 Q So just so I understand the process, did 9 you take all of these complaints and give them to 10 Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? 11 A I verbally told her. 12 Q What did you verbally tell her? 13 A For example, she would come in; it was 14 time for her to do the summary. She would come in 15 and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past 16 year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that 17 we had so many complaints against so many officers
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the 16 employee misconduct claims that form the basis of 17 the IA log, were they destroyed pursuant to some 18 document retention policy during your time as police	1 characterize a complaint as a to fall in a 2 certain category? 3 A No. 4 Q But she would draft the summary? 5 A Based on the information that I gave her, 6 she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in 7 her computer, and 8 Q So just so I understand the process, did 9 you take all of these complaints and give them to 10 Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? 11 A I verbally told her. 12 Q What did you verbally tell her? 13 A For example, she would come in; it was 14 time for her to do the summary. She would come in 15 and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past 16 year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that 17 we had so many complaints against so many officers 18 and these were the results.
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the 16 employee misconduct claims that form the basis of 17 the IA log, were they destroyed pursuant to some 18 document retention policy during your time as police 19 chief?	characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her? A For example, she would come in; it was time for her to do the summary. She would come in and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that we had so many complaints against so many officers and these were the results. Q But not the nature of the complaints?
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at this point. 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the employee misconduct claims that form the basis of the IA log, were they destroyed pursuant to some document retention policy during your time as police chief? 20 A Not that I'm aware of.	characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her? A For example, she would come in; it was time for her to do the summary. She would come in and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that we had so many complaints against so many officers and these were the results. Q But not the nature of the complaints? A No.
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the 16 employee misconduct claims that form the basis of 17 the IA log, were they destroyed pursuant to some 18 document retention policy during your time as police 19 chief? 20 A Not that I'm aware of. 21 Q Because you're the one that maintains	characterize a complaint as a to fall in a certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her? A For example, she would come in; it was time for her to do the summary. She would come in and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that we had so many complaints against so many officers and these were the results. Q But not the nature of the complaints? A No. Q So Mr. Shaw never knew the nature of the
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the 16 employee misconduct claims that form the basis of 17 the IA log, were they destroyed pursuant to some 18 document retention policy during your time as police 19 chief? 20 A Not that I'm aware of. 21 Q Because you're the one that maintains 22 them?	certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her? A For example, she would come in; it was time for her to do the summary. She would come in and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that we had so many complaints against so many officers and these were the results. Q But not the nature of the complaints? A No. Q So Mr. Shaw never knew the nature of the complaints against your officers?
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the 16 employee misconduct claims that form the basis of 17 the IA log, were they destroyed pursuant to some 18 document retention policy during your time as police 19 chief? 20 A Not that I'm aware of. 21 Q Because you're the one that maintains 22 them? 23 A Right.	certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her? A For example, she would come in; it was time for her to do the summary. She would come in and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that we had so many complaints against so many officers and these were the results. Q But not the nature of the complaints? A No. Q So Mr. Shaw never knew the nature of the complaints against your officers? MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lacks
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the 16 employee misconduct claims that form the basis of 17 the IA log, were they destroyed pursuant to some 18 document retention policy during your time as police 19 chief? 20 A Not that I'm aware of. 21 Q Because you're the one that maintains 22 them? 23 A Right. 24 Q Were they all there when you left?	certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her? A For example, she would come in; it was time for her to do the summary. She would come in and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that we had so many complaints against so many officers and these were the results. Q But not the nature of the complaints? A No. Q So Mr. Shaw never knew the nature of the complaints against your officers? MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lacks foundation. You can answer.
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Were any any statistical data on 3 employee employee misconduct destroyed pursuant 4 to a document retention policy? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q That you know of. 8 A Not that I know of. 9 Q What, when it comes to the IA log, is your 10 understanding of the document retention policy? 11 A I'd need to see the the document at 12 this point. 13 Q The retention? 14 A Yeah. 15 Q Okay. Do you know whether any of the 16 employee misconduct claims that form the basis of 17 the IA log, were they destroyed pursuant to some 18 document retention policy during your time as police 19 chief? 20 A Not that I'm aware of. 21 Q Because you're the one that maintains 22 them? 23 A Right.	certain category? A No. Q But she would draft the summary? A Based on the information that I gave her, she just I gave it to her verbally, she did it in her computer, and Q So just so I understand the process, did you take all of these complaints and give them to Ms. Simmons, or did you just verbally tell her? A I verbally told her. Q What did you verbally tell her? A For example, she would come in; it was time for her to do the summary. She would come in and say, "I need the complaint numbers for the past year." I'd pull them up on my computer and say that we had so many complaints against so many officers and these were the results. Q But not the nature of the complaints? A No. Q So Mr. Shaw never knew the nature of the complaints against your officers? MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lacks

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

Thomas Jackson

129 131 1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 1 A Yes. O You never told Mr. Shaw through the O Did you see use of lethal and less lethal summary the nature of any of the complaints against weapons and use of force as a priority when you your officers? first started? A Yes. 5 **A** Not through the summary, no. Right. He may have known otherwise? 6 Why? 6 Q A It's a specific and unique authority and 7 8 **Q** But when it came only to the summary, that 8 responsibility that's given to police officers to use force on citizens, and throughout my career it's 9 was not told to him, the nature of the complaints? 9 10 10 been important to me that that has been used 11 **Q** Did he ever come to you and ask for the 11 responsibly and kept in check. 12 nature of the complaints that he was seeing? 12 **Q** Because the Ferguson Department recognize 13 **A** I'm pretty sure that I told him about 13 and respects the value and integrity of human life? every written complaint that we got. 14 14 Q But you had final authority to discipline 15 15 A Correct. those officers, correct? 16 16 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection to the form of 17 A I -- I say that. But if he had -- he 17 that question. 18 would have had the authority if he felt -- if he had 18 BY MR. JOHNSON: knowledge of a complaint and felt it needed to be 19 Q I'll just read it. more severe, then --20 Doesn't the policy say, "The Department recognizes and respects the value and special 21 **Q** Did he ever exercise that authority? 21 A I think we always discussed those cases integrity of each human life"? 22 22 23 and came to an agreement. 23 A Yes, it does. Q And did you have that same value and 24 **O** What do you mean by "those cases"? 24 25 A Any case where he would have an opinion on 25 respect when you worked at the county? 130 132 discipline. A Yes. **Q** Well, when did he get involved? Was there 2 **Q** The use-of-force policy, Exhibit 8, some severity or numerosity that he got involved in? contains definitions on page 2, correct? I mean, he wasn't involved in each and A Correct. 4 5 **Q** And were these definitions utilized as of 5 every complaint, was he? September 2011, to your knowledge, by the Ferguson 6 A No. 7 7 Police Department? **Q** So the ones that you remember, 8 Mr. Jackson -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut you A Yes. 8 9 **O** Does the policy also contain a subheader 9 10 The ones that you remember, do you on less lethal force? A Yes. 11 remember anything that stand out in your mind as to 11 where Mr. Shaw intervened in reviewing the 12 **Q** And what do you consider to be the use of less lethal force, Mr. Jackson? 13 complaint? 13 14 **A** Nothing in particular, no. 14 A In general, it's any type of weapon that 15 (Deposition Exhibit Number 8 15 could potentially cause death but is not designed to 16 marked for identification.) 16 cause death. 17 17 **Q** Is it your belief, when you promulgated BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Let's talk about use of force, primarily 18 Exhibit 8, that a less lethal weapon best 18 why we're here. I'm going to give you Exhibit 8, deescalates an incident? 20 ask you to please identify that for me. 20 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form and 21 A "Use of Lethal and Less Lethal Weapons, 21 foundation. 22 Use of Force Continuum, General Order 410.0." 22 A I wouldn't use that as the definition. I 23 **Q** Dated July 6, 2010? would say that it's -- it's a tool that could 24 Correct. prevent other types of force in a use-of-force A 25 Again, early in your tenure? 25 scenario where the other types of force could cause

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

Thomas Jackson

135 133 -- right? severe injury. 1 1 Q BY MR. JOHNSON: A Yes. **Q** Can less lethal force be utilized, Q You didn't put any noncertified Taser different forms in conjunction with one another? users out on the street, did you? 5 A Yes. 6 O The weapons regulations for less lethal 6 O Did that ever happen, where an officer force, obviously there are different forms of less 7 7 used a Taser device not certified on it, to your 8 lethal force, correct? 8 knowledge? 9 A Yes. 9 A Not to my knowledge, no. 10 **Q** In fact, there are examples on page 6 of 10 **Q** Is the use of the Taser in -- in terms of 11 Exhibit 8, which include batons? it being a less lethal weapon, as you say under your 12 A Yes. policy, is the use of it based on the same criteria Chemical agents? 13 Q 13 as the batons, chemical agents, and aerosol 14 A Yes. 14 irritants? Aerosol irritants? 15 Q 15 A In general terms, it's -- it's the type of 16 A Yes. weapon that would be used where it can replace And the advanced Taser electric physical force, so it wouldn't necessarily be all 17 17 incapacitation device? the same criteria as pepper spray, but ... 18 18 19 **Q** What variables do you consider to be 19 A Yes. IED for short, right? 20 different in terms of the use of pepper Mace versus 20 0 21 21 the use of a Taser? A Q Did you equip in September of 2011 your 22 22 A Passive resistance. Someone is blocking patrol division with batons? 23 23 the roadway, refuses to move, rather than wrestling 24 with them, the pepper spray could be used, but not A Yes. necessarily other forms. You wouldn't use a Q Did you equip them with chemical agents? 25 134 136 1 nightstick on someone who is passive resistant. Α 2 Q You wouldn't use a Taser on somebody **Q** Did you equip them with aerosol irritants? 3 that's passively resistant? A Did you equip them with Taser --MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 4 Q Let me back up. 5 5 A No. Α 6 Go ahead. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: Q 7 A Chemical agents, you're referring to tear 7 **Q** That would be improper under your use-of-force continuum? gas, so no, if that's separate from the aerosol. 8 8 9 9 **O** What do you consider to be a CS chemical 10 10 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form and agent, sir? A Tear gas that's deployed either through a 11 11 foundation. shotgun or canister. 12 BY MR. JOHNSON: 12 **Q** And what do you consider to be an aerosol 13 13 **Q** Correct? 14 irritant, Mr. Jackson? 14 A Correct. 15 **A** In general, a pepper Mace. 15 O The use of force -- the Resistance Control **Q** Was the Ferguson Police Department patrol 16 16 Guidelines Use-of-Force Continuum starts on page 11 17 division officers equipped with tear gas rifle in and goes on to page 12 of Exhibit 8, is that true, 17 September of 2011? 18 18 sir? 19 A No. 19 A Yes, it is. 20 Q Were they equipped with pepper Mace? 20 **Q** And is that a continuum that was drafted 21 21 by you? 22 And were they equipped with the Taser ECW? 22 A This is the -- specific answer to your Q 23 23 question is yes. This is widely accepted force A 24 Q If they were certified --24 25 A Yes. 25 **Q** And what source of information did you

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

Thomas Jackson	September 18, 2015
	137
refer to in or around July of 2010 to utilize continuum as the use-of-force continuum the Ferguson Police Department? A That would be the international stop the state standards, and standards used by IACP. I'm sorry. Q Sir, did you go to any of the outsing enforcement agencies locally in the St. Lower metropolitan area to copy in whole or in put their use-of-force continuum? A We used as yes. We used as guard those standards that were already accepted international standards. Q And did this continuum change at between July 6, 2010 and September of 2 A Absent any revised standard, which recall having done, then yes. Q Was it in effect as of the time you your position at Ferguson? A Yes. Q And do you agree that the decision type of force to be utilized should be consecuted as the consecution of the process of the standard. MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form foundation. You may answer.	matrilized by answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. Each time it has to meet the requirements to use a Taser. BY MR. JOHNSON: Per law Build a green with the incident in order to determine which nondeadly to technique or less lethal weapon will best deescalate the incident and bring it under control in a safe manner, correct? A Correct. BY MR. DOWD: I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer. I He with the it has to meet the requirements to use a Taser. A Manual Manual Answer. A Correct was and you agree before incident and bring it under control in a safe manner, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. BY MR. DOWD: I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer. BY MR. JOHNSON: A Correct was a Taser. A Correct was and assess the incident and you agree before even less lethal force is used and you are dealing with a mentally unstable individual, that CIT protocols should be used to deescalate the situation even before the use of force? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form and foundation. BY MR. JOHNSON: A If available.
1 A If I understand the question correct each case is individual. 3 BY MR. JOHNSON: 4 Q We'll start there. First of all, each case or each encounter is individual, corect each encounter itself, in the second each encounter itself, in the each encounter itself, in the second each encounter itself, in the each enco	Q Do you expect, or was it the expectation of you as chief, that if you went to the time and expense of training an officer on CIT that they would implement it in the field? MR. PLUNKERT: It calls for speculation. You may answer. A That what we wanted to do was make every possible tool available to the officers. Ultimately, on scene, they have the discretion. BY MR. JOHNSON: A have Q Is the discretion whether to engage in CIT techniques at the scene unlimited discretion? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form and foundation. MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form and foundation. A No. That was probably a bad choice of words, "discretion." The officer is going to have tools available to him and it's going to be up to him on the scene; he's going to be the one who decides which is the best tool under those

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

Q So if the officer is CIT trained, are they

24 constrained in exercising their discretion whether

25 to implement CIT techniques based on the training

23

24

25

23 BY MR. JOHNSON:

Q I'm talking discharge of the Taser.

A Yeah, discharge of a Taser. Each time the

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

141	143
1 they did receive? 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. 3 BY MR. JOHNSON: 4 Q It's a fancy way of me saying this: Do 5 you expect that officer to implement his or her CIT 6 training in the field if they encounter a mentally 7 unstable individual where CIT may deescalate the 8 situation? 9 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. 10 A If the circumstances allow it. 11 BY MR. JOHNSON: 12 Q And, again, similar to are you saying 13 that similar to the totality of the circumstances in 14 determining whether to utilize force, they should 15 also look at the totality of the circumstances in 16 determining whether to use CIT techniques? 17 A I couldn't have said it better myself. 18 Q I'll never be able to repeat that. 19 A It's on tape. 20 Q There, too. 21 Does the totality of the circumstances 22 surrounding the decision to utilize a Taser, does 23 that take into account the suspect's mental state? 24 A Yes. 25 Q At some point, sir, did you learn that the	1 equipment and training and things like that. 2 Q Have you professionally in law 3 enforcement, sir, had interaction with the Police 4 Executive Research Forum? 5 A Yes. I met with the president. 6 Q In what ways? 7 A I met with the president of PERF, Chuck 8 Wexler. 9 Q When was that? 10 A Late last year. 11 Q Was that related to the Michael Brown incident? 13 A Generally, yeah. 14 Q Okay. Did you have interaction with PERF before assuming your position as chief of police of Ferguson? 17 A No. 18 Q Did you have association with PERF for a professional reason between you assuming your duty as police chief and when the Michael Brown incident occurred in 2014? 2 A No. Q But did you generally know what PERF was when you assumed your role as police chief of Ferguson?
guidelines for the use of the Taser ECW were revised during your period of time as police chief? A I don't have any memory of that, no. Q Are you familiar with an organization called the Police Executive Research Forum, PERF? A Yes. Q What is that? A It's an organization of some form of police executives, current police executives and others, researchers, who do essentially scholarly papers on various topics involving police work. Q Are you familiar with an entity known as the Community Oriented Policing Services within the U.S. Department of Justice? A Yes. Q And what is that entity? What do they do? MR. PLUNKERT: Foundation. A They do BY MR. JOHNSON: Q What do you understand they do? A They do a variety of different things, one of which is to provide training to police departments and others in police community relations, biased-based policing. They also provide grants, things like traffic enforcement and	1 A Yes. 2 Q Did you also generally know what the COPS 3 division of the U.S. Department of Justice was 4 before you assumed your position as police chief of 5 Ferguson? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Okay. Is PERF or COPS, are those entities 8 that provided some type of training to you as an 9 officer? 10 A I don't know that I got any specific PERF 11 training. Generally, they provide articles, 12 opinions, things like that. But through COPS, I 13 think I took advantage of their grants from time to 14 time. 15 Q Certainly. Did you look to PERF in terms 16 of drafting the general orders we went through today 17 or any of your general orders? 18 A I'm sure that I did. I used lots of 19 sources, and PERF is 20 Q Do you consider PERF to be authoritative 21 in your field? 22 A Authoritative? 23 Q Yes. Is it some 24 A No. I would consider it 25 Q accepted

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015 145 147 1 -- to be advisory. 1 Tasers. 2 **Q** Would you have deployed Tasers in the O Advisory. Yeah. field without a general order? A That's a good word. A No. Q 5 5 Q And what sources of information did you Yeah. A The use-of-force policy we looked at in look to to create Exhibit 9? 6 6 Exhibit 8, do you recall what sources you went --7 A Again, the standards, the CALEA standards, 7 looked to to formulate that policy or that general 8 the international standards --9 order? 9 Q How about any --A -- taser training. 10 A Again, just a lot of sources, but -- you 10 11 know, a lot of it was my own training and experience 11 **Q** Exactly. Anything directly from Taser at St. Louis County and their general orders as well International, sir? 13 as others that met international criteria. 13 **A** Yes. I do know that John Brannon **Q** And then I forgot to give you Exhibit 9. 14 contributed quite a bit to this. 14 That's the Taser order. I'll ask you some questions 15 **Q** Do you know if there was a specific 15 version of the Taser training manual that you looked 16 about that, too. 16 17 A Okay. to in drafting Exhibit 9? 17 18 (Deposition Exhibit Number 9 18 A No, I don't. 19 marked for identification.) 19 Q Do you know, based on your experience --20 BY MR. JOHNSON: 20 background, training and experience in law enforcement, that there are different versions of **Q** Here you go, sir. What is Exhibit 9, Mr. Jackson? Taser training manuals? 22 22 23 A Taser Electronic Incapacitation Device. 23 **A** I'm not aware of that. 24 Q It's not dated. Do you know what date 24 **Q** So if I asked you if there was a specific 25 this was a general order within your department? 25 version of the Taser training manual that was 146 148 1 A No. I don't. incorporated into Exhibit 9, you could not tell me Q Do you know if this general order was in 2 2 that? existence as of September 2011? 3 3 No, I couldn't. A Absent any revision, then it would have Did Mr. Brannon actually draft Exhibit 9? 4 4 O A I can't say with certainty, but my best 5 been, ves. Q How can you tell me that? I mean, I know recollection is that he sent me the model for my 6 we see dates on some. I'm just -review and we compared it to, you know, the 7 A Yeah. 8 standards that I previously stated. 8 9 **Q** Is there something that you can see in **Q** Because you yourself, again, aren't 9 10 Exhibit 9 that suggests to you that I -- I have an certified on the Taser? 10 11 idea when this was formulated and promulgated by me? 11 A I can't tell you what the date is. **Q** It would be kind of a heavy burden for you 12 13 to write all the verbiage in Exhibit 9, not being **Q** Is there some resource that you utilized 13 certified, true? within the City of Ferguson that would help you 14 15 A True. 15 better understand when Exhibit 9 may have been signed by you? 16 O So you looked in part to Mr. Brannon to 16 17 A Well, it would have been prior to the 17 help you because he was certified? 18 deployment of Taser, clearly, so prior to the 18 A Yes. 19 Q Any other department personnel who training. So I could ... 20 assisted in Exhibit 9 other than possibly 20 **Q** Let me ask it this way: Do you know if 21 Mr. Brannon? 21 you signed off on Exhibit 9 before you made the A Not that I remember. 22 22 decision to purchase the Tasers? Q Do you know of any updates to Exhibit 9 23 23 A I made that decision around the same time

> 37 (Pages 145 to 148)

that were made during your time working with the

Ferguson department?

24

as the decision -- I mean that signature, the --

around the same time that we decided to purchase

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

151 149 **Q** The discharge of a Taser device causing a 1 No, I don't. 2 And Exhibit 9 cross-references Exhibit 8 probe to strike an individual's heart area, is that acceptable under Exhibit 9? on the second page, is that true, sir, General Order MR. PLUNKERT: Same. 410.00? 5 BY MR. JOHNSON: 5 6 Q Let me ask it a different way. Is it a 6 **Q** Is the lower portion of the second page of Exhibit 9, when it comes to parts of the body to 7 violation of Exhibit 9? 7 target, is that the only instruction Ferguson 8 **A** It says in here, "The head, face, and 9 provided its officers on the use of the Taser? 9 heart area should not be specifically targeted 10 A I don't know if that was ever modified. 10 unless the appropriate level of force can be 11 **Q** Is a discharge of a Taser causing a probe 11 justified." to strike an individual's heart area contrary to 12 **Q** So it would be a violation? MR. PLUNKERT: Objection on foundation. 13 this order? 13 14 You may answer. And form. 14 MR. PLUNKERT: Object. Foundation. You A Yes. It would be inconsistent with the 15 15 can answer. 16 16 A I'm sorry, could you ... order. 17 MR. JOHNSON: Could you repeat that? 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 18 (Record read by the reporter as follows: 18 **Q** Do you use the word "inconsistent" 19 "Q Is a discharge of a Taser causing a 19 synonymously with "violation"? 20 probe to strike an individual's heart area 20 A Well, if it's -- if it's intentional. A contrary to this order?") 21 Taser is not a necessarily precise device, 21 A When you say "heart area," just talking particularly when one or more people may be moving, 22 but an intentional strike to that area would be a 23 about the upper torso, right? BY MR. JOHNSON: 24 24 violation. 25 25 **Q** Were there any other written general Q Yes, sir. 150 152 I don't believe so. orders or policies for the use of the Taser device 1 2 O You believe it's consistent? used at Ferguson as of September 2011 other than 3 3 Yes Exhibit 9? So it reads, "The head, face, and heart 4 **A** Just the ones referenced in Exhibit 9. area should not be specifically targeted unless the 5 410. appropriate level of force can be justified." 6 Which would be the general use of force? Q Did I read that correctly? 7 7 A Yes. 8 A Yes. 8 Anything else, sir? 9 9 Q So a discharge of a Taser probe causing --There's a Taser Deployment Form that would the discharge of a Taser device, causing a probe to 10 be filled out. strike an individual's heart area, is that 11 Okay. And I'm really asking more about Q consistent or not consistent with Exhibit 9? 12 rules. 13 **A** It is consistent. 13 14 **O** It's accepted? 14 **Q** Any other rules that your department used A It's consistent. 15 15 for the use of the Taser as of September 2011 other 16 Q Okay. Is it acceptable --16 than Exhibits 8 and 9? 17 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Foun --17 A None that I can think of. BY MR. JOHNSON: **Q** Were there any policies in place that 18 18 19 **Q** -- under this order? talked about a limitation on the number of cycles 20 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection on the grounds of 20 that a Taser could be used? 21 foundation. 21 A I'd have to review the general order again 22 BY MR. JOHNSON: 22 to -- to know that. 23 Q Go ahead, sir. Is it acceptable under 23 **Q** Let's look at it. 24 this order? 24 **A** To ... 25 25 And feel free to take a break and review

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

Thomas sackson	September 10, 2013
153	155
 this entire general order if you'd like to, sir, because I'm going to ask you a series of questions about it. A All right. Q My question, Mr. Jackson, is, is there anything found in Exhibit 9 or other policies that you're aware of utilized by Ferguson as of September 2011 that discuss a limitation on the number of cycles of the Taser device? A No. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection on the grounds of the foundation. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Same question for any policies on cuffing under load. MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. A No. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Any policies you're aware of in Exhibit 9 or otherwise, as of September 2011, on using the Taser on an emotionally or mentally unstable individual? MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. A No. 	Taser X26 on an individual beyond five seconds per discharge? A Yes. Q And how was that relayed from Mr. Brannon to a trainee that you observed? A That was in his oral presentation. Q That was a prohibition that he made? A Yes. Q And did he discuss with you in your presence why you cannot activate a Taser device, a discharge of that device on an individual beyond five seconds? A No, we didn't have specific discussion. Q Did you have that understanding independent of Mr. Brannon A Yes. Q yourself? What is your understanding of why that discharge cannot last more than five seconds per use? A That was the rules. Q Would it have any risk of serious injury or death associated with it, that you know of? A I would have to assume that, yeah. Q Any policies or procedures in Exhibit 9 or otherwise used by Ferguson as of September 2011
1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Anything that discusses any prohibition 3 that activation of the X26 cannot extend beyond five 4 seconds? 5 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. 6 A That's in the training. I don't see it in 7 here. 8 BY MR. JOHNSON: 9 Q Which training? 10 A The Taser training. 11 Q Which Taser training as of September 2011? 12 MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation. 13 BY MR. JOHNSON: 14 Q Go ahead, sir. I'm just asking what 15 you're referring to. 16 A I'm referring to the training their 17 officers received from Brannon. 18 Q So you're referring generally to training 19 that Mr. Brannon would have given Ferguson officers 20 as of and before September 2011? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Which you don't know the substance of? 23 A I witnessed some of it. 24 Q Okay. Did any of that that you witnessed	associated with using the Taser on individuals that may be at a heightened risk for serious injury or death, such as the pregnant, the elderly, children, emotionally unstable people, anybody like that? MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation. A In this order? BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Yes, sir. MR. PLUNKERT: Also form. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Or Exhibit 8. MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. A No specific mentions that I can see. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Any mentions in these policies, Exhibits 8 and 9, or otherwise in use at Ferguson as of September 2011, that the application of a Taser after the first application should be independently justifiable? A That's not written in this order. Q And weighed against other force options? A In general it says it should be weighed against. The general order determines that the officer must determine which is the appropriate use of force so yes

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

25 of force, so yes.

25 contain a prohibition that you cannot utilize the

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

157	159
1 Q And that's Exhibit 8, sir?	1 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Foundation.
2 A Exhibit 8, yes.	2 BY MR. JOHNSON:
3 Q Any policy or procedure found in	3 Q Did you, police chief, ever provide that
4 Exhibits 8 or 9 or otherwise in use at Ferguson as	4 admonition to any of your officers, that the use of
5 of September 2011 that discuss positional	5 the Taser device can cause death?
6 asphyxiation positional asphyxia and how it can	6 A That's implicit in the training and in its
7 exacerbate an individual's condition who has 8 received use of a Taser application?	7 title. 8 O Did you yourself do it?
9 MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation. You	8 Q Did you yourself do it? 9 A Me personally
10 can answer.	10 Q Yeah.
11 A No, I don't see that in there.	11 A I didn't do a whole lot of training.
12 BY MR. JOHNSON:	12 Q Do you know if Mr. Brannon, prior to
13 Q How about the words "excited delirium"?	13 September 2011, ever gave that admonition to any of
14 Were those ever used in any policy or general order	14 the trainees that he trained on the use of the Taser
15 that you signed?	15 device?
16 A Any general order, I don't know.	16 A I don't know.
17 Q How about any general order as it relates	17 Q Because you obviously didn't sit in every
18 to the Taser device? Do you recall that phrase	18 second with him in the training?
19 coming up	19 A I did not.
20 A No. 21 Q in your general orders, sir?	20 Q And strike that. I don't want to be 21 too repetitive here, but I need to cover one other
22 A No, I do not.	22 aspect. I want to focus instead of policies and
23 Q Did Taser ever train Ferguson on excited	23 procedures and general orders and rules, I want to
24 delirium? Taser International.	24 focus on training for a second.
25 MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation.	25 A Okay.
	,
158	160
1 BY MR. JOHNSON:	1 Q To your knowledge, did Ferguson ever train
2 Q To your knowledge.	2 its officers on the use of the Taser that there
3 A I don't know.	3 should be a limitation on the number of cycles
4 Q I think you said earlier, Taser	4 before September 2011?
5 International never sent anybody to Ferguson, to	5 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of
6 your knowledge, did they?	6 foundation. You may answer.
7 A Not that I saw. I don't know if Brannon	7 A I don't know. I wasn't in on that
8 met with them.	8 specific training.
9 Q Would he be the best person to talk to 10 about that, about any interfacing with Taser	9 BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 O I'll cut to the chase.
11 International?	10 Q I'll cut to the chase. 11 Do you know any specific topic or aspect
12 A Yes.	12 of Taser training that Mr. Brannon gave officers of
13 Q Was there any policy, procedure, or	13 the Ferguson Police Department on the use of the
14 general order that you signed in effect as of	14 Taser before September 2011?
15 September 2011 that Taser can cause or contribute to	15 A No.
16 cause death?	16 Q Did you did Mr. Brannon ever come to
17 MR. PLUNKERT: Form and foundation. You	17 you and say that PERF had changed the Electronic
18 may answer.	18 Control Weapon Guidelines in 2011, and specifically
19 A That's specifically in the general order,	19 in March?
20 no, but that is that's why they're called less	20 A I don't remember the conversation. I
21 lethal weapons as opposed to nonlethal weapons. 22 BY MR. JOHNSON:	21 don't remember if we had one, no, I don't. 22 (Deposition Exhibit Number 10
22 BY MR. JOHNSON: 23 Q They still have the capacity to cause	22 (Deposition Exhibit Number 10 marked for identification.)
24 death, true?	24 BY MR. JOHNSON:
25 A Yes.	25 Q I'm going to show you Exhibit 10

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

161	163
1 MR. PLUNKERT: We've been going for about	1 A It was no more or less than anybody
another hour. Do you want to take a break now?	2 else's. The officers I actually put together a
3 MR. JOHNSON: Can we really quick, he's	3 chief's committee when I started there where I
4 not going to know anything about this, but I'll	4 had a representative from each work unit would
5 ask him.	5 meet with me to discuss concerns and
THE WITNESS: Thanks for your confidence.	6 recommendations, things like that, so this this
7 MR. JOHNSON: That's all right. We'll get	7 was one of the early topics in those meetings.
8 there. Just making a record.	8 Q Was there a chief's committee dedicated
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.	9 solely to the acquisition of Taser ECWs?
10 BY MR. JOHNSON:	10 A No.
Q What is do you know Exhibit 10? Have	Q Was Mr. Kaminski on the chief's committee
12 you seen Exhibit 10? This is the PERF/COPS 2011	12 generally as it relates to any number of
13 Electronic Control Weapon Guidelines, and it's a	13 recommendations?
14 multiple-page document.	14 A At various points, yes.
15 A I don't think I reviewed this document; I	15 Q And what do you recall Mr. Kaminski
16 think you're correct.	16 chiming in on as far as recommendations on your
Q Do you ever recall, in your time at	17 committee? 18 A Nothing specific. Just that he knew, as
18 Ferguson, Mr. Jackson, recall receiving Exhibit 10? 19 A No.	
	well as everybody else, that we were the only agencyin the area that didn't have Tasers.
\mathcal{C}_{1}	
station as far as an updating of guidelines for theuse of electronic control weapons?	 A I don't remember. Q Did he ever train, "he" meaning
24 A I don't recall any conversation I was	, ,
25 involved in.	24 Mr. Kaminski, ever train other Ferguson officers on25 the use of the Taser ECW?
23 mvoived m.	25 the use of the Taser ECW?
162	164
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum	1 A Not that I'm aware of.
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was 3 provided only by Taser International?	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation.
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was 3 provided only by Taser International? 4 A I don't know if he only used that.	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. 4 A No.
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was 3 provided only by Taser International? 4 A I don't know if he only used that. 5 Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 3 foundation. 4 A No. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again?
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW?	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 3 foundation. 4 A No. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? 6 THE WITNESS: Hmm?
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not.	 A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer?
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was?	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 3 foundation. 4 A No. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? 6 THE WITNESS: Hmm? 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? 8 THE WITNESS: No. No.
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 3 foundation. 4 A No. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? 6 THE WITNESS: Hmm? 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? 8 THE WITNESS: No. No.
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that everybody received the training.	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that everybody received the training. Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was?	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was 3 provided only by Taser International? 4 A I don't know if he only used that. 5 Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on 6 the use of a Taser ECW? 7 A I do not. 8 Q Do you know if Mr. White was? 9 A Again, it was my understanding that 10 everybody received the training. 11 Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was?	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 3 foundation. 4 A No. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? 6 THE WITNESS: Hmm? 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? 8 THE WITNESS: No. No. 9 MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break 10 or 11 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah.
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that everybody received the training. Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? A Yes. I believe he he was he trained	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that everybody received the training. Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? A Yes. I believe he he was he trained at a different department.	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12:32. This will end disk number 2.
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was 3 provided only by Taser International? 4 A I don't know if he only used that. 5 Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on 6 the use of a Taser ECW? 7 A I do not. 8 Q Do you know if Mr. White was? 9 A Again, it was my understanding that 10 everybody received the training. 11 Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? 12 A Yes. I believe he he was he trained 13 at a different department. 14 Q Which department was that?	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 3 foundation. 4 A No. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? 6 THE WITNESS: Hmm? 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? 8 THE WITNESS: No. No. 9 MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break 10 or 11 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 13 12:32. This will end disk number 2. 14 (Recess taken.)
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was 3 provided only by Taser International? 4 A I don't know if he only used that. 5 Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on 6 the use of a Taser ECW? 7 A I do not. 8 Q Do you know if Mr. White was? 9 A Again, it was my understanding that 10 everybody received the training. 11 Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? 12 A Yes. I believe he he was he trained 13 at a different department. 14 Q Which department was that? 15 A Cool Valley.	1 A Not that I'm aware of. 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 3 foundation. 4 A No. 5 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? 6 THE WITNESS: Hmm? 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? 8 THE WITNESS: No. No. 9 MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break 10 or 11 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 13 12:32. This will end disk number 2. 14 (Recess taken.) 15 MR. PLUNKERT: Counsel for Plaintiffs and
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was 3 provided only by Taser International? 4 A I don't know if he only used that. 5 Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on 6 the use of a Taser ECW? 7 A I do not. 8 Q Do you know if Mr. White was? 9 A Again, it was my understanding that 10 everybody received the training. 11 Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? 12 A Yes. I believe he he was he trained 13 at a different department. 14 Q Which department was that? 15 A Cool Valley. 16 Q Okay. And do you know who he trained	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12:32. This will end disk number 2. (Recess taken.) MR. PLUNKERT: Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants had a conversation off the record
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was 3 provided only by Taser International? 4 A I don't know if he only used that. 5 Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on 6 the use of a Taser ECW? 7 A I do not. 8 Q Do you know if Mr. White was? 9 A Again, it was my understanding that 10 everybody received the training. 11 Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? 12 A Yes. I believe he he was he trained 13 at a different department. 14 Q Which department was that? 15 A Cool Valley. 16 Q Okay. And do you know who he trained 17 through at Cool Valley?	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12:32. This will end disk number 2. (Recess taken.) MR. PLUNKERT: Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants had a conversation off the record actually not really related to the question
1 Q Do you know if the training curriculum 2 Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was 3 provided only by Taser International? 4 A I don't know if he only used that. 5 Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on 6 the use of a Taser ECW? 7 A I do not. 8 Q Do you know if Mr. White was? 9 A Again, it was my understanding that 10 everybody received the training. 11 Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? 12 A Yes. I believe he he was he trained 13 at a different department. 14 Q Which department was that? 15 A Cool Valley. 16 Q Okay. And do you know who he trained 17 through at Cool Valley? 18 A No, I don't.	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12:32. This will end disk number 2. (Recess taken.) MR. PLUNKERT: Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants had a conversation off the record actually not really related to the question that just happened, but it has to do with the Taser records that may or may not be in Cool Valley's possession.
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that everybody received the training. Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? A Yes. I believe he he was he trained at a different department. Q Which department was that? A Cool Valley. Q Okay. And do you know who he trained through at Cool Valley? A No, I don't. Q And how do you know Mr. Kaminski trained through Cool Valley? A He was one of the people, when we were	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12:32. This will end disk number 2. (Recess taken.) MR. PLUNKERT: Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants had a conversation off the record actually not really related to the question that just happened, but it has to do with the Taser records that may or may not be in Cool Valley's possession. Brian Malone stated that he was willing to
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that everybody received the training. Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? A Yes. I believe he he was he trained at a different department. Q Which department was that? A Cool Valley. Q Okay. And do you know who he trained through at Cool Valley? A No, I don't. Q And how do you know Mr. Kaminski trained through Cool Valley?	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12:32. This will end disk number 2. (Recess taken.) MR. PLUNKERT: Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants had a conversation off the record actually not really related to the question that just happened, but it has to do with the Taser records that may or may not be in Cool Valley's possession. Brian Malone stated that he was willing to tender any of the documents and was aware that
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that everybody received the training. Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? A Yes. I believe he he was he trained at a different department. Q Which department was that? A Cool Valley. Q Okay. And do you know who he trained through at Cool Valley? A No, I don't. Q And how do you know Mr. Kaminski trained through Cool Valley? A He was one of the people, when we were talking about getting the Tasers, that had some knowledge of it.	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12:32. This will end disk number 2. (Recess taken.) MR. PLUNKERT: Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants had a conversation off the record actually not really related to the question that just happened, but it has to do with the Taser records that may or may not be in Cool Valley's possession. Brian Malone stated that he was willing to tender any of the documents and was aware that we had a motion for protective order or quash
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that everybody received the training. Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? A Yes. I believe he he was he trained at a different department. Q Which department was that? A Cool Valley. Q Okay. And do you know who he trained through at Cool Valley? A No, I don't. Q And how do you know Mr. Kaminski trained through Cool Valley? A He was one of the people, when we were talking about getting the Tasers, that had some knowledge of it. Q Tell me about Mr. Kaminski's input into	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12:32. This will end disk number 2. (Recess taken.) MR. PLUNKERT: Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants had a conversation off the record actually not really related to the question that just happened, but it has to do with the Taser records that may or may not be in Cool Valley's possession. Brian Malone stated that he was willing to tender any of the documents and was aware that we had a motion for protective order or quash regarding the subpoena to Cool Valley, so what
Q Do you know if the training curriculum Mr. Brannon administered to Ferguson officers was provided only by Taser International? A I don't know if he only used that. Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard was trained on the use of a Taser ECW? A I do not. Q Do you know if Mr. White was? A Again, it was my understanding that everybody received the training. Q Do you know if Mr. Kaminski was? A Yes. I believe he he was he trained at a different department. Q Which department was that? A Cool Valley. Q Okay. And do you know who he trained through at Cool Valley? A No, I don't. Q And how do you know Mr. Kaminski trained through Cool Valley? A He was one of the people, when we were talking about getting the Tasers, that had some knowledge of it.	A Not that I'm aware of. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. A No. THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer again? THE WITNESS: Hmm? THE COURT REPORTER: Your answer? THE WITNESS: No. No. MR. PLUNKERT: Are we all right to break or MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12:32. This will end disk number 2. (Recess taken.) MR. PLUNKERT: Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants had a conversation off the record actually not really related to the question that just happened, but it has to do with the Taser records that may or may not be in Cool Valley's possession. Brian Malone stated that he was willing to tender any of the documents and was aware that we had a motion for protective order or quash

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

	======================================
165	167
will produce the personnel file of Kaminski to defense counsel and that we will look through it and take upon any other objections, and Brian Malone will thereby have complied with the subpoena to the extent of Plaintiffs' request. Do I have that summarized pretty much? MR. DOWD: I think pretty much that you were then going to redact that and produce it to us, and the redaction would be consistent with what we've agreed to with regard to Kaminski and White's files that were produced from Ferguson, financial information, medical information. MS. SHAFAIE: Psychotherapist privilege. MR. DOWD: Stuff that you considered privileged, yeah. MR. PLUNKERT: I was operating under the that we were going to reassess all of that and really reconsider the Taser part and hopefully produce the Taser part after we meet and confer as a group. And then the other things, we can possibly do that, we're going to work on that, but it's to reassess and not I can't agree right now	1 A I do. 2 Q What after there is a method of force 3 that's utilized by a Ferguson Police Department 4 officer, what additional administrative requirements 5 exist once that force has been utilized? 6 A In addition to the normal reporting, the 7 supervisor has to conduct an investigation 8 immediately on that use of force and submit that 9 through the chain with a recommendation on whether 10 the use of force did or did not meet policy. 11 Q And did the use of a Taser then 12 necessitate even additional administrative 13 procedures? 14 A Yes. There's an additional Taser report 15 that goes into more detail about the use of the 16 Taser, specifically where the prongs hit and so 17 forth. 18 Q And who completes the Taser use report? 19 Is it the supervisor or the officer that 20 completes the 21 A Well, the officer is going to complete it 22 under the supervisor's watch and the supervisor will 23 approve the entire package. 24 Q There we go. Okay. I'm sorry, sir. 25 A That's okay.
	·
to produce it with redactions; I just haven't seen it. MR. DOWD: Okay. My understanding was you would produce it with redactions, but I understand your concern. I would just say, if you see something that you don't want to produce, you would redact it and state why, as you've done in the past. But your procedure is fine, you get it, make an assessment, we'll meet and confer and move down the road. MR. PLUNKERT: Great. Yeah, we will we will definitely meet and confer. That sounds good. MR. DOWD: Thank you. MR. PLUNKERT: Now we can do video. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on record at 1:00. This begins disk number 3 in the deposition of Chief Thomas Jackson. Please	1 Q So on page 8 of Exhibit 8, as far as the 2 administrative procedures to follow, once less 3 lethal force is utilized actually, it starts on 4 page 7 temporally. Do you see the lower portion 5 under subsection F? 6 A Yes. 7 Q So the first administrative procedure to 8 be followed once less lethal force is utilized, it 9 looks like, "The watch commander or appropriate 10 bureau commander must be notified in the event 11 extraordinary circumstances varying from standard 12 handcuffing or detention is utilized." 13 Is that the first administrative followup 14 procedure once less lethal force is utilized? 15 A Yes. 16 Q And can they be notified verbally that 17 less lethal force has been used? 18 A The
continue. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Mr. Jackson, I want to refer your attention back to the Exhibit 8, which is the general use-of-force policy or general order that was utilized at Ferguson during the period of time you were police chief. Do you see that, sir?	Q The watch commander or bureau commander. A Yes. Q And "If there is physical injury or death to a person," it looks like, "the watch commander, supervisor and/or bureau commander supervisor must respond to the scene." Did I read that correctly?

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

Thomas Jackson	September 18, 2013
169	171
1 A Yes. Yes. 2 Q So if Lieutenant Ballard is the one who 3 responds to the scene on September 17th, 2011, 4 involving Mr. Moore, is Mr. Ballard considered the 5 watch commander supervisor or bureau commander 6 supervisor? 7 A Yes. He would be the watch commander. 8 Q Okay. So was he the highest-ranking 9 patrol officer working at the time, then? 10 A I'm sure he was. 11 Q On that shift? 12 A (Nods head.) 13 Q And so at the scene, under the 14 use-of-force policy, who is the commander or 15 supervisor then responsible for ensuring the 16 investigation and any type of reporting that we see 17 in the middle portion of page 8 of Exhibit 8? 18 A Well, that would be that would be 19 Lieutenant Ballard, the commander. 20 Q And is he then also the individual who is 21 in charge of reviewing for accuracy any police 22 report authored by the police officer who has used 23 force in those circumstances? 24 A Yes.	1 generally in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area 2 Wilderness. 3 Q Whereabouts? 4 A Minnesota-Canada border. 5 Q Okay. 6 A It was a condition of my employment. 7 Q All right. How many weeks do you go up there? 9 A We usually go up for it's a total of about two weeks. We're going later this this year. 12 Q Post-Labor Day? 13 A Yes. 14 Q So just from memory and vacation work, you know, things like that can catch your attention, do you recall this being brought to your attention at the time, that there was an incident involving an officer, a Taser, and a death of an individual. 19 A Yes. 20 Q In what way were you notified of this incident with Mr. Moore? 21 A By telephone. 22 A By telephone. 23 Q And who contacted you? 24 A That I don't remember. Again, I'm pretty
25 Q And it looks like the last step would be 170 1 to forward the use-of-force report, the police 2 report, and any supplemental reports to you. 3 A Yes. 4 Q Do you know if that was done in the case 5 of Mr. Moore? And that's a vague question. Let me 6 break it up. 7 A Yeah. 8 Q Let's start at the beginning. 9 Do you know if Mr. Ballard went to the 10 scene? 11 A I didn't witness it, but it's my 12 understanding that he did. 13 Q Do you know if Mr. Ballard is the one who 14 reviewed the accuracy of any reporting of the 15 incident and use of force by Mr. Kaminski?	 sure I was on my way back from Minnesota at the time. Q Up there with family? A No. No. It's a wilderness trip, canoeing and portage and fishing, backpacking. Q Do you go with friends or family or A Both. Q Both. Okay. Regardless of who contacted you, do you recall what was said about the facts and circumstances of the incident? A Yes. Q What was said to you? A In general MR. PLUNKERT: Can I ask him this? Was it an attorney that said any of this to you? THE WITNESS: Hmm?
A I believe he was. Q And do you know, from memory or otherwise, whether you were sent any of the reports associated with Mr. Moore's incident? A It would have been either me or, if I was gone, the acting chief of police. Q Was there anything in your life going on in September of 2011 that caused you to be away from the department for any reason? A That that particular time I am	MR. PLUNKERT: Was it an attorney or a nonattorney that said this to you? THE WITNESS: Nonattorney. MR. PLUNKERT: Go ahead. Thank you. MR. PLUNKERT: Go ahead. Thank you. A That officers got called to Airport Road for 6,6:30 in the morning, early in the morning; there was a man running around naked punching cars. Officers arrived on the scene. Refused to respond; charged the officer. He was tased, stopped breathing. The officers began CPR on him and

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

173 175 maintained that until the ambulance arrived. 1 incident? A Again, I'm sure I did. I don't remember BY MR. JOHNSON: the conversation. He's probably the one that called **O** Any other facts and circumstances you heard in the first phone call? A Not that I remember. 5 Q Did you speak with Officer White about the 5 **Q** Did you have any further involvement with 6 incident? 6 this incident with Mr. Moore between receiving the 7 A I don't remember. 7 8 call and returning to work? 8 **Q** Did you speak with anybody that you 9 A Not that I remember. Not that I remember. 9 understand to have witnessed the occurrence 10 I would have, you know, maintained contact and kept 10 involving Mr. Moore? apprised, but I don't -- I don't remember 11 11 A No. 12 conversations. Q Did you speak with anybody that you 13 Q Is there a calendar document, Day Planner, understand to have responded after the fact, had some form of that nature, that would show when you been in the area where Mr. Moore was involved in the 14 did return from your trip in 2011? 15 incident with Mr. Kaminski? 15 A I haven't been able to locate it. It's --16 A Again, Lieutenant Ballard, most likely. 16 17 it's on the documents that I lost. 17 **Q** How about any other persons from any other 18 agency such as EMS, fire, or other law enforcement? 18 **Q** Would there be a personnel form or HR form 19 A No. 19 with the City of Ferguson that would show when you 20 20 **Q** Did Ferguson use the services of EMS in had your vacation that year? 21 A It's possible, but it wouldn't necessarily 21 calls? be accurate since I'm salaried department head. 22 22 A Yes. 23 Q Right. Do you recall ever receiving the 23 **Q** Was that conducted through Ferguson's communications department? 24 use-of-force report, the police report, and any 24 supplemental reports as it relates to the incident 25 A It's the fire department that dispatches 174 176 involving Mr. Moore for your review? 1 the ambulances. And when officers call for an A I don't. I don't remember. 2 ambulance over the walkie-talkie, that's referred from our communications to the fire department. 3 **Q** Do you recall conducting any type of evaluation or audit of the police activities as it O So if an officer calls for ambulance relates to the incident with Mr. Moore? 5 assistance, does it go to the fire department first A Just getting briefs from my commanders on and then the fire department dispatches EMS? 6 7 7 A No. It goes to -- the police radios go to the incident. **Q** Did you receive further information about 8 police communications --8 9 the facts and circumstances of the incident O Right. involving Mr. Moore other than the initial phone 10 **A** -- and then they -- they transfer the 11 call? 11 information. 12 **A** Pretty much the same information. It was 12 **Q** So police communications transfers the 13 not a whole lot new. 13 call over to fire communications? 14 Q Did you ever speak with Mr. Kaminski about 14 A If the call is done on the walkie-talkie 15 the incident? 15 or the radio, yes. **Q** And then fire communications dispatches 16 A I'm sure I did. I don't remember the 16 17 17 EMS to the scene? conversation. O Do you know how --18 18 A Yes. 19 A Usually when my officers are involved in 19 **Q** And the service provider for EMS as of 20 something traumatic, you know, that I would -- I 20 September of 2011 is who? 21 would talk to them. 21 A Christian -- BJC -- Christian Hospital 22 **Q** Do you remember anything about the 22 Ambulance Service. 23 conversation? 23 **Q** And is the fire department communications 24 No, I don't. 24 housed where the police department communications A

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

25 is?

Did you speak with Mr. Ballard about the

25

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

177	179
1 A No, it's not. 2 Q Separate buildings? 3 A Yes. 4 Q Did you ever speak with anybody that you understand to have been a family member of Jason Moore about the incident involving him? 7 A Yes. 8 Q Who did you speak with, sir? 9 A I believe it was his wife and mother. 10 Q And where was this meeting? 11 A In the lobby of the police station. 12 Q And when was it? When did you have 13 A It was not too long after the incident. 14 Q Within a week? 15 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 18 Q If you know. Go ahead. 19 A I couldn't say that with any certainty. 20 Q And tell me about the conversation, sir. 21 A They were angry and, you know, hurled quite a few insults at me. I simply told them that I was deeply sorry for the tragic loss, I can't imagine how it feels, and that that's pretty much it.	then that would have triggered a complaint. Q Was there any further review of the use of force that Mr. Kaminski used against Mr. Moore once a lawsuit was filed? MR. PLUNKERT: Let me object on the grounds that if it's work done in anticipation of litigation it's covered by the work-product doctrine. Subject to that, if it's a departmental normal business routine thing, you're free to answer that. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q That's all I'm asking for, sir. Through the normal business channels, was there any further different or additional evaluation done once a lawsuit was filed claiming that Mr. Kaminski had engaged in excessive force? A Not that I recall. Q Any further communication you had with anybody that you associate to be a family member of Mr. Moore about this incident? A No. Q Do you know if anybody in your department had any communication with any member of the Moore family, wife, mother, siblings, et cetera, about
1 Q Were they critical of the department? 2 A They were critical of me, yeah, they were. 3 Q And what was their criticism of you, sir? 4 A That I was a racist and an atheist. 5 Q Anything else? 6 A Nothing worth repeating. 7 Q Okay. Were they critical of the officer's conduct? 9 A I don't remember that specifically. 10 Q Did you view this as something where some form of review was necessary of the officer's conduct? 13 A There's always yeah, always in a use of force 15 Q We know there's the review of the use of force go ahead. 17 A There's always the procedure that we called for in the use of force. 19 Q What use-of-force review was done in the incident involving Mr. Kaminski and Mr. Moore? 20 A The supervisor's investigative report would have been done. 21 Q Anything else, sir? 22 A No. That would if there was a finding that it was not in not in keeping with policy,	this incident? A I don't know. Q Was any member of the Ferguson Police Department disciplined as it relates to the incident involving Mr. Moore? A Not that I'm aware of. Q Did Mr. Kaminski take any leave of absence, to your knowledge? A I'd have to check on that. That would normally be the procedure, we would send them to counseling prior to returning to work, but I don't remember. Q We touched upon the Department of Justice report that was issued in 2015 earlier. Do you recall that testimony, sir? A Yes, I do. Q I'm going to hand you a copy of the report. It's lengthy, as you know. And I'm going to ask you some questions about it. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 11. (Deposition Exhibit Number 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Feel free to leaf through Exhibit 11 to make sure it's complete as you believe it to be,

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

I nomas Jackson	September 18, 2013
181	183
1 sir, and I'm going to bounce around with some 2 questions. 3 MR. PLUNKERT: You want him to read the 4 whole thing? 5 MR. JOHNSON: No, I don't. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 Q I just want to say, is it approximately 8 102 pages? As best as you recall. 9 A Yeah. 10 Q I'm not trying to hide anything from you. 11 This report was issued by the United 12 States Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, 13 is that true? 14 A It is. 15 Q And you did receive Exhibit 11 in the 16 course of your work working for the Ferguson Police 17 Department? 18 A I did. 19 Q And before you resigned you did review the 20 findings of the investigation of the DOJ, correct? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Were you contacted by the DOJ for them to 23 come out and perform an investigation yourself? 24 Did they go through you or somebody else 25 to make those arrangements?	they ignored the efforts that I had put in, which I've some of it is before you, again, makes me have no confidence in this report. I consider it a worthless document. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q How many people were assigned to the investigation that you observed? A About four. Q And you said "rush job," but what do you mean to be "rush job"? A Their pattern-and-practice investigations that were started before Ferguson that are not complete, as I understand. It was timed to to a press conference. And as a matter of fact, when they met with us initially, they we told them all the the good stuff we were doing in Ferguson and particularly in the apartment complex areas. And they said they were going to go back to D.C. and have some conversations and decide whether or not we would have a pattern and practices, a collaborative agreement, or nothing. I walked out of that meeting and was met by CNN, who said that the attorney general said they were opening a pattern-and-practice investigation on
182	184
1 A Through mostly me, yeah. 2 Q Okay. And I'm not here to pour through 3 every detail of that investigation, but I want to 4 understand some of the contours, okay? 5 A Mm-hmm. 6 Q First of all, how long did it take? 7 A It was rushed. 8 Q Oh, this is it was rushed? 9 A It was a rushed it was a rushed 10 investigation and I have no confidence in at all. 11 Q I'm here just to ask factual questions. 12 A I understand. 13 Q I understand that this may be a source of 14 consternation. 15 A Saying it on the record, yeah. 16 Q Is it a source of consternation for you? 17 MR. PLUNKERT: Let me object. It's 18 calling for an opinion, it calls for 19 speculation. You know, feel free to answer. 20 A Again, they they ignored evidence to 21 the contrary what they said. They used unverified	1 us. That was my initial hint that this was not 2 going to be a fair investigation, but we cooperated 3 fully. 4 Q The information requests that were made, 5 sir, what form of information requests did the 6 Department of Justice make of you, of your 7 department? 8 A Tens of thousands of documents, anything 9 you can possibly imagine. 10 Q Were they through 11 A It was at a time when we were undergoing 12 riots and our police station was being renovated, so 13 all our all our documents were in boxes, and 14 so 15 Q Were they letter requests, were they 16 subpoenas, were they document requests? 17 How do you describe what information 18 requests the DOJ made that they believe formed the 19 basis of the report? 20 A Some of it was in writing, some of it they 21 just asked me for. Some of it was by email.
22 statements, unsubstantiated statements. They 23 have had clearly no knowledge of police work.	Q Were there document preservation notices served to the department to keep and maintain

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

A I don't know. I didn't get served with

25

24 certain documents?

24

And the fact that they ignored strong

25 evidence to the contrary what they put in here, and

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015 185 187 1 anything. **Q** I want to focus on some. Let's start with 2 Okay. Did you receive what you understand the incidents that are identified on page 28. It to be a letter demanding that you preserve certain talks about Fourth Amendment practices. information from the Department of Justice? A Mm-hmm. A Yes, I believe I did. 5 **Q** And I'll let you get to that first. **Q** To your knowledge, was it still in effect **A** Did you say page 28? 6 6 as of the date you left your position as chief? Q Page 28 of Exhibit 11. And I actually 7 8 A I don't know. 8 want to go to page 29 under a subparagraph of that 9 **Q** Were you the main liaison between the 9 subheader. Do you see on page 29 starting with an 10 Ferguson Police Department and the Department of 10 incident identified in August of 2010? Justice in terms of providing information to the DOJ 11 A Yes. for their investigation? 12 Q What sources of information that you know A I'd say that it bounced around a lot. It 13 13 of did the DOJ look to to obtain the different was me, my administrative assistant sometimes, and anecdotes that we see? For example, we see an 14 14 the city clerk. It was a busy time, so they were "August 2010 ECW drive stun mode," okay? 15 15 16 very imposing. 16 Do you know what they located to put this 17 **Q** Did the four people who appeared at the type of information in Exhibit 11? 17 MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation. You 18 police department to perform the investigation 18 conduct any witness interview of you? 19 can answer 20 Did they interview me, are you saying? 20 A No, I don't. 21 Q Yes. 21 BY MR. JOHNSON: 22 A We spoke many times. 22 **Q** Do you know if it's an offense report, do 23 Q Did you consider to meet with them for you know if it's -- do you have any idea? 23 purposes of an interview, similar to what we're 24 24 MR. PLUNKERT: Same -doing here today, where they're asking you certain 25 A I have no idea. 186 188 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. questions about the practices of the department? 2 A It was never -- never seemed adversarial BY MR. JOHNSON: **Q** Were you provided with the foundational 3 at all. support for these different incidents by the DOJ O Did you ever give what you understood to 4 once they released the report? Did they return to 5 be a deposition relating to the DOJ investigation? you, for example -- let me -- let me do it this way: 6 A No. We see, for example, "In August 2010, a 7 **Q** Were you ever represented by counsel in lieutenant used an ECW in drive stun mode against an any of your communication with the DOJ about the 8 practices of the police department? African-American woman in the Ferguson City Jail 9 A I don't think so. 10 because she had refused to remove her bracelets." 10 Did the DOJ then give to you or others 11 **Q** Do you know if --11 MR. PLUNKERT: Do you mean aside -within the department, to your knowledge, the 12 12 supporting documentation underneath that event? 13 well --13 14 THE WITNESS: That's what I'm saying. 14 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form of that 15 MR. PLUNKERT: Well -- and you can go on. 15 question. You may answer. That's fine. I can ask him. I'll follow up. A No, they did not. 16 16 17 MR. JOHNSON: That's fine. I'm just 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 18 Q So if we were to go through each of the 18 trying to get the sources of information. 19 BY MR. JOHNSON: 19 anecdotal events underneath a claimed ECW event, a 20 Q Do you know if any of your police officers 20 claimed Fourth Amendment violation, a claimed 21 were interviewed by the DOJ? 21 escalation, you are not aware of the factual or 22 22 supporting data for that? A Yes. 23 The incidents -- and I don't want to 23 A That's correct. O

(Pages 185 to 188)

Q At least through the DOJ?

24

25

A Yes.

A Sure.

discuss every chapter of this.

24

25

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

189	191
1 Q Do you recall, though, independent of this 2 investigation in this document, this incident in 3 August of 2010 involving the use of the ECW against 4 the lady in jail? 5 A No, I do not. 6 Q If I asked you for the facts and 7 circumstances of that event, including the officer 8 involved, the person involved, and the use of force 9 involved, could you give me any further information? 10 A No, I could not. 11 Q Could you give me any further information 12 about any of the events involving the use of an ECW 13 that are contained in Exhibit 11? 14 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. 15 BY MR. JOHNSON: 16 Q We can go through them, if you want. 17 A No. 18 Q Do you know? 19 A No. 20 Q I mean, I know it's 2015 and these events 21 happened some time ago 22 A Yeah. 23 Q but having looked at the report, having 24 disagreed with the report and having me ask you more 25 questions about the report today, do you think it	1 A No. 2 Q So the offense report I'm not going to 3 mark this, but Ferguson 0008 Investigative Report, 4 would that be the same for activity on the street as 5 opposed to activity at the jail? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Thank you. 8 Reading through the incidents involving 9 the use of the ECW in Exhibit 11, did it refresh 10 your memory as to any of the officers involved? 11 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection as to form. You 12 may answer. 13 A No. 14 BY MR. JOHNSON: 15 Q Now, the DOJ document we marked as 16 Exhibit 11 does identify the FPD, Ferguson Police 17 Department's, internal affairs files. 18 Is that the same files that you testified 19 to earlier today that you maintained in your office? 20 A Yes, it is. 21 Q So the DOJ representatives did review 22 those? 23 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 24 foundation. You may answer.
would refresh your memory as to any of the facts and circumstances of any of the events we see in Exhibit 11? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. You may answer. A No, I don't. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Do you know whether let's start with the August 2010 event at the jail. Do you know whether the source of this would have been a citizen complaint or other source of information? MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation. You may answer. A I do not know. BY MR. JOHNSON: Q The different ways that Ferguson memorializes their activity, one would be an offense report, correct? A Correct. Q If there's an incident involving a jail inmate, is that different than an incident involving, for example, a pedestrian on the street? Would there be a different form used for an offense report?	1 BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 Q Did they? 3 A They received copies of all of them. 4 Q Are you the one that provided copies to them? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Or your administrative assistant? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you know the scope in terms of time of the internal affairs files you provided to the DOJ? 11 A I'm pretty sure I gave them everything I had. 13 Q As of late 2014, do you know the scope temporally of what you had in your office of the internal affairs files, how many years back? 16 A To 2010. 17 Q To your start date? 18 A Yes. 19 Q Who maintains officers' workers' 20 compensation paperwork at the Ferguson Police Department? 21 Department? 22 A That would be human resources. 23 Q City Hall? 24 A HR, yes. 25 Q And then when the DOJ refers to something

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

195 193 known as the force files, do you know what they were 1 **A** As I said, we averaged about three a referring to, Mr. Jackson? 2 month. 3 A No, I don't. O And the source or basis of that, would **Q** I'm going to read the sentence just to that be the use-of-force reports, the IA reports? 4 give you the proper context. **A** That would be the log, yes, the 5 A Okay. 6 use-of-force reports. 6 **Q** "First, we located information in FPD's O Okay. And similar to your internal internal affairs files indicating instances of force affairs log or summary that was presented to 9 that were not included in the force files provided Mr. Shaw, is there a use-of-force summary that 10 by FPD." 10 Ferguson created over your time as chief? MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 11 Does that refresh your memory as to what 11 12 "force files" means as defined by the DOJ? 12 foundation. You may answer. MR. PLUNKERT: Do you mind telling me what A Well, we were also required to provide 13 13 14 that as part of the accreditation process, so page you're --14 15 MR. JOHNSON: Page 38, last paragraph of Captain McBride would also get that information from me for his file. 16 Exhibit 11. 16 17 MR. PLUNKERT: Oh, yeah. 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 18 18 MR. JOHNSON: Wrong big document. **Q** Thank you, sir. 19 MR. PLUNKERT: How about Exhibit 11? 19 Do you know of any type of video, whether that be dash-cam video, body video, or Taser device 20 20 Sound right? video, that exists of the Moore incident in 2011? 21 MS. SHAFAIE: Page 38. MR. JOHNSON: Page 38, bottom paragraph. 22 22 A None that I'm aware of. MR. DOWD: Of Exhibit 11. 23 23 **Q** Were there forms of video that were used MR. JOHNSON: Of Exhibit 11. 24 24 by your officers in 2011 as it relates to their 25 MR. PLUNKERT: Do you remember his 25 patrol duties? 194 196 A No. there was not. 1 question? 2 **A** Yeah. Do I know specifically what they **O** Not even dash-cam video? were referring to in "force files." I don't know 3 A No. how they classified things. It looks like they're **Q** Okay. Do you know if it was protocol for talking about use-of-force reports. officers to take any photographs as it relates to the use of force as of September 2011 in your BY MR. JOHNSON: **Q** I think that may be true because the department? As part of their investigation into the 7 paragraph preceding refers to use-of-force reports, use of force, were photographs part of the process? 8 but I didn't know whether or not that was a term of 9 A Required process, no. art that you recall discussing with the DOJ, the 10 **Q** When the -- I'm on page 41, sir, of word "force files." 11 Exhibit 11. 11 12 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection to form and 12 A Okav. 13 foundation. You can answer. 13 **Q** When the DOJ notes that the two-page 14 **A** I'm sorry, would you say that again? 14 use-of-force report, meaning the supervisor's BY MR. JOHNSON: 15 15 summary of the incident goes to the chief, do you O I'll withdraw it. I'll move on. 16 disagree with that, that that was not your practice? 16 17 **A** I was reading. Sorry. 17 A To get the use-of-force report? 18 **Q** Do you disagree factually with their 18 **Q** Well, that you only received the two-page finding on the top of page 39 of Exhibit 11 that the 19 supervisor's summary when you were reviewing use of use-of-force files typically reflect between two and 20 force. 21 six force incidents per month? 21 No. That's not true. 22 Α 22 Q You're saying you received other You disagree with that finding? 23 Q 23 information? 24 No, I do not. A 24 25 Okay. Q 25 Q So when they note that during some

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

Thomas Jackson

6

9

10

15

19

8

22

199 197 1 Exhibit 11 -communication you apparently uttered to them that A No. "Chief Jackson told us he rarely retrieves offense reports when reviewing use of force," that's not an O -- and based on what is contained in accurate statement? Exhibit 11? **A** That is not an accurate statement. 5 A No. 6 **O** When they note in the report that "Chief **Q** Do you know if Mr. Shaw did that? Jackson told us he has never overturned a 7 A I don't. supervisor's determination of whether a use of force 8 O Did you meet with Mr. Shaw about the fell within with FPD policy," is that accurate or 9 findings in Exhibit 11 while you were still both 10 employed? 11

12

1 4 15

16

17

18

19

21

7

8

15

16

11 A I don't think so.

12 **Q** So when have you overturned a supervisor's determination of whether a use of force fell within 13 14 FPD policy?

A I can't cite any specific example.

16 **Q** Did the issuance of Exhibit 11, and while you were still chief, cause you to go back and 17 review any incident where force was used? 18

A I had gotten started on that process. I was looking to try to verify, confirm, or -- or 20 otherwise review these findings.

Q What efforts did you undertake, sir, to 22 23 attempt to do that?

24 A Just looked through my reports, my files, 25 and we had lots of discussions among the officers. A Yes.

Q And what do you recall about that 13 conversation?

> MR. PLUNKERT: Let me object to the extent that if this was a meeting that was directed by counsel for pending lawsuits, you might be getting into an area. So maybe if you can narrow the question, it might help, I guess.

September 18, 2015

200

A Counsel was present during those.

20 BY MR. JOHNSON:

Q During all of them?

22 Here's what I'm getting at. In the course 23 of your status as him being a direct report and in the chain of command related to the business

operations in the City of Ferguson, did you

198

But a lot of this is unverified and unsubstantiated by their own statement.

Q When we see a month and year attached to a certain event in Exhibit 11, would the sources, to the extent they exist, be the offense report?

A I can't speak to what they did. They got 7 everything we had.

Q So we see all these dates and all these events that they claim occurred, and then you claim 10 that you went back to try and undertake a review 11 after the issuance of Exhibit 11.

Did you actually locate any of these 13 offense reports, citizen complaints, or other sources of information that would have enabled you 15 to conduct a meaningful review of the facts and 16 circumstances of when force was used?

17 A I found one complaint, it wasn't a use of 18 force, but found one complaint in there where they neglected to put in that the officers were

20 disciplined for their verbal comments. 21

Q Anything else, sir?

Nothing comes to mind. A

23 Did you yourself hand out any discipline,

in accordance with your general order, to any member

of the police department after your receipt of

1 communicate with Mr. Shaw about the findings of the report?

3 MR. PLUNKERT: Same thing if it's in the 4 presence of counsel.

5 You mean without counsel present and not 6 directed by counsel?

MR. JOHNSON: For business purposes only. MR. PLUNKERT: Okay.

9 A No, we didn't talk about this outside of counsel. And I wasn't there much longer.

BY MR. JOHNSON: 11

12 **Q** This was issued March 4th, 2015. What was your last day of employment? 13 14

A My official last day of employment was March 19th, I believe.

Q When did you --

17 A But I submitted my resignation, I think, 18 around the 8th.

19 **Q** Four days after the issuance of the 20 report?

21 A (Nods head.)

22 **Q** Did you receive the report the same day 23 it's dated?

24 A I received the report the day before it 25 was released.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

203 201 **A** The log is -- is simply the log that I From who? 1 Q 2 kept, which had every complaint number, complainant, 2 A Christy Lopez. officers complained against, and the finding. And 3 Was she the main investigator? Q that was a continuous document that went from 2010 4 A 5 to when I left. 5 Q What did she tell you when she gave it to 6 Q Yes, sir. 6 you? A The summaries were submitted annually, 7 A And she was -- and her supervisor, John 8 Smith, was also there. which was a summary of complaints throughout that 9 **Q** And what did the DOJ say to you when they 9 year. My log was ... provided the report to you, sir? 10 Q Your log was --10 11 THE WITNESS: Counsel was present at the 11 A Five years. 12 time. Does it matter? 12 **Q** -- a living, breathing document? 13 MR. PLUNKERT: If the DOJ was present in 13 A Yes. the room with counsel, then you're free to 14 O I get it. 14 15 Do you know of any efforts that Mr. Shaw 15 answer. undertook in an attempt to verify any of the factual 16 A Okay. They simply said that sometimes 16 people with blindfolds putting their hands on an circumstances contained in Exhibit 11? 17 elephant can't tell it's an elephant, and so they 18 A No, not at the time. 19 Q And when was his last day of employment were going to go through the report, which they did. 20 vis-a-vis March 4th, 2015, that you know of? We objected a great deal to what they had in it, but in the end they released it. 21 A It was not long after that. 22 BY MR. JOHNSON: 22 **Q** Do you disagree with the assertion that 23 underreporting of use of force in the Ferguson 23 **Q** Finally, on page 85, in the footnote on Police Department is widespread? that page of Exhibit 11, sir, where we see the 24 24 phrase in footnote 57, "Chief's log of internal 25 A No. I disagree with that. Is that what 202 204 affairs," is that the log that you referred to in vou asked me? O That's what I asked. earlier testimony? 3 A I disagree. MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 3 MR. JOHNSON: Take a short break. foundation. You may answer. 4 MR. PLUNKERT: Sure. 5 5 A Yes. 6 MR. JOHNSON: Talk to Bill real quick. 6 BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 MR. PLUNKERT: Okay. 7 **Q** And is that the summary or is that the --8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off record at 8 a separate document? 9 9 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. You may 1:46. 10 10 (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at 1:58. 11 **A** That's -- that's the log, not the summary. 11 12 Please continue. 12 BY MR. JOHNSON: BY MR. JOHNSON: 13 **Q** Okay. And I may be thickheaded about 13 14 this, but explain for me the difference again. 14 **O** Mr. Jackson, when you sent your summaries 15 Because you would get the report with a 15 of the complaints for accreditation purposes, do you recall that testimony? 16 number, the complaint, right? 16 17 A Yes. 17 A Mm-hmm. 18 **Q** What entity did you send those to? 18 **Q** Then there's a log and then there's a 19 19 A I didn't send them, I said I gave them to summary --20 MR. PLUNKERT: Is that a yes to his 20 Captain McBride, because part of the accreditation 21 21 process is that we maintain proofs that we're doing question? 22 certain things, and that has to stay in a file in A Yes. our accreditation office, basically. So that would 23 BY MR. JOHNSON: 24 **Q** Then explain for me the difference between 24 have just gone into those -- those proof files in 25 log and summary. 25 house.

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

205	207
1 Q So were any of the complaints themselves, 2 the log of complaints, or the summaries of the 3 complaints ever sent to any type of accrediting 4 agency for its review? 5 A No. We wouldn't in any sense send them 6 things; they come and do an inspection is how it 7 works. 8 Q And what entity would that be? 9 A That would be the Missouri Police Chiefs 10 Charitable Foundation. 11 Q How about the Department of Public Safety? 12 Were they ever involved in the accreditation 13 process? 14 A No. 15 Q And who is the liaison or representative 16 with the Chiefs Foundation that Ferguson had 17 assigned? 18 A Well, the director there is Sheldon 19 Lineback, but I don't recall who who from there 20 Captain McBride was dealing with directly. 10 Q So two places, then, where this 21 information would be contained in summary form would 22 be Mr. McBride's office and your office? 24 A Yes.	EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. JOHNSON: Q Who said that? A I can't say with certainty. Q Were the only two people present where that statement could have been made the people you understand to be Mr. Moore's spouse and his mother? A Yes. Q And no other persons? A No. Q And the meeting, was it only you and the people you understood to be Mr. Moore's spouse and his mother? A That's correct. MR. JOHNSON: I have no further questions. Thank you for coming in today. Mr. Dowd might have some. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. DOWD: Q Good afternoon, Mr. Jackson. My name is Bill Dowd. I represent Tina Moore, the spouse who of Mr Mr. Moore. I'm going to ask you a few questions. I'm going to do my best not to go over anything we've covered, maybe a couple new
24 A Yes. 25 MR. JOHNSON: I have no further questions.	 24 over anything we've covered, maybe a couple new 25 subjects, and just a couple followup questions on
23 IVIK. JOHINSON. Thave no further questions.	2.5 subjects, and just a couple followup questions on
206	208
EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. PLUNKERT: Q And that was as of the date that you left, right? A Right. I I don't know where it is now. Q The clarification, over the break it was determined there was one question that you had an additional response to. I think it had to do with, oh, the statements that were made in the lobby of the police department with the plaintiffs present, is that right? Is that what the substance was, that there was some more information on it? A Yes. The plaintiffs, yeah. Q And, specifically, you you testified that there wasn't anything more that was worth repeating, but now you remember other information worth repeating, correct? A The one thing, yes. The one thing that	things you covered, all right? When you went through the process of obtaining Tasers for the use by the Ferguson Police Department, you were obviously intimately involved in that process, correct? A Intimately I was I delegated that to John Brannon, and he kept me apprised of the process as it went along. Q You were one of the leaders in the decision to bring Tasers on board as a tool, correct? A That's true. Q And the police department at Ferguson is the one who actually trains the officers on the levels of force that are permitted to be consistent with your policies and the and the constitution, correct? A That's that's one entity, but the police academy also offers
Q Go ahead. A that the family stated was that the reason Mr. Moore was behaving the way he was is because he had just received the Holy Spirit, and that's what you do when you receive the Holy Spirit.	20 Q Right. 21 A such training. 22 Q Right. Fair enough. 23 So you relied on Taser International for 24 their product training just like you would rely on 25 the tear gas company or the Mace company to put

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

209 211 warnings and say here's generally how it's to be 1 A I can't speak intelligently to those types used, but when and how much it's used is controlled 2 of things. by the police department training and any training O Let me just ask you, what is your understanding of what excited delirium is? they had when they -- before they got to the police A It's just a heightened state of agitation department? A To a large degree, yes. 6 where an individual could be -- could become 6 **Q** Okay. So that's what I mean by the levels 7 combative and violent. 8 of force. That's under the training and policies 8 **Q** And are they to be managed by the officers 9 and procedures of the police department, correct? 9 within their CIT training, to your understanding? 10 A Correct. 10 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 11 **Q** We talked a little earlier about crisis 11 foundation. You may answer. 12 intervention training --12 A If possible. Officers always have to 13 A Yes, sir. consider safety of both themselves and the Q -- and I want to broaden that just a 14 14 individual. 15 15 little bit and ask you what -- you've been an BY MR. DOWD: 16 **Q** In a general sense, why is it important officer a long time, including before you were the 17 chief. 17 from the -- as a chief of police, former chief of 18 What kind of people would you anticipate 18 police, and the police in general -- when I say 19 police, I'm talking about all law enforcement, from 19 your officers seeing on the street that may be in a 20 20 the FBI, DEA, federal enforcement, county sheriffs, personal crisis or having an emotional crisis? A Oh, that could be a wide variety of folks, 21 city police, municipal police, highway patrol -- why anywhere from people involved in domestic disputes is it important, in your opinion, why they should 23 to people with organic brain disorders. not use excessive force on -- on people? 24 24 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of O Okay. People in domestic disputes may not 25 be committing a crime except for disturbing the 25 foundation. 210 212 1 BY MR. DOWD: peace because they're yelling at each other and an officer is called to try to calm them down, right? **Q** Let me rephrase the question. 3 A Yes. Is it important that the law enforcement **Q** Okay. Other than people in domestic officers, from the FBI, the DEA, all federal agents, 4 situations and people with organic brain injuries, municipal police, highway patrolmen, county police, can you think of any other people that are sheriffs, why -- do you agree that it's important commonly -- that the police have to commonly 7 7 that they not use excessive force on people? confront that may not be committing a crime, per se, 8 8 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Form and 9 but are more having a personal crisis? 9 foundation. You may answer.

A Well, sure. There's lots of situations, 10 you know, suicidal individuals, manic depre -bipolar individuals, people with various types of 13 psychosis. There's -- there's just a wide -- wide 14 variety.

15 **Q** And what is your understanding of what a 16 person who's suffering from excited delirium or agitated delirium, would you agree that that's a psychological or personal crisis --18 19

MR. PLUNKERT: Objection.

20 BY MR. DOWD:

Q -- as opposed to a crime? 21

22 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 23 foundation.

24 BY MR. DOWD:

25

Q If you know.

A Okay. Of course. Excessive force is not allowed; that's why it's called excessive.

12 As I said previously, the ability -- or 13 the -- the authority to use force is one that comes with a lot of responsibility and it's -- it's --15 that's why it's important to monitor it, use the

16 level of force necessary.

17 BY MR. DOWD:

10

11

21

18 **Q** Okay. On one -- one level it's because it's illegal, right, to use excessive force, right? 20

MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Foundation. You may answer.

22 23 BY MR. DOWD:

24 **Q** Do you agree with that?

25 A Yes.

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

Thomas Jackson

215 213 1 **Q** Okay. But on the other part -- other side **Q** So if I understand what you just said, you of it is, not just because it's illegal, but people would concede that the police -- it's the police can get hurt when officers use that authorized force department's obligation to train their officers on in an excessive way, in an unreasonably, unnecessary how to safely apprehend people, including people in way, correct? personal crisis? MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 6 A Yes. 6 Q It's also, you would concede, that the foundation. You may answer. 7 8 BY MR. DOWD: 8 police department must supervise their officers on 9 **Q** How long have you been a police officer? 9 how to safely help people who are in personal 10 **A** Thirty-six years. 10 crisis? 11 **Q** Okay. So you know a little bit about 11 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection to foundation. 12 excessive force, right? 12 You may answer. MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form. 13 13 A Yes. A I've never killed anyone. BY MR. DOWD: 14 14 BY MR. DOWD: 15 15 **Q** That includes people that are -- have 16 O I'm sorry? 16 mental health issues or engage in noncriminal 17 I've never killed anyone. But -conduct or exhibiting erratic or bizarre behavior, A 17 18 Q Well, excessive force isn't only killing. 18 correct? 19 19 A Yeah, I understand that. I thought you A Yes. 20 20 were referring to me personally. Q Now, with regard to the Taser, it's your 21 **Q** My question is this, sir: The purpose of understanding on a general level that it's designed you training and having policies on -- for your to incapacitate a person so that the officer can officers to not use excessive force is to comply either gain compliance or handcuff them, correct? with the law, number one, but number two is also to 24 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Foundation. 25 protect members of the public from being injured by 25 You may answer. 214 216 an officer using excessive force? 1 BY MR. DOWD: A Yes, that's correct. 2 **Q** If you know. Q So these excessive-force laws that we've 3 A Yes, it is. **Q** Designed to incapacitate, to make their been talking about and will talk about are designed, muscles flex and make them unable to resist for -at least partially, to protect the public from abuse 5 by the officers? while the trigger is being held, right? 6 7 A Yes. 7 A Again, I haven't had the --8 MR. PLUNKERT: Sorry. Same objections. 8 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to foundation. You 9 You may answer. 9 may answer. 10 10 BY MR. DOWD: **A** I haven't had the Taser-specific training, so I'm not going to try to testify to the specifics 11 **Q** What types of statistics does the 12 department of Ferguson use, or did it use prior to of it, but it is designed to make the arrest you leaving, in analyzing whether the members of the possible without injury to the officer or the 13 13 force are using the appropriate level of force? 14 others. 15 A Well, that's -- that's the use-of-force 15 BY MR. DOWD: 16 policy and the use-of-force report. That's the --16 **Q** To the officer or others, including the it's not the primary. The primary method is 17 person being apprehended? 17 supervisory oversight and peer oversight. So they 18 A The person being apprehended, yes. police each other, they're monitored by their 19 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, you have to go one at a time. "To the officer or 20 supervisors, but when they use force, it's reported 20 21 and investigated. 21 others." 22 **Q** Okay. Do you use any statistics, do you 22 BY MR. DOWD: 23 maintain any statistics, that we haven't talked 23 **Q** Including the person being apprehended, 24 about today? 24 that's correct? 25 A None other than the ones we've discussed. 25 A I agree with that.

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

September 18, 2015

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

	<u> </u>
217	219
Q Okay. So the so the officer and you would agree that any time an officer uses any level of force, and I'm talking about from command presence to soft hands on the subject, to Mace, to baton, to Taser, any time an officer uses any any of those levels of force, they have to allow a reasonable time, give the person a chance to comply with that order, correct? MR. PLUNKERT: Objection to form and foundation. You may answer. MR. DOWD: May I ask Counsel what's the matter with the foundation? The man's been a police officer for 36 years; I think he knows a little bit about the force continuum and how it's to be used. MR. PLUNKERT: Yes. It's vague and it's an improper hypothetical because it doesn't give enough facts for the witness to respond, doesn't assist the jury to come to any conclusion. It does reasonable time under the I mean, I can go on if you want, but there are several problems that I had with that question. So if you can narrow it to make it not so vague and if you can give facts	1 MR. PLUNKERT: Same. 2 A That's what I'm talking about, yes. 3 Q Thank you. 4 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 5 MR. PLUNKERT: That's okay. Sorry. You need to give me a second to say same. Sorry. 7 THE WITNESS: Four cups of coffee, I'm just talking too fast. 9 BY MR. DOWD: 10 Q Are there any general orders or other policies and procedures at Ferguson with regard to a call related to a person who's having a personal crisis, as we discussed earlier, to always wait for backup before engaging that person, if possible, if the circumstances allow it? 16 A I can't I can't cite the specific general order, but there are policies and procedures that address threat levels. 19 Q Okay. So if a person is in a static situation, but the officer is going to need to deal with them, if they have time to wait for a backup, they should wait for a backup? 20 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. You may answer.
25 sufficient for the witness to if you want an	25
opinion, he hasn't been designated as such. Now, to the extent that he eventually is designated, I I suggest that sufficient facts are given so that he can properly answer. That's that's my objection. BY MR. DOWD: Q Do you recall the question? I will rephrase it. A Okay. Q I'm asking you in a general sense, when an officer determines to use some level of force, whether it's command presence, whether it's soft hands on the a person, baton or Mace or Taser, that in a general sense the officer is required to give the person an interval, a chance, to comply with the order while they're before they use more force or use a greater level of force? Would you agree with that as a general proposition? MR. PLUNKERT: Subject to the same objections, you may answer. A When when time allows, yes, of course. BY MR. DOWD: Q Okay. And when you say "time allows," meaning depending on the level of threat that that person is posing?	1 BY MR. DOWD: 2 Q Fair statement? 3 A Yeah. It's situational in in that it's 4 hard to second-guess something that, you know, is 5 hypothetical, but it's it's preferred to have 6 backup. 7 Q Depending on the threat level that the 8 person is posing, correct? 9 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. Go ahead. 10 A That would be one factor, yes. 11 BY MR. DOWD: 12 Q Okay. What would be the other factors? 13 A The threat level 14 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. Sorry. 15 Go ahead. 16 A Threat level to one's self, the 17 environment. A lot of factors can go into that. 18 BY MR. DOWD: 19 Q I'm going to give you back Exhibit 9 from 20 earlier and ask you to turn to page 3 of that, 21 please. And there's a section called Limitations. 22 Do you see that? 23 A Yes, I do. 24 Q Would you just read that first sentence 25 into the record, please?

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

221 223 1 Q It may have been -- do you believe you've MR. PLUNKERT: Bill, do you want to have 2 ever seen the police report in the Moore matter? him use this Exhibit 9? 3 A Yes, I've seen the report. MR. DOWD: That's fine. 4 MR. PLUNKERT: I think you might have some O You saw it maybe the last time in 5 September or October of 2011? notes on yours. 6 MR. DOWD: That's fine. MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation. You 7 **A** "Limitations. The X26 Taser will never be may answer. 8 deployed punitively or for purposes of coercion. It 8 BY MR. DOWD: 9 is to be used" --9 **Q** Is that your belief? 10 BY MR. DOWD: 10 A That's my belief. 11 **Q** Okay. That's all I was asking you to 11 Q Okay. Fair enough. 12 read, yeah. My question relates to that in the 12 Have you spoken to anyone, other than your attorneys, about your deposition today and the context of, what is your understanding, and you tell 13 the jury what your understanding of pain compliance circumstances surrounding the Moore case other than 14 15 is in the -- in a context of officers' use of force? what you've told us about in preparation for your 15 16 A Pain compliance is -deposition? 16 17 MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation. 17 A No. I haven't spoken to anybody about 18 Subject to that you can answer. this case other than my wife. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 19 Q And do you -- as you sit here today, do 20 MR. PLUNKERT: No, that's okay. 20 you have any opinions about how Officer Kaminski and Officer White handled the situation with Mr. Moore 21 BY MR. DOWD: 22 **Q** Do you understand the question? 22 that morning? 23 A I do. Pain compliance is a term that's 23 MR. PLUNKERT: Let me object to lack of 24 been around for a while. It generally applies to --24 foundation. Subject to that you may answer. 25 for -- to passive -- passive resistance type thing. A Not being on the scene, I go by -- I have 222 224 1 to go by what my commanders conclude, and the It's usually pressure point, that type of thing. conclusion was that the amount of force used was the **Q** Okay. So per that general order that's contained in Exhibit 9 regarding electronic amount necessary. BY MR. DOWD: incapacitation devices, it says that "The X26 Taser 4 will never be deployed punitively or for purposes of 5 **Q** And I'm asking you, is that your coercion," and that's another way of saying it's not opinion -- other than what your supervisors have to be used for pain compliance, correct? told you, do you have an independent opinion that 7 8 A Correct. you're prepared to tell me about with regard to the 9 **Q** Can you tell us what you reviewed prior to facts and circumstances of this case today that the your deposition today, in preparation of your 10 level of force was appropriate? 11 deposition, whether it was today or yesterday or ... 11 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. You can MR. PLUNKERT: And to be clear, it's not 12 12 answer. 13 conversations that you had with attorneys, it's 13 **A** Nothing that's in conflict with that. 14 just documents. Fair, Bill? 14 BY MR. DOWD: 15 MR. DOWD: Yes, that's correct. 15 **Q** Do you agree that the Moore scenario, as 16 **A** I just generally reviewed the complaint. you understand it, was not a situation that would 16 17 BY MR. DOWD: 17 have justified the use of deadly force? **Q** Just the complaint? 18 18 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of 19 **A** The -- the lawsuit. 19 foundation. You may answer. Q I'm sorry. You didn't review the police 20 20 A I believe that's the case given that there report in this matter? 21 21 was less lethal options available. 22 A No, I did not. 22 BY MR. DOWD: 23 When is the last time you saw the police Q 23 Q The man was naked, he was unarmed, weighed 24 report? 24 135 pounds? 25 A Mr. Dowd, I have no idea. I don't know. 25 A Yes.

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

I nomas Jackson	September 18, 2015
225	227
1 Q Can you describe how large Officer 2 Kaminski is? 3 A He's a good-size man. 4 Q I think best estimate is he's six-two, 5 240? 6 A I don't know that he's 240. 7 MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation, I 8 suppose. Go ahead. You may answer. 9 A They're all tall to me. 10 BY MR. DOWD: 11 Q Yeah. In 2011, if you recall. 12 A Yeah. He's he's probably around six, 13 six-two. 14 Q You touched earlier on the situation with 15 regard to the some changes in the general orders 16 because there was a change in the REJIS system that 17 you were associated with, and I've had some 18 conversation with your counsel, so this is sort of a 19 housekeeping thing, but I wanted to ask you what you 20 knew about we're looking for the CAD transcripts. 21 Do you know what those are? 22 A Yes. 23 Q How were those maintained at the 24 department when you were there? 25 A We had switched from I think it was	1 Q And to your knowledge is he still employed 2 by Ferguson? 3 A He retired. 4 Q Do you recall there being a an issue 5 with the computer crashing after the conversion to 6 ITI or ITA? 7 A They had quite a few problems during the 8 conversion. 9 Q Were the transcripts kept in hard copy 10 anywhere, to your knowledge, the CAD transcripts? 11 A No, not to my knowledge. 12 Q Were your internal affairs reports that 13 you kept in your file drawer in your office, were 14 those scanned or kept anywhere other than in 15 hard-copy form? 16 A Just hard copy. 17 Q Do you know in our initial review of 18 the documents produced with regard to citizen 19 complaints, it appears they're all either right 20 before September 2011 or and by large majority 21 after that date. 22 Do you know what happened to the citizen 23 complaints prior to September of 2011? 24 A No. To the best of my knowledge, they 25 were in my in that file.
1 new World Technologies over to ITI CAD. And 2 which which entry are you referring to the 3 dispatchers? 4 Q I'm trying to be as general as possible, 5 but yeah, tell me about the dispatchers first. 6 A Well, all our CAD information is kept on a 7 cloud. We don't store it in house anymore. 8 Q Okay. Prior so there was a conversion 9 in, let's say, 2012. Does that sound roughly close 10 to it? 11 A That sounds about right. 12 Q Okay. Who was responsible for that 13 conversion from the one software to the other? 14 A You mean the decision? 15 Q Yeah. Who are who was the individual 16 that was responsible, the IT person, presumably? 17 A Actually, our IT person was involved, but 18 we had someone in house that became very proficient	Q So to your knowledge there was no intentional destruction of those? A No. Q What about the audiotapes? What's your understanding of how long the audiotapes are kept consistent with Ferguson police policy? A Again, we went to a digital not that that changed policy. I want to say 90 days, but I don't know if the digital system had a shorter cycle on it. Q All right. Do you agree that records regarding citizen complaints could be relevant not only to litigation brought by that complainant but in other cases claiming municipal liability? MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of foundation, form. You may answer. A Would you say that again?
19 at the 20 Q And who was that? 21 A With the software and the technology. 22 Q And who is that? 23 A Sergeant Mike Wood. 24 Q The last name again, please? 25 A Wood.	19 BY MR. DOWD: 20 Q Sure. Do you understand citizen 21 complaints, the documents and the information 22 contained in those documents could be relevant to a 23 lawsuit or an investigation brought about that 24 incident as well as other incidents that might 25 relate to the City of Ferguson's liability?

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

229	231
1 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Lack of lack	1 to use of force and compliance.
2 of foundation, form. You may answer.	2 An officer is permitted to use reasonable
3 A Yes.	3 force necessary under the circumstances to gain
4 BY MR. DOWD:	4 compliance with his lawful orders, correct?
5 Q You understood the question?	5 A Yes.
6 A Yes, I did.	6 Q And when he does use that level of force,
7 Q When you took over as the chief, did you	7 he's required to allow that person some time to
8 take all those supervisor complaints that had	8 comply with his orders, correct?
9 been all the complaints that the supervisors had 10 previously kept, did you acquire those and put those	9 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection, form and 10 foundation, as the last. Go ahead.
10 previously kept, did you acquire those and put those 11 all in a central location?	11 BY MR. DOWD:
12 A No.	12 Q And when I say "a reasonable time," it
13 Q Do you know what happened to those? I	13 means under the circumstances. Do you understand
14 know you changed let me ask a new question.	14 that?
15 My understanding is you changed the way	15 A Under the circumstances, yes.
16 and the location that those were collected and kept,	16 Q Right. So I'm going to ask you, if a
17 correct?	17 person is on the ground, they've been tased, they've
18 A Yes.	18 been tased for six seconds, they're naked, they
19 Q And do you know what happened to the prior	19 don't have any weapons, there's no one within reach
20 complaints that the supervisors previously	20 to be grabbed or kicked or anything like that, how
21 maintained in their office?	21 much time would be reasonable to allow that person
A No. I mean, they kept those, but moving	22 to comply with the officer's orders to stay down,
23 forward I created a central file, so they were all	23 for example?
24 in one place.	MR. PLUNKERT: Object sorry. Are you
25 Q So the ones the complaint forms that	done with your question, Bill?
230	232
1 came in after you were chief, you kept those, but	1 MR. DOWD: Mm-hmm.
2 you did not keep the ones that were in existence	2 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection to form and
3 prior, you did not acquire and keep those, correct?	foundation. You may answer. A Is this person continuing to be
4 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form. You can sanswer.	11 F F
6 THE WITNESS: Huh?	5 aggressive? 6 BY MR. DOWD:
7 MR. PLUNKERT: I objected to form. You	7 Q Attempting to get up.
8 can answer.	8 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections.
9 A No, I didn't I didn't collect those.	9 A A reasonable amount of time to comply
10 BY MR. DOWD:	10 would be appropriate.
11 Q So to your knowledge the last people in	11 BY MR. DOWD:
12 possession of those would have been the two	12 Q Would that be less than one second after
13 supervisors, correct?	13 the person after the Taser trigger was released?
14 A Three.	14 A That would be
15 Q Three. Are you including the third who is	MR. PLUNKERT: Same same objections as
16 in charge of the non-police-officer employees of the	to form and foundation. You can answer.
17 city?	17 A That would be completely situational.
18 A Yes.	18 I it would be way too hypothetical.
19 Q Okay. Did you tell us earlier who you	19 BY MR. DOWD:
20 thought those supervisors were, their names? 21 A Captain Henke, Captain McBride, and	20 Q Excuse me. Can you ever imagine a
21 A Captain Henke, Captain McBride, and 22 Lieutenant Nabdzyk.	21 situation where less than one second to comply with
23 Q Thank you.	22 the officer's orders would be sufficient time?
24 A Common spelling. N-a-b-d-z-y-k.	MR. PLUNKERT: Objection to form and foundation. You may answer.
25 Q I want to go back, if I may, for a minute,	foundation. You may answer.A I can't.
	20 14 1 Van t.

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

235 233 1 BY MR. DOWD: A I don't know if they were doing it online 1 2 O Can you think of any situation in which an 2 then. officer has just tased someone who's on the ground 3 **Q** It's your belief, when you left as the naked, without a weapon, where one second would be 4 chief, they were doing it online? sufficient time for the officer to determine if he's 5 **A** I believe so, yeah. My administrative getting compliance? 6 assistant. 7 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. You may 7 Your administrative assistant did that? 0 8 8 A She filed those reports, yeah. 9 A I'd say I have to see the totality of the 9 Q And what is her name? I'm sorry. 10 circumstances. I can't think of any. 10 Mary Simmons. 11 BY MR. DOWD: 11 0 Mary Simms? 12 Q You can't think of any with the facts I've 12 A Simmons. given you, that the person is naked, unarmed, 13 Q Simmons. Thank you. there's no citizens within reach, the officer has 14 A Yes, sir. just tased them and he's telling them to stay down **Q** How often would she enter those reports 15 and there's one second to comply? Is that a into the system? After each occurrence or on an 16 17 reasonable time, in your opinion? annual basis? 17 18 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. You may 18 A No. No. It's a --19 19 MR. PLUNKERT: Foundation. You may 20 A Under the circumstances it seems brief, 20 21 that you've cited. 21 A I don't remember if it's quarterly or BY MR. DOWD: monthly, but ... 22 23 Q It seems brief, meaning not enough time BY MR. DOWD: 23 24 **Q** But it wasn't after each incident, it was for the person to have a chance to comply? 24 25 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. You may 25 on some kind of a regular calendar basis? 234 236 1 answer. A Yes. 2 A Again, with the circumstances you've given **Q** I respect Counsel's objections, but I really only want you to tell me what you --3 me, yes. reasonably was true, okay? BY MR. DOWD: 4 **Q** Okay. Does the City of Ferguson Police 5 A Yeah. Department submit information to the Federal Bureau 6 **Q** And I think we had understood that, right? 7 of Justice Statistics regarding use of force or 7 A Mm-hmm. Yes. arrest-related deaths? 8 MR. PLUNKERT: Although that calls for 8 9 9 speculation as to what you understood. We're A Yes, sir. 10 **O** What forms does it use to do that? Is it 10 joking, no. BY MR. DOWD: a portal you go onto and enter on a computer? Is it 11 Q In your 36 years as a police officer, a preprinted form that you all fill out and send to 12 including many years in command at the St. Louis 13 a designated site? 13 14 MR. PLUNKERT: Form and foundation. You County Police Department, would you agree that it 15 may answer. 15 would be rare for an officer who had, in fact, 16 BY MR. DOWD: 16 violated the policies and procedures of the department to write a fully detailed report 17 **Q** If you know. 17 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. admitting to facts that proved his own violation? 18 18 19 A Yeah. It's -- the statistics are kept --19 MR. PLUNKERT: Form and foundation. You 20 I think they do it online now. I think they do it 20 may answer. 21 through a portal. 21 A What was the question again? 22 BY MR. DOWD: 22 BY MR. DOWD: 23 **Q** So when you started at the department, 23 Q In your 36 years as an officer, including 24 Ferguson Police Department, were they doing those as a command officer and the chief of police, wasn't 25 reports to the Bureau of Justice Statistics online? 25 it rare -- a rare occurrence that you would find an

59 (Pages 233 to 236)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

239 237 officer who would fill out a report that would 1 Yes. include all of the facts necessary to find them in 2 **Q** Do you know where that CAD Detail violation of the policies and procedures of his information comes from? **A** From -- from dispatch. 4 department? MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. 5 **Q** And then we talked earlier about where the 5 6 actual dispatch transcripts might be. Do you recall 6 **A** I expect the officers to fill out reports 7 that are fully factual. 7 that? 8 BY MR. DOWD: 8 A Yes. 9 Q I didn't --9 **Q** Is there other information on the CAD 10 A To do otherwise would be inappropriate. 10 transcript other than times? Is there narrative on 11 Q I didn't mean to ask you what your 11 there as to what was being said actually? expectation was. What I meant to ask you was, in 12 Very briefly. your experience, how many times did you see a 13 Q Such as "Officer Dispatched," period? report, a police report, in which the officer 14 A Yes. included all of the facts necessary for him to be 15 "Officer Arrives," period? Q found in violation of his department policies? 16 A A Many times. 17 O So that would be a timeline of what --17 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Foundation. 18 18 when people were arriving at the scene, when people BY MR. DOWD: 19 were dispatched to the scene, when people left the 20 20 **O** Many times? scene, correct? 21 21 A Yes. A Yes. **Q** Can you give us some examples? 22 22 **Q** Is that an actual transcript of a tape A Not any specific examples, but officers 23 23 that -- of the voices of the people on the radio often have been disciplined based on their own system or is it something that is typed in with some 24 sort of preapproved language, like "Left Scene, reporting of their actions. 238 240 **Q** Would you agree, sir, that it's more 1 Arrived at Scene"? common that they're disciplined based on the A This would be typed in. The dispatcher statements and reports of others than they are on would get the call and would be entering the their own admissions? information into the CAD system as it was coming --MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation. You 5 5 **O** Right. 6 may answer. **A** -- and the time that she was making that 6 entry would be recorded with that entry. 7 BY MR. DOWD: 7 **Q** In your experience. 8 **Q** Right. So the time is -- go ahead, sir. 8 9 9 A Yeah. Yes. **A** The recordings would be on a separate MR. DOWD: Let's go off the record for a system --10 10 Q Okay. 11 second, please. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 12 **A** -- that's not tied to the CAD system. 12 13 **Q** There's no policy or procedure at the 13 2:34. Ferguson Police Department -- there was not when you 14 (Recess taken.) 14 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at 2:37. were chief -- that if somebody died during an arrest 16 BY MR. DOWD: that they would keep the CAD transcripts, the 17 Q Sir, I've given you the front page of the 17 dispatch tapes, and other things separately as part police report in this case, which is Ferguson Bates 18 of an investigation or a later investigation? number 0008. Just for reference to that form, on 19 A If the -- if the tapes were requested, 20 the top left do you see where it says "CAD Detail"? 20 then they would be retained. 21 A Yes. 21 **Q** But there was no policy they would just **Q** And do you know where that information 22 automatically retain them in the event there was a comes from? There's -- there's then a date and a 23 later investigation as to the cause of death? 24 time right after that, right below that. Do you see 24 A Not that I'm aware of. 25 **Q** Have all of the answers you've given us 25 it?

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

	1
2	41 243
1 today been to your best belief?	1 your attorney, correct?
2 A Yes.	2 A Yes.
3 MR. DOWD: I don't have any further	3 Q And also the city has counsel, Stephanie
4 questions. Thank you, sir.	4 Karr, to the extent that if you wanted to seek legal
5 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.	5 advice you could go to Stephanie Karr for that,
6 EXAMINATION	6 correct?
7 QUESTIONS BY MR. PLUNKERT:	7 A Yes.
8 Q Very briefly, a couple of followups.	8 Q And that included if you had any questions
9 Do you remember earlier there was a	9 regarding the Department of Justice investigation,
10 question about whether the the department	10 correct?
police department for the City of Ferguson was	11 A Yes.
12 equipped with pepper spray or Tasers? Do you	12 Q You have been asked questions regarding
13 remember that?	13 training by the City of Ferguson. Do you recall
14 A Yes.	14 that?
15 Q And you said it was, correct?	15 A Yes.
16 A Yes.	16 Q Okay. Could you could you describe
17 Q Does every officer then use or take with	17 well, P.O.S.T., what is P.O.S.T.?
18 them the Taser or pepper spray when they go out	
19 patrol?	19 standards training. And it's the standard by which
No. And as I said, when we started there	20 the state most states certify officers, license
21 we didn't have Tasers and I had to piecemeal buy	21 them, if you were. So it's initial training that's
22 them. So the first batch we bought, I think we	22 required, and then it's ongoing on-the-job or
23 bought five. And so it wasn't everybody that was	
24 able to carry one.	24 minimum amount of training that's required over a
25 Q So in other words, if qualified or	25 three-year period.
7 1	
2	42 244
1 contified I should say to corry it it was an	1 Q And that minimum amount of training, at
1 certified, I should say, to carry it, it was an 2 option to the officers, correct?	2 least with respect to P.O.S.T., is managed by the
3 A Yes.	3 state of Missouri, correct?
4 Q You were asked earlier a question	4 A Yes.
5 regarding the whether the totality of the	5 Q And Ferguson complies with the P.O.S.T.
6 circumstances contemplated the mental state of the	
7 suspect or subject. Do you recall that?	7 A Yes.
8 A I do.	8 Q And with respect to your officers while
9 Q Okay. And does that with respect to	9 you were chief at the City of Ferguson, you required
10 the totality of the circumstances, that's with	10 your officers to comply with the hours required by
11 respect to what the officer knows at the time the	11 P.O.S.T., correct?
12 officer confronts the subject or suspect?	12 A Yes.
13 A Yes, that's correct.	13 Q And specifically that was the training
14 Q The there was a question regarding the	14 which the officers were to maintain in order to keep
15 city attorneys actually, I'm sorry with	15 their licensure and also their employment as a
16 respect to the Department of Justice, whether you	16 police officer with the City of Ferguson, correct?
17 were personally represented by counsel.	17 A Yes.
Do you recall that question?	18 Q Again, that was state required, right?
19 A Yes, I do.	19 A Yes, it is.
20 Q And you were not personally represented	by 20 MR. PLUNKERT: Those were the questions
21 counsel regarding the Department of Justice	21 that I had.
22 proceeding specifically, correct?	22 EXAMINATION
23 A That's correct.	23 QUESTIONS BY MR. DOWD:
Q However, you are represented by attorney	= - Q Okay. Vast two followaps, sir, if I may.
25 at least by some matters that are involved, me bei	ng 25 Just so I'm clear, counsel asked you
	I I

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

245	247
 something about officers, when they start their shift, would have Tasers or pepper spray, not never both? A No, that's not correct. They could have both. Q Okay. A They wouldn't necessarily have a Taser. Q Understood. So kind of is it safe to say logically that they would all have Mace and some would have Taser when they went out on their shift in September of 2011? MR. PLUNKERT: Object to foundation. You may answer. BY MR. DOWD: Q I say keep saying Mace, but Mace and pepper spray are the same thing? A Yes. Q Okay. MR. PLUNKERT: Same objection. A For these purposes. BY MR. DOWD: Q Yeah. A But as part of their assigned equipment pepper spray was assigned to officers. Q All right. So what other tools would he 	1 Q And officers that are certified by 2 P.O.S.T. are all trained in hand-to-hand combat, 3 correct? 4 A To some degree, yes. 5 Q And all use-of-force options have positive 6 features and negative features, correct? 7 A Yes. 8 MR. PLUNKERT: Lack of foundation. Go 9 ahead. 10 BY MR. DOWD: 11 Q And I've learned my understanding 12 anyway, for example, pepper spray or Mace, you know, 13 officers don't like to use it if they're in a 14 confined space with someone because they might get 15 it in their own eyes, correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q You understand that the Moore incident 18 happened outside in a wide-open area, correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q What are the downsides of using pepper 21 spray with somebody who is in an agitated state? 22 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to foundation. You 23 can answer. 24 BY MR. DOWD: 25 Q If you know after 36 years of police
have had on his belt, Officer Kaminski, on September 11th? He would have had pepper spray, a Taser, a revolver or a sidearm, right? MR. PLUNKERT: Let me object that that if your question is done, lack of foundation. You may answer. BY MR. DOWD: Q Let me say, as part of the policies and procedures, your expectation would be that an officer going on duty in September of 2011 would have pepper spray, correct? A Yes. Q He would have could have a Taser? A Yes. Q Would have a gun? A Yes. Q What else would he have as far as use-of-force tools at his disposal? A Beyond that would be the handcuffs and the magazines for the pistol. Q What about batons? A Some could have a baton. Q Of course they always had their open hands, correct, and their feet? A Yes, sir.	1 A Yeah. Pepper spray 2 MR. PLUNKERT: Form as well. Go ahead. 3 A Pepper spray is often, in my experience 4 and experience of others, less effective on someone 5 in a highly agitated state. It's more for a lower 6 level of aggression and, for example, passive 7 resistance, you know, those situations. 8 BY MR. DOWD: 9 Q What is the effect of pepper spray? I've 10 luckily never had it used on me. Have you 11 experienced it in your training? 12 A Oh, yeah. Oh, yeah. Yes. I was an 13 instructor when I was on the SWAT Team when we first 14 got the pepper spray, and so we all had to get 15 sprayed. 16 Q And what is the effect on your ability to 17 engage in a combat after being pepper sprayed in the 18 eyes? 19 A Well, that's that's part of the 20 training, is to get pepper sprayed and to continue 21 to fight. 22 Q Okay. Are you as good a fighter with 23 pepper spray in your eyes as before you had it? 24 A In a lot more pain 25 Q Yeah.

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

251 249 A -- but no. 1 chief in Ferguson and from your own personal 1 O Understood. But it makes it more 2 involvement, not the involvement of others, did you 2 difficult to see, correct? attempt to comply with the use-of-force report 3 A Yes. reviews that you were asked to review? 5 **Q** Any other -- any other negatives in using A Yes. 6 O I mean, it's not an obligation you 6 pepper spray besides the risk that the officer might get it in their own eyes while they're trying to do 7 7 ignored, was it? 8 their job? A No. 9 A That's a big -- big risk of it, but the 9 **Q** And the report forms that were completed 10 other factors, as I said, no. 10 and presented to you, did you attempt to fairly and accurately evaluate whether the force used was Q Okay. And did the Ferguson Police 11 12 Department consider the risks of any side effects, appropriate? like cardiac arrest or anything, when they were 13 A Yes, I did. advising their officers when using pepper spray or 14 **Q** When we see different occasions contained in the DOJ report where there was force used under 15 Mace? 15 any form of force, do you have any reason to believe 16 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form and 16 17 foundation. You may answer. that you would not have reviewed those reports in 17 your day-to-day activities as police chief at the 18 **A** The officers are always prepared to render 18 19 time? first aid if necessary. It's -- it's a given, as we 19 20 said before, that these are called less lethal MR. PLUNKERT: Form and foundation. You 21 weapons, not nonlethal weapons. may answer. BY MR. DOWD: 22 A I can't qualify what's in that report. 22 23 **Q** Not what weapons? 23 BY MR. JOHNSON: 24 24 **Q** The anecdotal information that was A Nonlethal. 25 MR. DOWD: Okay. I don't have any further 25 identified in the DOJ report, such as in August of 250 252 questions of this witness. Do you? 1 2010 we had the incident with the bracelets. 1 2 remember, in the jail? 2 MR. JOHNSON: A little bit. Not much. 3 3 MR. DOWD: Thank you, sir, for your time A Yes. **Q** So when you're looking through examples 4 5 like that, is that news to you? 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. **EXAMINATION** 6 Is that something where you reviewed it 6 and said, "I didn't even know about that event"? 7 QUESTIONS BY MR. JOHNSON: 7 **Q** Mr. Jackson, I want to refer you one more MR. PLUNKERT: Object to form. Go ahead. 8 8 time back to the DOJ report which we marked as 9 A Yeah. When I -- when I read something Exhibit 11, and I don't have anything specific to like that, I question the context, I question the any page number, I kind of have a catch-all thoroughness of the review. That is absurd to think 12 question. that something like that happened and it's certainly 13 During the period of time that you were not something I would have overlooked. 14 chief of police in Ferguson and from your own 14 BY MR. JOHNSON: perspective and your own involvement, did you **Q** That would be unconstitutional, wouldn't 15 attempt to follow the review of any use-of-force 16 it? 17 reports that were sent to you for review? From your 17 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Foundation. Go own perspective and your own involvement. 18 18 ahead, you may answer. And form. Go ahead, 19 MR. PLUNKERT: I apologize, could you 19 you may answer. 20 repeat the question for my benefit, see if I 20 A To tase someone for not taking their 21 can object. 21 bracelets off, yeah. MR. JOHNSON: Let me rephrase it. Let me 22 22 BY MR. JOHNSON: 23 rephrase it. 23 **Q** Especially in stun drive? 24 BY MR. JOHNSON: 24 A Yeah. **Q** During the period of time you were police 25 25 MR. PLUNKERT: Same.

63 (Pages 249 to 252)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

255 253 1 1 BY MR. JOHNSON: MR. JOHNSON: I don't have any further 2 2 O So going back to my earlier question, questions. Thank you for your time, sir. 3 then, just based on the -- whether you disagree with 3 **EXAMINATION** the context of it, seeing that there was a report of 4 **OUESTIONS BY MR. DOWD:** somebody injured in the jail due to a use of a Taser 5 **Q** If I could just ask one followup, sir. application in August of 2010, I think I read that 6 After you received the Department of correctly, if you were following your review 7 Justice report, did you go back and look at any of procedures for use-of-force report forms, any reason 8 these incidents? Did you go back and say, "I don't not to believe you would have reviewed some 9 remember this, I can't believe this happened," and 10 use-of-force report back when this occurred, whether 10 go pull your IAD file or ask -- go back and look at 11 or not you agree or disagree it was appropriate? 11 the records or investigate yourself how --12 MR. PLUNKERT: Objection. Form and 12 A Yes. 13 foundation. You may answer. 13 MR. PLUNKERT: Let me interpose an 14 A Yes, I would have reviewed it. That 14 objection. You know, to the extent that doesn't even state if it was one of my officers. 15 counsel advised you to do such, that is -- that BY MR. JOHNSON: 16 16 is -- well, I guess if I were to understand the 17 **Q** Certainly. 17 question correctly, you're asking for the 18 So applying that rationale, then, to each 18 regular business purpose --19 of the different occasions where we see some form of 19 MR. DOWD: Yes. 20 force used against some citizen, any reason not to MR. PLUNKERT: -- and not at the believe that if you were following your -- your 21 instruction of counsel? review portion of the use-of-force report forms, you 22 MR. DOWD: Absolutely. would have reviewed all these reports back when they 23 MR. PLUNKERT: Okay. With that qualifier, 24 24 occurred? you may answer. 25 MR. PLUNKERT: Same objections. You can 25 A Yeah. Well, for my own benefit I --254 256 1 BY MR. DOWD: 1 answer Q Right. BY MR. JOHNSON: 2 **Q** Right? 3 3 **A** -- went back and for the amount of time that I was still there looked at -- looked up many 4 A Yes. Q And do you ever recall, after reviewing a 5 examples, and we objected to some of this stuff use-of-force report form, where you went back and initially. 6 disciplined the officer involved? 7 7 **Q** In writing, sir? 8 **A** I don't remember any specific example. 8 A Hmm? **Q** And after reviewing any use-of-force 9 9 **Q** In writing you objected? report form, do you recall ever endeavoring to alter 10 A No. the training given to your officers in that use of 11 11 Okay. I'm just --Q 12 force? A No, I didn't. But as I said, they were 12 13 MR. PLUNKERT: Object to the form. You half stories and specious conclusions that they were 13 14 may answer. making. Their lack of knowledge of police work was 15 **A** I pretty much tripled the amount of 15 apparent when they told us that if we have a training that officers were receiving in Ferguson. 16 burglary in progress that we shouldn't use a canine 17 We provided training in all types of facets of to make an apprehension unless we're certain that 17 police work, so ... 18 the individual inside is armed. 18 19 BY MR. JOHNSON: 19 Those are the types of things that -- you 20 **Q** And specifically the facet of police work 20 know, the conclusions that they were making. So 21 that would deal with the application and use of a 21 they were -- that's simply an officer safety issue. Taser ECW, do you ever recall altering the trainings 22 **Q** So they were giving you their assessment after you reviewing a use-of-force report? 23 of the appropriate level of force that they 24 A I don't specifically remember any -- any 24 thought --25 instant. 25 A One of the --

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

Thomas Jackson

September 18, 2015

MR. PLUNKERT: Wait. Wait. Wait. Let me go ahead and ask the full question. Let him ask his question. BY MR. DOWD: Q You were disagreeing with their opinion that the use of the dog on a burglary in progress was excessive force, in their opinion, and you thought it was an officer safety issue? A Yes. MR. DOWD: I don't have anything further. MR. PLUNKERT: No. We'll read. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This will conclude today's deposition of Chief Thomas Jackson. We're off the record at 2:55. Thank you all very much. We're off the record at 2:55.	set forth the testimony of the aforementioned witness, together with the questions propounded by counsel and remarks and objections of counsel thereto, and is in all respects a full, true, correct and complete transcript of the questions propounded to and the answers given by said witness; that signature of the deponent was not waived by agreement of counsel. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either of the parties to said suit, not related to nor interested in any of the parties or their attorneys. Witness my hand and notarial seal at St. Louis, Missouri, this 27th day of September, 2015. My Commission expires April 26, 2016. COMMISSION NUMBER 12513162 Notary Public in and for the State of Missouri
State of Missouri SS. County of St. Louis I, Nancy N. Abdallah, RPR, MO-CCR #888, IL-CSR #084-004460, and Notary Public in and for the State of Missouri, duly commissioned, qualified and authorized to administer oaths and to certify to depositions, do hereby certify that pursuant to Notice in the civil cause now pending and undetermined in the United States District Court, For the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division, to be used in the trial of said cause in said court, I was attended at the offices of Pitzer Snodgrass, P.C., 100 South Fourth Street, Suite 400, in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri, by the aforesaid attorneys; on the 18th day of September, 2015. The said witness, being of sound mind and being by me first carefully examined and duly cautioned and sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the case aforesaid, thereupon testified as is shown in the foregoing transcript, said testimony being by me reported in shorthand and caused to be transcribed into	1 GorePerry Reporting & Video 2 Monday, September 28, 2015 3 Mr. Robert T. Plunkert, Esq. 4 Pitzer Snodgrass 100 South Fourth Street Suite 400 5 St. Louis, MO, 63102 6 Re: Deposition of Thomas Jackson Date:Friday, September 18, 2015 7 Case:Tina Moore, et al. vs. Brian Kaminski, et al. 8 9 Mr. Robert T. Plunkert, Esq. 10 Your witness did not waive the right to read and sign his/her deposition in the above referenced matter. 11 Enclosed is the copy of the deposition you ordered, together with errata sheets and additional signature 12 page. Please instruct your witness to read the transcript, list any corrections (including page and 13 line number) on the errata sheets, sign and date the errata sheets and signature page. 14 Within 30 days, please return the errata sheets and 15 signature page to our office for further processing. 16 Your prompt cooperation will be appreciated. 17 18 19 20 21 Sincerely, 22 22 Production Department GorePerry Reporting & Video 24 515 Olive Street St. Louis, MO 63101 25 (314) 241-6750

65 (Pages 257 to 260)

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 67 of 103 PageID #:

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	263		263
Page Line Shot Reason for character Reason for char	uld Read: nge:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Comes now the witness, Thomas Jackson,
	262	:	264
1 Page Line Shou		1	COURT MEMO
2 Reason for char		2	COURT MEMO
3 4 Paga Lina Shou	ald Doods	3 4	
4 Page Line Shou 5 Reason for cha		5 6	Tina Moore, et al. vs. Brian Kaminski, et al.
7 Page Line Shou		7	
8 Reason for cha	nge:	8 9	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICER AND STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES
10 Page Line Shou		10	
11 Reason for char	nge:	11 12	DEPOSITION OF Thomas Jackson
13 Page Line Shou	ıld Read:		9/18/2015
14 Reason for cha		14	Name and address of person or firm having custody of
16 Page Line Shou	ıld Read:	15	the original transcript:
17 Reason for char		17	Dowd & Dowd
18 19 Page Line Shou	ild Paad	18	211 North Broadway, Suite 4050
20 Reason for cha		19	St. Louis, MO 63101
21		21	
22 Page Line Shot23 Reason for char	ıld Read:	22	
2.4 Reason for that	ugo.	23	
25			
23		25	

66 (Pages 261 to 264)

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

	265
1	ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT TAXED IN FAVOR OF:
1 2	ORIGINAL TRANSCRIFT TAXED IN FAVOR OF:
3	Dowd & Dowd
4	211 North Broadway, Suite 4050
5	St. Louis, MO 63101
6	Total:
7	1 ONE COPY - TAXED IN FAVOR OF:
8	D'4 C 1
9 10	Pitzer Snodgrass 100 South Fourth Street Suite 400
11	
12	Total:
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	266
	266
	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above
2	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated
2	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course
2 3 4	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business.
2	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course
2 3 4 5	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY
2 3 4 5 6	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Upon delivery of transcripts, the above charges had not been paid. It is anticipated that all charges will be paid in the normal course of business. GORE PERRY GATEWAY & LIPA REPORTING COMPANY 515 Olive Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set STATEMENT OF DEPOSITION CHARGES my hand and seal on this day of Commission expires

67 (Pages 265 to 266)

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 69 of 103 PageID #: 2235

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

A	accurate 93:18	185:14 192:7	agency 12:6 25:2	al 1:11 2:15 7:5
	106:6 173:22	235:5,7	25:10,12,24 26:20	18:3 260:7,7
abdallah 3:7 258:4	197:4,5,9 263:5	admissible 111:24	30:9 31:10,12	264:5,5
ability 8:22 124:10	accurately 251:11	admissions 238:4	36:13 39:21 44:8	allegation 78:14
124:16 212:12	acknowledges	admitting 236:18	66:20 163:19	116:17
248:16	53:11,22 263:4	admonishment	175:18 205:4	allegations 78:24
able 27:20 117:4	acknowledgment	103:12	agent 134:10	81:15 88:16
141:18 173:16	57:6	admonition 159:4	agents 133:13,25	111:22 125:15
241:24	acquainted 16:25	159:13	134:7 135:13	alleged 88:14
absence 180:8 absent 116:16	acquire 229:10	adopted 59:1	212:4	102:24 113:20
	230:3	advanced 133:17	aggression 248:6	allow 27:21 141:10
137:16 146:4	acquisition 163:9	advantage 144:13	aggressive 232:5	217:6 219:15
absolutely 58:14 79:17 255:22	acting 26:6 170:21	adversarial 22:16	agitated 210:17	231:7,21
absurd 252:11	action 109:8	186:2	247:21 248:5	allowed 212:11
abuse 86:11 214:5	actions 10:25	advice 243:5	agitation 211:5	allows 218:21,23
academies 53:19,21	237:25	advised 255:15	ago 189:21	alter 254:10
academy 16:5,8,13	activate 155:10	advising 249:14	agree 101:15	altering 254:22
23:10 53:24 54:12	activation 154:3	advisory 145:1,2	112:17,21 137:21	ambulance 14:25
54:17 55:1 59:4	activities 174:4	advocate 60:25	139:8,14 165:25	15:16 173:1 176:2
62:5,6,7,9,10,12	251:18	61:2	210:17 212:6,24	176:4,22
62:14,18,22 63:8	activity 190:18	aerosol 133:15	216:25 217:2	ambulances 176:1
63:11,21 66:21	191:4,5	134:2,8,13 135:13	218:18 224:15	amendment 187:3
67:13 68:9,14	actual 23:24 33:1	affair 89:4,12,17	228:12 236:14	188:20
70:22 208:19	52:9 239:6,22	90:11,19,23	238:1 253:11	amount 224:2,3
acceptable 150:16	addition 30:25 54:8	affairs 78:25 79:14	agreed 37:20	232:9 243:24
150:23 151:3	88:1 167:6	83:2 85:11 88:2,6	164:25 165:11	244:1 254:15
accepted 123:1	additional 54:13	88:19,23 91:20	agreement 21:10	256:3
136:23 137:12	55:13 107:17	191:17 192:10,15	21:11 129:23	analyzing 214:13
144:25 150:14	109:12 167:4,12	193:8 195:8 202:1	183:22 259:8	anderson 18:10
access 30:13	167:14 179:15	227:12	ahead 19:23 27:2	andre 18:10
accommodate 8:25	206:8 260:11	affiliated 14:18	34:2 54:6 76:23	anecdotal 64:15,24
account 141:23	address 61:25 79:8	16:24	76:23 97:20	71:18 188:19
accreditation 25:5	219:18 264:14	affixed 37:10	100:21 105:11	251:24
25:5,7,14,23	addressed 64:20	aforementioned	116:14 125:6	anecdotes 187:14
27:14,15,22 28:9	112:23 119:9	259:1	134:6 139:22	angry 177:21
29:23 32:23	addresses 116:25	aforesaid 7:21	150:23 154:14	annotation 29:18
195:14 204:15,20	administer 258:7	258:16,21	172:19 177:18	annual 94:13,19
204:23 205:12	administered 162:2	africanamerican	178:16 206:20	235:17
accredited 25:2,20	administration	188:9	220:9,15 225:8	annually 12:17
27:17	39:21	afternoon 207:20	231:10 240:8	203:7
accrediting 25:10	administrative	agencies 25:8 27:17	247:9 248:2 252:8	answer 8:21 9:7,9
30:9 205:3	95:5 97:10 117:12	27:23 28:15 29:5	252:18,18 257:2	9:18 26:23,24
accuracy 169:21	127:14,22 167:4	29:9,12 31:3	aid 249:19	39:15 42:18 49:10
170:14	167:12 168:2,7,13	45:23 137:8	airport 172:20	54:20,21 105:20
			<u> </u>	

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 70 of 103 PageID #: 2236

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 268
4464740004	l	1	l	l . .
116:15 128:24	apologize 28:21	approved 43:20	154:14 179:13	attachments
136:22 137:25	80:2 250:19	53:12 56:22	185:25 218:10	108:24
139:3 140:7	apparent 256:15	approximately	221:11 224:5	attack 26:19
149:15 151:14	apparently 197:1	12:11 13:3 50:20	255:17	attempt 197:23
157:10 158:18	appearances 4:1	181:7	asneeded 11:22	203:16 250:16
160:6 164:5,7	5:1	april 37:5 40:11	aspect 44:12	251:3,10
179:11 182:19	appeared 185:17	259:15	159:22 160:11	attempting 232:7
187:19 188:15	appears 227:19	area 42:16 112:3	aspects 78:7	attended 113:17
190:5,14 191:12	applicable 38:2	137:9 149:12,20	asphyxia 157:6	258:13
191:24 194:13	79:18 108:24	149:22 150:5,11	asphyxiation 157:6	attention 166:22
195:12 201:15	118:16 120:20	151:2,9,23 163:20	assertion 203:22	171:15,16
202:4,10 211:11	121:6,12	171:1 175:14	assess 139:8	attitude 64:10
212:9,22 213:7	application 156:17	199:17 247:18	assessment 166:9	attorney 8:4 32:1
214:9 215:12,25	156:18 157:8	areas 67:14 183:18	256:22	172:14,16 183:24
216:9 217:10	253:6 254:21	arent 43:17 113:5	assign 27:21 83:1,3	243:1 259:10
218:4,20 219:24	applications 66:20	148:9	assigned 30:12,24	attorneys 8:7 31:25
221:18 223:7,24	applied 17:5 59:10	armed 256:18	67:13,14,15 83:17	222:13 223:13
224:12,19 225:8	applies 66:21	armfield 60:19	183:6 205:17	242:15,24 258:16
228:17 229:2	221:24	61:12 63:15	245:23,24	259:12
230:5,8 232:3,16	apply 16:16 30:1	arrangement 20:14	assignments 72:25	audiotapes 228:4,6
232:24 233:8,19	73:22 121:18	20:15 21:1,2,5	73:9	audit 174:4
234:1,15 235:20	138:16	arrangements	assist 217:19	august 43:5 187:10
236:20 238:6	applying 253:18	181:25	assistance 21:6	187:15 188:7
245:13 246:6	appreciate 41:15	arrest 216:12	28:17 176:5	189:3 190:9
247:23 249:17	98:25	240:15 249:13	assistant 29:22,23	251:25 253:6
251:21 252:18,19	appreciated 260:16	arrestrelated 234:8	95:5 97:10 117:13	authored 169:22
253:13 254:1,14	apprehend 215:4	arrived 24:17,22	127:15,23 185:14	authoritative
255:24	apprehended	53:3 125:3 172:23	192:7 235:6,7	144:20,22
answering 60:1,8	216:17,18,23	173:1 240:1	assisted 148:20	authority 38:20,25
answers 9:3 35:3	apprehension	arrives 239:15	associate 179:20	39:19 124:21
240:25 259:6	256:17	arriving 25:11	associated 61:5	129:15,18,21
anticipate 209:18	apprised 173:11	239:18	62:2 155:22 156:1	131:7 212:13
anticipated 266:2	208:7	art 194:10	170:18 225:17	authorized 32:25
anticipation 179:6	approach 85:23	articles 144:11	association 27:13	139:7 213:3 258:7
anybody 24:8	appropriate 150:6	aside 186:12	28:8 143:18	automatically
34:11 48:25 51:3	151:10 156:24	asked 98:23 99:1	assume 155:23	240:22
100:4 112:11	168:9 214:14	116:7 120:5	assumed 143:24	available 62:4
156:4 158:5 163:1	224:10 232:10	147:24 184:21	144:4	66:17 139:23,24
175:8,12 177:4	251:12 253:11	189:6 204:1,2	assuming 143:15	140:9,18 224:21
179:20,23 223:17	256:23	242:4 243:12	143:19	avenue 4:7
anymore 226:7	approval 33:4,8,13	244:25 251:4	assumption 99:7	average 92:22
anyway 247:12	34:17 108:11	asking 19:21 41:13	atheist 178:4	93:14,16
apart 41:8 67:11	approve 34:12	41:16 113:24	attached 198:3	averaged 195:1
apartment 183:18	167:23	118:4 152:11	attachment 36:16	award 64:22
1				
	•	-	-	-

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 71 of 103 PageID #: 2237

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				rage 207
awards 71:23,25	basis 11:22 57:24	best 8:22 9:17	bottom 193:22	171:16 228:14,23
aware 20:13 51:23	126:16 184:19	17:21 19:5 58:3	bought 241:22,23	brown 143:11,20
65:3 83:13 126:20	195:3 235:17,25	77:9 92:15 123:9	bounce 181:1	brutality 81:15
147:23 153:7,19	batch 241:22	123:11 132:18	bounced 185:13	buck 39:9
164:1,22 180:6	bates 82:22 238:18	139:10 140:20	boundary 171:1	budgetary 69:2,5
188:21 195:22	batholm 4:10	148:5 158:9 181:8	boxes 184:13	buffalo 11:12 12:4
240:24	baton 217:5 218:13	207:23 225:4	bracelets 188:10	buildings 177:2
	246:22	227:24 241:1	252:1,21	bullet 53:10
B	batons 133:11,23	bet 34:7	brain 209:23 210:5	bullied 113:18
back 13:16 14:22	135:13 246:21	better 70:9 96:19	brannon 46:7,8,11	burden 148:12
34:7 36:25 53:5	baty 4:6	121:2 141:17	47:12,16,18 49:5	bureau 13:21
77:23 78:14 98:19	beaird 55:25	146:15	49:6,17 50:24	168:10,19,23
123:18 124:8	bear 31:7,23 32:11	beyond 100:23	51:20,22 52:6,11	169:5 234:6,25
134:5 166:16,22	33:3	107:18 109:12	52:17 57:8,9,14	bureaus 38:21
171:25 183:19	bears 35:25	154:3 155:1,11	58:2 100:2,9	burglary 13:16
192:15 197:17	beats 72:10	246:19	147:13 148:4,16	256:16 257:6
198:10 204:11	began 28:25 172:25	biasedbased	148:21 154:17,19	business 10:22
220:19 230:25	beginning 170:8	142:24	155:4,15 158:7	179:10,14 199:24
238:15 250:9	begins 77:24	big 61:8 91:2	159:12 160:12,16	200:7 255:18
253:2,10,23 254:6	166:17	193:18 249:9,9	162:2 208:7	266:4
255:7,8,10 256:3	behalf 3:2	bill 4:18 7:10 77:12	brannons 52:20	busy 185:15
background 46:23	behaving 206:22	77:15 204:6	breach 81:19	buy 241:21
147:20	behavior 215:17	207:21 221:1	break 8:24 77:18	bypass 78:13 112:5
backpacking 172:4	belief 132:17 223:9	222:14 231:25	89:14 98:20	
backup 219:14,21	223:10 235:3	bipolar 210:12	152:25 161:2	C
219:22 220:6	241:1	bit 33:21 61:19	164:9 170:6 204:4	c 3:3 4:6,14 5:6
backward 72:14	believe 13:15 25:25	118:5 147:14	206:6	183:19 258:14
73:20 124:2	88:16 111:25	209:15 213:11	breathing 172:25	cabinet 91:5
bad 64:21 65:8	113:17,18 122:7	217:14 250:2	203:12	cabinets 91:5
107:8 140:16	150:1,2 162:12	bizarre 215:17	brian 1:11 2:15 7:5	cad 124:6 225:20
ballard 80:24 81:1	170:16 177:9	bjc 176:21	51:8 164:21,25	226:1,6 227:10
81:10 110:12,14	180:25 184:18	blank 105:1	165:4 260:7 264:5	238:20 239:2,9
162:5 169:2,4,19	185:5 200:15	blindfolds 201:17	brief 45:2 233:20	240:4,12,16
170:9,13 174:25	223:1 224:20	blocking 135:22	233:23	calculated 111:23
175:16	235:5 251:16	bloodborne 62:23	briefly 239:12	112:4
barry 60:19	253:9,21 255:9	board 23:6 46:9	241:8	calea 25:5,6 27:15
based 128:5 135:12	bellefontaine 28:7	208:10	briefs 174:6	123:2 147:7
140:25 147:19	28:11	boat 17:21	bring 98:11 139:11	calendar 69:23
199:3 237:24	belt 246:1	bob 7:12 61:6	208:10	94:22 173:13
238:2 253:3	beneficial 63:24	body 149:7 195:20	broad 58:14	235:25
basic 55:10	65:9	bomb 26:17	broaden 209:14	call 22:14 45:2
basically 27:15	benefit 9:12 116:10	book 38:1	broadway 4:15	116:3 173:4,8
60:23 68:21	250:20 255:25	border 171:4	264:18 265:4	174:11 176:1,13
204:23	benefits 20:17	boss 27:21 33:14	brought 59:3	176:14 219:12
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 72 of 103 PageID #: 2238

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 270
240:3	119:14	198:4 204:22	changes 225:15	195:10 196:15
called 53:7 63:2	career 16:4 131:9	256:17	channels 179:14	197:2,6,17 209:17
80:14 84:11 85:10	carefully 258:19	certainly 60:14	chapter 186:24	211:17,17 229:7
142:5 158:20	carry 241:24 242:1	144:15 252:12	characterize 128:1	230:1 235:4
172:20 175:3	cars 172:22	253:17	characterized 98:2	236:24 240:15
178:18 210:2	case 2:13 7:21 8:8	certainty 47:24	charge 50:24 66:14	244:9 250:14
212:11 220:21	9:6 31:8 37:2,12	48:5 86:7 148:5	72:3 169:21	251:1,18 257:13
249:20	38:6 51:7 64:7,23	177:19 207:4	230:16	chiefs 23:5 27:12
calling 182:18	64:25 78:19	certificate 56:24	charged 172:24	27:16 28:4,8,14
calls 19:19 49:9	110:13 111:23	58:3 264:8	charges 264:9	28:23 29:19 30:7
74:9 105:19 125:5	113:7 129:25	certificates 56:20	266:2,3,9	31:4 37:1 127:22
140:6 175:21	138:2,5 170:4	certification 15:23	charitable 30:8	163:3,8,11 201:25
176:4 182:18	223:14,18 224:9	16:8,16 50:15	31:4 37:1 205:10	205:9,16
236:8	224:20 238:18	99:9,15,20 100:12	charles 62:17	children 156:3
calm 210:2	258:21 260:7	100:23 101:1,5	chase 160:10	chiming 163:16
canceled 11:8	cases 101:12	certified 16:14	check 108:1 131:11	choice 140:16
canine 256:16	129:22,24 228:15	44:21 46:8 47:3	180:9	christian 14:12,18
canister 134:12	catch 42:9 105:22	47:21 51:6 59:8	chemical 133:13,25	15:17,24 176:21
canoe 171:1	171:15	99:8,23 134:24	134:7,9 135:13	176:21
canoeing 172:3	catchall 250:11	135:7 148:10,14	chief 7:3 8:3,14	christy 201:2
cant 22:15 31:25	categories 116:18	148:17 242:1	12:14,17,20 13:18	chronologically
32:14 33:9 47:24	categorize 96:1	247:1	17:3,14 18:1,6	91:16,17 95:12
48:4 49:18,18	category 98:8	certify 63:5 243:20	22:13 24:15,18	chuck 143:7
61:2 68:24 71:1	128:2	258:7,8 259:9	25:15 26:6 27:13	circumstances
71:25 75:9,22	caught 106:10,20	certifying 50:17	35:20 36:4,13	107:21 108:15,16
86:7 100:8,22	106:23	cetera 102:16	38:12,25 39:3,18	112:10,15 113:12
114:2 122:18	cause 108:15	179:25	40:7 41:22 43:8	113:23 138:9,19
146:12 148:5	119:21 132:15,16	chain 14:5 36:9	45:18 67:25 70:3	140:21 141:10,13
165:25 177:23	132:25 158:15,16	103:4,7 104:11	70:11 71:16 72:4	141:15,21 168:11
197:15 198:6	158:23 159:5	108:2 167:9	73:3 76:11 77:25	169:23 172:9
201:18 207:4	197:17 240:23	199:24	79:13,19 80:6,15	173:3 174:9 189:7
211:1 219:16,16	258:9,12	chambers 19:8	84:21,23 85:24	190:2 198:16
232:25 233:10,12	caused 16:25	chance 217:7	86:13,18,23 87:11	203:17 219:15
251:22 255:9	170:23 258:24	218:15 233:24	87:18 90:5,11	223:14 224:9
capacity 158:23	causing 149:11,19	change 108:15	97:16 102:18	231:3,13,15
captain 23:1 30:24	150:9,10 151:1	124:8 137:14	103:24 104:2	233:10,20 234:2
37:14 56:9 72:6	cautioned 258:19	225:16 261:2,5,8	106:1 111:1	242:6,10
80:22 81:3 195:15	central 15:9 229:11	261:11,14,17,20	113:14 115:5	cit 58:10,19,20 59:1
204:20 205:20	229:23	261:23 262:2,5,8	124:15 125:3	59:5,8,22 60:15
230:21,21	certain 10:19 64:17	262:11,14,17,20	126:19 140:3	61:1,5,12 62:25
captains 80:16	94:22 99:8 100:10	262:23	142:2 143:15,20	63:6,10 64:1,5,16
car 41:20 114:5,6	104:3 108:17	changed 65:8	143:24 144:4	64:21,23 65:2,6
cardiac 249:13	112:16 128:2	160:17 228:9	159:3 166:18,25	65:12,14 66:6,15
care 20:25 21:1	184:24 185:3,25	229:14,15	170:21 185:7	67:9,10,18,24

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 73 of 103 PageID #: 2239

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

Page 271

				Page 2/1
60 4 12 60 2 7 12	1,00,010112	005 (015 10	1	1165510400
68:4,13 69:3,7,12	109:8 181:12	205:6 217:19	commonly 210:7,7	116:5,7 124:22
69:13,22 70:4,12	258:9	comes 18:19 42:13	commonplace	128:1,15 129:14
70:22 71:9,20,24	civilian 90:7	42:21 82:11 126:9	93:23	129:19 130:5,13
74:17 75:6,21	claim 91:19 198:9,9	149:7 198:22	communicate 86:3	179:1 190:11
76:8,14 101:5	claimed 115:16	212:13 238:23	200:1	198:17,18 202:16
123:4 139:16,25	188:19,20,20	239:3 263:1	communication	203:2 222:16,18
140:4,12,23,25	claiming 179:16	coming 9:16 114:17	*	229:25
141:5,7,16 211:9	228:15	157:19 161:21	197:1	complaints 70:25
cite 197:15 219:16	claims 113:6,7	207:16 240:4	communications	71:3 81:25 82:1
cited 233:21	126:16	command 14:5	75:6,12,20,25	82:11 83:6,8
citizen 64:9 82:1,10	clarification 206:6	36:9 37:18 38:7	76:7,13,15,21	84:12,19 85:4,7
82:11,24 85:13,19	clarify 73:21 98:18	45:25 46:20 61:20	77:1,4,6,6,11	85:10,12,14,14,20
85:25 86:2,12,15	classified 194:4	61:21,24 63:23	114:19,21 175:24	85:25 86:1,4
86:22 88:18,22	classroom 113:19	65:19,23,25 80:8	176:3,8,12,13,16	88:18,23 92:16,23
91:23 92:1 110:21	clear 222:12 244:25	80:14 103:4,7	176:23,24	92:24 93:10 94:16
116:6,8,21 190:11	clearly 146:18	108:3 199:24	community 53:4	95:12 97:1,3,5,24
198:13 227:18,22	182:23	217:3 218:12	142:13,23	111:21,25 115:15
228:13,20 253:20	clerical 104:21	236:13,24	company 11:20	116:1 117:17
citizens 41:1 83:6	105:3,13,16,18	commander 13:20	208:25,25 266:5	128:9,17,19,22
86:5 117:3,16	106:9,17,18	13:20 23:1,2 24:2	compared 148:7	129:3,9,12 198:13
131:9 233:14	clerk 185:15	24:5,9 56:13 64:4	compensation 21:3	203:8 204:15
cittrained 59:13	close 226:9	72:5 83:4,17	192:20	205:1,2,3 227:19
74:11,18 75:2,11	closely 29:13	108:6 110:11	compiled 96:12	227:23 228:13,21
77:3	cloud 226:7	168:9,10,19,19,22	compiling 95:2	229:8,9,20
city 3:4 4:8 12:25	cnn 183:24	168:23 169:5,5,7	complain 71:4	complete 119:18
16:21 17:9,11,12	code 30:25 36:16	169:14,19	complainant 94:18	167:21 180:25
18:17 19:6 20:18	coercion 221:8	commanders 65:14	96:8,9 203:2	183:13 259:5
20:23 21:4,11,15	222:6	72:8 89:20,23	228:14	completed 108:10
31:10 32:1 34:10	coffee 34:1 219:7	107:25 174:6	complained 94:18	251:9
34:11,24 37:21	collaborative	224:1	117:3 203:3	completely 232:17
39:5 87:16,17,20	183:21	commendations	complaining 92:25	completes 167:18
87:20,22 90:15,19	collect 230:9	71:22	93:2	167:20
92:10 94:20,23	collected 229:16	comments 198:20	complains 116:22	completion 56:24
95:2 110:24 114:6	college 14:23 15:9	commission 259:15	complaint 82:12,14	58:3
114:17 118:17	colonel 36:3,9	259:19 263:14	82:16,20,25 83:21	complex 183:18
125:19 146:14	com 4:10 5:10,11	266:11	84:2,5,8,19,24	compliance 31:10
173:19 185:15	combat 247:2	commissioned	85:15,18 86:2,10	37:21 46:1 50:12
188:9 192:23	248:17	258:6	86:11,15,20,23,25	215:23 221:14,16
199:25 211:21	combative 211:7	committee 163:3,8	87:1 89:1,4,17	221:23 222:7
228:25 230:17	come 11:23 18:21	163:11,17	90:15 91:14,23,25	231:1,4 233:6
234:5 241:11	43:15 61:25 83:1	committing 209:25	93:5,20,24 94:10	compliant 31:14
242:15 243:3,13	83:6 95:6 128:13	210:8	94:17 96:2,9 98:2	complied 25:4
244:9,16 258:15	128:14 129:11	common 123:20	98:7 104:4 109:8	165:4
civil 40:25 81:19	160:16 181:23	230:24 238:2	110:21 111:4,6,18	complies 244:5
				•

FAX 314-241-6750

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 74 of 103 PageID #: 2240

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Tage 272
comply 213:23	224:13	context 193:5	copies 27:18 56:20	242:2,13,22,23
217:7 218:15	conformance 31:23	221:13,15 252:10	95:14 117:2 192:3	242.2,13,22,23
231:8,22 232:9,21	32:11 38:7	253:4	192:4	244:6,11,16 245:4
233:16,24 244:10	confront 210:8	continue 78:1 89:7	cops 144:2,7,12	246:11,24 247:3,6
251:3	confronts 242:12	166:19 204:12	161:12	247:15,16,18
complying 75:1	conjunction 133:4	248:20	copy 90:18 97:8	247.13,10,18
1 0	consider 91:22 97:5	continued 5:1	117:10,11 137:9,9	corrected 105:9,13
component 63:10 comprised 23:25	104:21 105:16	continuing 29:9	180:17 227:9,16	105:23 106:24
88:5	132:12 134:9,13	44:2 67:3 112:22	260:11 265:7	correction 107:1
computer 117:15	135:19 144:20,24	232:4	core 67:14	corrections 260:12
127:12 128:7,16	183:3 185:23	continuous 203:4	corporations 10:25	correctly 53:16
227:5 234:11	211:13 249:12	continuous 203.4 continuum 107:3	correct 12:8,22	54:3 138:1 150:7
computeraided 124:6	consideration 74:11	130:22 136:8,16 136:20,24 137:2,2	14:5,20 20:19 21:19,25 25:21	168:25 253:7 255:17 258:25
	considered 41:7	130:20,24 137:2,2	34:21,22 35:16,17	
concede 215:2,7	111:4 137:22	contours 182:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	corruption 81:21 96:3
concept 58:21,25 concern 166:5		contours 182:4 contract 12:18	36:1,2,8,23 39:6,7	couldnt 17:10 51:6
concern 166:5 concerns 65:5 69:2	165:16 169:4 consistent 106:3		40:2,3,16,17,18	69:8 93:18 116:17
70:25 96:25 163:5		contrary 149:12,21	40:21,23 41:2	141:17 148:3
	150:2,12,12,13,15	182:21,25 contribute 158:15	43:13,14,21,22 44:3,4 47:3 48:18	
conclude 224:1 257:12	165:10 208:15 228:6		,	177:19
		contributed 147:14	48:19 55:16,21	council 17:11 19:8
conclusion 88:15	consolidated 2:15	control 39:20,24	56:25 66:25 67:7	25:7 32:25 34:10
217:20 224:2	89:22	50:12 136:15	68:19 69:24 70:19	34:11
conclusions 83:19	consternation	139:11 160:18	73:14 81:8 85:3	counsel 4:1 5:1 7:6
83:20 256:13,20	182:14,16	161:13,23	87:24 93:10 101:7	21:6,8 32:4,5 85:3
condition 157:7	constitution 208:16	controlled 209:2	105:18 106:1	92:6,7 164:15
171:6	constitutional 31:9	conversation 9:14	107:8 109:3,13,14 109:15 116:3	165:2 186:7
conduct 102:25	31:15,24 32:12	19:1,16 160:20		199:16,19 200:4,5
116:6 118:8,9	40:25 45:4	161:21,24 164:16	118:10 119:22,23	200:6,10 201:11
119:2 121:5 167:7	constrained 140:24	174:17,23 175:3	120:1 129:16	201:14 217:11
178:8,12 185:19	consuming 27:20	177:20 199:13	130:24 131:15	225:18 242:17,21
198:15 215:17	contact 173:10	225:18	132:3,4 133:8	243:3 244:25
conducted 47:11	contacted 171:23	conversations	136:13,14 138:5	255:15,21 259:3,3
88:13 175:23	172:7 181:22	49:14 173:12	139:12,13 161:16	259:8,9
conducting 174:3	contain 132:9	183:20 222:13	181:20 188:23	counseling 102:21
confer 165:22	154:25	conversion 226:8	190:19,20 206:18	102:24 103:19,24
166:10,12	contained 115:17	226:13 227:5,8	207:14 208:5,11	180:11
conference 183:14	189:13 199:3	cool 162:15,17,20	208:17 209:9,10	counsels 236:2
conferred 111:10	203:17 205:22	164:19,24	213:5 214:2	county 12:23,24
confidence 161:6	222:3 228:22	cooperated 184:2	215:18,23 216:24	13:10,19,25 14:8
182:10 183:3	251:14	cooperation 48:18	217:8 220:8 222:7	14:11,14,18,24
confined 247:14	contains 132:3	260:16	222:8,15 229:17	15:2,16,16 16:3
confirm 197:20	contemplated	coordinates 28:9	230:3,13 231:4,8	16:11,12 17:1
conflict 119:7	242:6	copied 29:13	239:20 241:15	22:21,25 24:16
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 75 of 103 PageID #: 2241

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

Page 273

				Page 2/3
	l	l	l	
28:6,11 45:22	209:20,20 210:9	145:24 200:23	dedicated 163:8	39:24 40:6,13
46:20,25 47:6	210:18 215:5,10	dates 57:21 75:9	deemed 86:11	41:6 42:3 43:12
58:22 59:6,12,22	219:13	123:17 146:7	deeply 177:23	51:12 52:4,17,21
60:17,25 61:7,11	criteria 135:12,18	198:8	deescalate 139:10	53:11,15 54:13,14
61:24 62:5,13,19	138:12 145:13	datewise 21:17	139:17 141:7	55:5 56:5 57:10
62:22,22 63:5,8	critical 178:1,2,7	day 3:6 63:16	deescalates 132:19	58:23 59:1 60:21
63:10,11,21 64:4	criticism 178:3	171:12 173:13	deescalation 59:22	61:8,10 67:24
64:16 65:4 68:9	crossreferences	200:13,14,22,24	defendant 1:13	68:3 76:7 77:11
68:14 70:22 71:19	149:2	203:19 258:16	2:17 5:3	78:12 80:14,19,20
75:24 76:6 123:4	cruiser 42:1	259:14 263:13	defendants 7:13,15	80:20 82:15 84:21
131:25 145:12	cs 134:9	266:10	9:6 164:16	86:16 87:1,9,13
211:20 212:5	cuffing 153:14	days 200:19 228:9	defense 165:2	87:19 89:25,25
236:14 258:3	cups 219:7	260:14	deficient 26:10,18	91:25 99:13
countys 29:15	current 127:22	daytoday 251:18	defined 193:12	102:19 104:4
couple 21:24 50:9	142:9	de 23:6	definitely 110:11	106:1 109:8
78:4 111:16 118:5	currently 10:5	dea 211:20 212:4	166:12	112:16 113:12
207:24,25 241:8	curriculum 162:1	deadly 224:17	definition 132:22	115:1 118:10,18
course 138:13	custodian 57:13	deal 58:16 70:1	definitions 94:3	120:17,21 121:9
181:16 199:22	custody 264:14	76:14 201:20	132:3,5	121:19 125:12
212:10 218:21	cut 9:15 130:8	219:20 254:21	degree 209:6 247:4	127:20 131:12,20
246:23 266:3	160:10	dealing 122:13	delegated 30:15	132:7 134:16
court 2:1 7:17 8:8	cycle 228:10	139:15 205:20	31:17 42:19 49:3	137:3 142:14
9:12 112:24 164:5	cycles 152:19 153:9	death 102:5,10	208:6	144:3 145:25
164:7 216:19	160:3	132:15,16 155:22	delirium 157:13,24	148:19,25 152:14
258:10,13 264:1		156:3 158:16,24	210:16,17 211:4	160:13 162:13,14
courtesy 9:18	D	159:5 168:21	delivery 266:1	167:3 170:24
cover 159:21	d 1:5 2:9 4:4 183:19	171:18 240:23	delores 1:3 2:7 4:3	173:22 175:24,25
covered 179:7	daily 72:25 73:9	deaths 101:25	7:9	176:3,5,6,23,24
207:24 208:1	dashcam 195:20	102:3 234:8	delve 113:4	178:1 179:23
cpr 172:25	196:2	decide 183:20	demanding 185:3	180:4,13 181:12
crashing 227:5	data 65:1 125:21	decided 146:25	demonstrations	181:17 184:6,7,23
create 24:23 127:16	126:2 127:10,15	decides 110:20	52:9	185:4,10,10,18
147:6	188:22	140:20	dennis 30:19	186:1,9 188:12
created 24:19 37:9	database 58:6,8	decision 22:8 45:5	denver 11:11,12	192:21 196:7
43:7 73:3,12	date 7:2 26:12 37:4	45:16 48:12,14,20	12:4,5,6	198:25 203:24
82:21 125:10	37:10 75:13,22	48:21 49:8,24	department 8:13	205:11 206:10
195:10 229:23	108:17 112:24	62:3 69:10,11	8:14 10:10,13	208:4,13 209:3,5
credo 41:9,17 42:4	123:16 145:24	83:2 112:15	12:8,21,23,25	209:9 214:12
crime 209:25 210:8	146:12 185:7	137:21 141:22	13:10 14:11 17:2	215:8 225:24
210:21	192:17 206:3	146:22,23,24	17:12 20:7,11	234:6,23,24
criminal 81:23	227:21 238:23	162:25 208:10	21:13 22:7 24:1	236:14,17 237:4
124:4	260:6,13 263:6	226:14	24:25 26:5 36:21	237:16 240:14
crisis 58:11 60:15	dated 43:5 73:5	decreased 64:12	36:22 38:3,9,13	241:10,11 242:16
63:2 64:24 209:11	78:23 130:23	decreases 65:19	38:18,22 39:4,13	242:21 243:9
	•	•	•	

FAX 314-241-6750

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 76 of 103 PageID #: 22/12

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 274
240 12 255 (214 4 215 21	155 12 150 11 17	106 16 202 22 25	122 4 107 25
249:12 255:6	214:4 215:21	155:13 159:11,17	196:16 203:22,25	122:4 197:25
260:23	216:4,12	163:20 170:11	204:3 253:3,11	disk 77:24 95:20
departmental	desk 84:8	184:25 194:9	disagreed 189:24	164:13 166:17
179:9	desktype 91:10	200:9 204:19	disagreeing 257:5	disorders 209:23
departments 13:9	destroyed 126:3,17	222:20 230:9,9	discharge 138:24	dispatch 239:4,6
142:23 191:17	destruction 228:2	237:9,11 241:21	138:25 149:11,19	240:17
215:3	detail 88:11 167:15	252:7 256:12	150:9,10 151:1	dispatched 12:1
depend 107:20	182:3 238:20	died 240:15	155:2,11,18	239:13,19
depending 35:2	239:2	difference 85:13	discharged 138:18	dispatcher 240:2
218:24 220:7	detailed 236:17	202:14,24	139:1	dispatchers 76:4
deployed 134:11	detailing 83:19	different 13:9	discipline 39:25	226:3,5
147:2 221:8 222:5	detectives 24:16	24:24 26:8 29:4	78:15 88:3 90:14	dispatches 175:25
deployment 146:18	59:13	39:2 40:15 42:9	102:20,23 103:1,3	176:6,16
152:9	detention 168:12	53:20 54:4 67:2	103:5,8,9,19,23	dispatching 124:6
deponent 259:7	determination	68:13 76:19 78:7	104:5,12,18	disposal 246:18
deposes 7:21	197:8,13	78:10 80:13,19	106:25 107:3	disposition 90:16
deposition 1:16 3:1	determine 26:10	85:23 100:16	111:3,8 115:4,12	94:10,19 96:8,10
6:13,14,15,16,17	31:13,22 55:3	120:16,20 121:3	118:20,25 119:10	disputes 209:22,24
6:18,19,20,21,22	84:5 98:1 139:9	121:18 123:17	124:11,13,16,17	distinction 105:7
6:23 7:3 8:7 35:8	156:24 233:5	124:6 133:4,7	129:15 130:1	distress 58:17
42:23 72:16 77:25	determined 27:13	135:20 142:21	198:23	distribution 36:20
78:21 103:13	39:5 45:25 206:7	147:21 151:6	disciplined 124:15	37:17
117:23 120:10	determines 156:23	162:13 179:15	180:4 198:20	district 2:1,2 15:16
130:15 145:18	218:11	187:13 188:4	237:24 238:2	258:10,11
160:22 166:18	determining 32:18	190:17,22,24	254:7	disturbing 209:25
180:21 186:5	46:17 49:1 116:9	251:14 253:19	discovery 111:20	division 2:3 61:11
222:10,11 223:13	141:14,16	difficult 77:8 249:3	111:24 112:5,6	75:6,25 76:13
223:16 257:13	device 48:18 50:14	digital 228:8,10	discretion 33:20	80:20,21 83:3
260:6,10,11 263:3	50:18 51:4 86:21	direct 33:15 91:25	84:4 140:10,12,13	90:1,2 107:14
264:9,11 266:9	115:13 133:18	105:23 199:23	140:17,24	110:16 114:19
depositions 258:8	135:7 138:17	directed 63:13	discuss 153:8 155:9	133:23 134:17
depre 210:11	145:23 150:10	101:14 102:2	157:5 163:5	144:3 181:12
deputy 13:20 23:1	151:1,21 152:1	199:15 200:6	186:24	258:12
describe 44:15 91:2	153:9 155:10,11	directing 23:20	discussed 32:20	divisions 13:9 90:4
91:8 184:17 225:1	157:18 159:5,15	direction 39:20	111:7 129:22	114:21
243:16	195:20	directive 75:1	214:25 219:13	doctrine 179:8
descriptions	devices 48:9,13	directly 14:17	discusses 66:22	document 35:19
120:15 121:3,6,12	50:23 222:4	16:10 24:6 34:20	154:2	95:10 124:24
design 96:6	didnt 26:18 28:20	56:14 147:11	discussing 19:2	125:11 126:4,10
designated 218:1,3	42:4 58:2 60:3	205:20	194:10	126:11,18 161:14
234:13	64:14 69:6,21	director 27:12 28:7	discussion 34:5	161:15 173:13
designations 36:11	79:19 101:9	205:18	45:21 48:17	183:4 184:16,22
designed 122:25	105:22 130:8	directors 23:7	155:13	189:2 191:15
123:7 132:15	135:3 139:2	disagree 194:18,23	discussions 45:24	193:18 202:8
	-	-	-	-

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 77 of 103 PageID #: 22/13

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Tage 273
203:4,12	112:21 113:4,10	221:3,6,10,21	duty 108:12 143:19	183:1 197:22
documentation	115:2,3,9 117:18	222:15,17,25	246:10	203:15
57:4 188:13	122:10 125:4,7,13	223:8 224:4,14,22		eickhoff 18:3,7
documents 88:5	127:6 137:16	225:10 228:19	E	eighthour 72:14
95:15,17,20 117:8	142:3 144:10	229:4 230:10	earlier 10:13 22:12	73:20 124:2
164:22 173:17	146:1 147:18	231:11 232:1,6,11	48:16 57:21 89:2	either 14:15 24:19
184:8,13,24	149:1,10 150:1	232:19 233:1,11	89:13,24 104:2	25:4 29:13 110:8
222:14 227:18	154:6,22 157:11	233:22 234:4,16	115:18,23 158:4	134:11 170:20
228:21,22	157:16 158:3,7	234:22 235:23	180:14 191:19	215:23 227:19
doesnt 131:20	159:16,20 160:7	236:11,22 237:8	202:2 209:11	259:10
217:17,19 253:15	160:20,21,21	237:19 238:7,10	219:13 220:20	elderly 156:3
dog 257:6	161:15,24 162:4	238:16 241:3	225:14 230:19	electric 133:17
doing 10:19 11:9	162:18 163:22	244:23 245:14,21	239:5 241:9 242:4	electronic 50:3,11
27:5 31:16 89:8	166:6 171:24	246:7 247:10,24	253:2	57:11,17,24 58:6
112:8 183:17	173:11,11 174:2,2	248:8 249:22,25	early 50:22 79:9	58:8 74:6 145:23
185:25 204:21	174:16,24 175:2,7	250:3 255:4,19,22	94:25 103:21	160:17 161:13,23
234:24 235:1,4	178:9 180:2,11	256:1 257:4,10	119:6 130:25	222:3
doj 181:20,22	181:5 184:25	264:17,17 265:3,3	163:7 172:21	elephant 201:18,18
184:18 185:11	185:8 186:10,23	dowdlaw 4:18	easiest 115:25	eligible 17:4
186:5,8,21 187:13	187:20 190:6	downsides 247:20	eastern 2:2,3 55:1	elses 163:2
188:4,11,24	193:3 194:3	draft 37:17 95:8	62:7,16 258:11,11	email 65:23 184:21
191:15,21 192:10	197:11 199:7	124:24 127:12	ecw 50:2 99:18	embarrass 112:11
192:25 193:12	205:19 206:5	128:4 148:4	100:16 102:6	emotional 58:16
194:10 196:13	222:25 225:6	drafted 27:7 35:20	109:20 115:11	209:20
201:9,13 250:9	226:7 228:10	43:8 66:23 122:15	134:22 142:1	emotionally 74:23
251:15,25	231:19 235:1,21	123:25 136:20	162:6 163:25	153:21 156:4
domestic 79:14	241:3 247:13	drafting 29:13 33:2	187:15 188:8,19	employed 16:12
209:22,24 210:4	249:25 250:10	34:15 46:15	189:3,12 191:9	199:10 227:1
dominica 55:25	254:8,24 255:1,8	144:16 147:17	254:22	employee 11:21
dont 8:15,19 10:8	257:10	drag 9:20	ecws 49:2,8,25	78:14,24 79:9
18:15,18 19:24	door 41:20,25	draw 105:7	50:21 163:9	114:15 126:3,3,16
20:6,25 25:25	doubt 116:10	drawer 91:6,10,11	education 44:2	employees 20:8,11
42:6,6 46:13	dowd 4:13,14,14	227:13	66:25 67:3	87:16 90:8 118:10
49:22 50:1,19	6:6,8,10,25 7:10	drive 95:21 187:15	effect 38:5 40:6	230:16
52:1,5,13,18	7:10 112:17 139:2	188:8 252:23	73:7 74:9 137:18	employment 12:17
57:19,21 59:7	165:8,16 166:3,14	drivers 100:13	158:14 185:6	25:23 55:9 95:15
60:6 66:7 67:14	193:23 207:16,19	drug 13:13,21,22	248:9,16	171:6 200:13,14
67:15 68:6,16,16	207:21 210:20,24	23:2,4,7,13,16,16	effective 38:9 71:23	203:19 244:15
70:24 71:21 73:10	211:15 212:1,17	23:25 24:13,14	79:5 248:4	ems 14:9,15,17,21
73:11 74:15 75:15	212:23 213:8,15	61:15	effectiveness 64:1,5	15:17 16:4,4
75:18 76:9 86:14	214:10 215:14	drugrelated 114:24	65:2,6 71:20	175:18,20 176:6
86:19,24 89:9	216:1,15,22	due 21:3 253:5	effects 249:12	176:17,19
98:14,16 99:8,21	217:11 218:6,22	duly 7:19 258:6,19	effort 10:3	emt 14:9,25 15:13
102:1,4 110:6	219:9 220:1,11,18	duties 40:1 195:25	efforts 65:11 77:2	15:20
	•	•	•	•

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 78 of 103 PageID #: 2244

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				rage 270
enabled 198:14	entity 142:12,16	168:10 188:13,19	excessiveforce	166:22 168:1
enclosed 260:11	204:18 205:8	189:7 190:9 198:4	214:3	169:17 180:20,21
enclosures 108:25	204:18 203:8	240:22 252:7	exchange 20:16	180:24 181:15
encounter 58:20,24	entry 226:2 240:7,7	events 188:19	excited 157:13,23	187:7,17 189:13
59:5,17 121:20	enumerated 43:16	189:12,20 190:2	210:16 211:4	190:3 191:9,16
138:5,7 141:6	envelope 117:14	198:9	excuse 232:20	193:16,19,23,24
encountering 122:5	envelope 117.14 environment	eventually 18:4	executive 39:3,18	194:19 196:11
endeavor 61:17	220:17	74:5 218:2	76:11 84:24	197:16 198:4,11
endeavoring	equip 133:22,25	everybody 48:5	102:19 142:5	199:1,4,9 201:24
254:10	134:2,4	65:20 69:15 99:21	143:4	203:17 220:19
ended 61:7	equipment 143:1	162:10 163:19	executives 142:9,9	221:2 222:3
enforcement 10:9	245:23	241:23	exercise 129:21	250:10
12:7 13:13,21	equipped 134:17	everyday 9:14	exercising 138:10	exhibiting 215:17
14:14,19 16:3	134:20,22 241:12	evidence 57:14	140:24	exhibits 6:12,25
22:22 23:11 25:8	errata 260:11,13,13	58:4 64:15,25	exhaustive 104:16	152:16 156:15
28:2 29:5 31:1,2	260:14	71:18 88:12	104:19	157:4
31:12 36:11,13	erratic 215:17	111:24 182:20,25	exhibit 6:13,14,15	exigent 108:14,16
39:25 42:10 44:13	error 13:8 105:12	exacerbate 157:7	6:16,17,18,19,20	exist 118:21 167:5
65:6 67:4 137:8	105:14,18 106:9	exactly 147:11	6:21,22,23 35:2,8	198:5
142:25 143:3	106:11,18,18	examination 6:3,4	35:12,18 36:15	existed 57:22 78:11
147:21 175:18	errors 104:22	6:5,6,7,8,9,10	37:5 40:5,12,16	92:2
211:19,20 212:3	105:16	7:23 206:1 207:1	41:9 42:23 43:3,3	existence 146:3
engage 140:12	escalation 188:21	207:18 241:6	43:4,16 53:5,6	230:2
215:16 248:17	especially 62:25	244:22 250:6	66:22 72:16,19,24	existing 31:15,24
engaged 102:25	252:23	255:3	73:22 78:18,21	32:12 138:9,19
179:17	esq 4:5,13 5:4,5	examined 258:19	79:22 94:3,11,14	exists 63:20 195:21
engaging 219:14	260:3,9	example 26:17	103:13,16 104:9	exonerate 124:21
enrolled 70:14	essentially 35:14	37:12 44:20 56:22	104:13,15 117:23	exonerated 94:5
enrolling 66:14	46:4 87:16 120:15	58:1 115:3 124:1	118:2,6,21 119:1	expand 58:13
ensure 70:13 75:2	142:10	128:13 187:14	119:3 120:7,10,19	expect 105:17
77:3 119:13,24	established 113:5	188:6,7 190:23	121:4,6,7,12,13	106:11 140:2
120:3	establishing 38:13	197:15 231:23	130:15,19 132:2	141:5 237:6
ensuring 74:11	estate 1:2 2:6	247:12 248:6	132:18 133:11	expectation 108:16
169:15	estimate 68:2 225:4	254:8	136:17 145:7,14	109:24 140:2
enter 119:20	et 1:11 2:15 7:5	examples 86:14	145:18,21 146:10	237:12 246:9
234:11 235:15	102:16 179:25	133:10 237:22,23	146:15,21 147:6	expense 140:4
entered 112:19,21	260:7,7 264:5,5	252:4 256:5	147:17 148:1,4,13	experience 145:11
119:21	ethics 36:17	excellent 71:14	148:20,23 149:2,2	147:19,20 237:13
entering 240:3	evaluate 32:10	excessive 97:6	149:7 150:12	238:8 248:3,4
entire 16:21 26:13	251:11	111:21 179:17	151:3,7 152:3,4	experienced 248:11
79:18 84:21 153:1	evaluation 174:4	211:23 212:7,10	153:6,19 155:24	expertise 74:20
167:23	179:15	212:11,19 213:4	156:11 157:1,2	expire 20:20
entirety 22:4	evening 15:14	213:12,18,23	160:22,25 161:11	expired 100:12
entities 144:7	event 118:22 119:1	214:1 257:7	161:12,18,20	expires 259:15

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 79 of 103 PageID #: 2245

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

Page 277

				Page 211
262.14.266.11	222.14.222.11	10.2 12 17 24	162.24 165.12	102.12 104.2 11
263:14 266:11 explain 202:14,24	222:14 223:11 fairly 251:10	18:2,12,17,24 20:7,11,18 21:4	163:24 165:13 166:24 167:3	193:12 194:3,11 194:20 197:24
explain 202.14,24 extend 75:5 154:3	fall 128:1		173:19 175:20	204:24
	false 119:21	21:15 22:8,23	180:3 181:16	fill 82:25 117:13
extending 118:13		23:4,9,12,15,21		
extent 57:22 85:7 92:2 165:5 198:5	familiar 41:12	24:1,2,18,23 25:2	183:12,17 185:10 188:9 190:17	234:12 237:1,6
	44:17 46:22 81:1 142:4,12	25:11,12 27:8	191:3,16 192:20	filled 18:4,5 152:10 final 21:3 22:24
199:14 218:2	,	29:2,6,14 30:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
243:4 255:14 extra 74:20	family 8:5 172:2,5 177:5 179:20,25	34:21 35:21 36:7 36:21 37:8 39:4,5	195:10 199:25 203:23 205:16	25:16,18 124:21 129:15
	_	,		
extraordinary	206:21 fanov 141:4	40:6,12 41:6,22	208:3,13 214:12	finalized 26:1
168:11	fancy 141:4	42:1,3,11,15 43:9	219:11 227:2	finally 201:23
eyes 247:15 248:18	far 14:5 20:23	43:19,25 44:11	228:6 234:5,24	finances 21:1
248:23 249:7	55:10 114:11	45:6,17,23,23	238:18 240:14	financial 165:13
F	121:18 161:22	46:12,18 47:9,15	241:11 243:13	find 93:17,20
$\frac{1}{\mathbf{f} \cdot 168:5}$	163:16 168:1	47:20 48:2,9	244:5,9,16 249:11	236:25 237:2
face 150:4 151:8	246:17	49:21,24 50:20	250:14 251:1	finding 86:3 178:24
facet 254:20	fashion 96:2	51:3,11 52:4	254:16	194:19,23 203:3
facets 254:17	138:10	53:11,14,22,23,25	fergusons 26:5 38:8	findings 181:20
fact 99:21 133:10	fast 219:8	54:16 55:4 56:5	175:23 228:25	197:21 199:9
175:13 182:24	fault 80:1	56:23 57:3,10	field 54:8 55:21,23	200:1
183:15 236:15	favor 265:1,7	65:5,11 66:5,15	56:13 72:5 140:5	fine 50:11 166:9
facto 23:6	favorable 63:24	67:23 68:3,12	141:6 144:21	186:16,17 221:3,6
factor 55:7 70:16	fayette 15:4	69:2 70:3,21	147:3	finger 72:1
220:10	fbi 211:20 212:4	72:12,21 73:23	fight 248:21	finish 60:8
	feasibly 70:14	76:10 77:11 78:12	fighter 248:22	finished 15:12
factors 116:16	features 247:6,6	80:6,14 81:11	fighting 46:2	fire 175:18,25
123:23 220:12,17 249:10	federal 8:8 211:20	84:20 85:24 86:16	file 83:2,3 84:10,10	176:3,5,6,13,16
	212:4 234:6	86:22,25 87:9,20	84:11,12,13,19	176:23
facts 112:10,14	feedback 63:25	87:22 90:19 94:15	85:9,10,12,17	firearm 102:6
113:12,22 172:8	64:3,8,12 71:8,11	97:15 99:13,16	87:2,6,25 88:1,6	firearms 44:22
173:3 174:9 189:6	96:17	101:24 102:19	1 VO-A A O1-6 1A 11	1 ちつ・コル ムフ・ム
100.1 100.15			89:4,4 91:6,10,11	52:24 67:6
190:1 198:15	feel 152:25 180:24	103:1,21 118:17	91:20 93:13 111:5	firm 10:8,18
217:18,24 218:4	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16	firm 10:8,18 264:14
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11 188:21 203:16	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13 felt 26:12 37:16	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16 137:3,19 143:16	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4 179:16 235:8	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11 37:5 43:11 45:6
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11 188:21 203:16 237:7	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13 felt 26:12 37:16 71:14 104:4	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16 137:3,19 143:16 143:25 144:5	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4 179:16 235:8 files 87:7,8,13,19	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11 37:5 43:11 45:6 48:13 50:20 53:3
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11 188:21 203:16 237:7 factually 110:3	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13 felt 26:12 37:16 71:14 104:4 129:18,19	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16 137:3,19 143:16 143:25 144:5 146:14 148:25	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4 179:16 235:8 files 87:7,8,13,19 88:19,23 89:1,12	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11 37:5 43:11 45:6 48:13 50:20 53:3 58:20,24 59:5
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11 188:21 203:16 237:7 factually 110:3 194:18	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13 felt 26:12 37:16 71:14 104:4 129:18,19 ferguson 8:13	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16 137:3,19 143:16 143:25 144:5 146:14 148:25 149:8 152:2 153:7	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4 179:16 235:8 files 87:7,8,13,19 88:19,23 89:1,12 89:13,17,19 90:11	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11 37:5 43:11 45:6 48:13 50:20 53:3 58:20,24 59:5 60:15,17 61:12
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11 188:21 203:16 237:7 factually 110:3 194:18 fair 18:20 94:2	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13 felt 26:12 37:16 71:14 104:4 129:18,19 ferguson 8:13 10:10,13 11:3	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16 137:3,19 143:16 143:25 144:5 146:14 148:25 149:8 152:2 153:7 154:19 155:25	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4 179:16 235:8 files 87:7,8,13,19 88:19,23 89:1,12 89:13,17,19 90:11 90:19,23,25 92:3	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11 37:5 43:11 45:6 48:13 50:20 53:3 58:20,24 59:5 60:15,17 61:12 63:4 66:6 67:21
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11 188:21 203:16 237:7 factually 110:3 194:18 fair 18:20 94:2 100:25 101:3,4,8	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13 felt 26:12 37:16 71:14 104:4 129:18,19 ferguson 8:13 10:10,13 11:3 12:7,10,13,19	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16 137:3,19 143:16 143:25 144:5 146:14 148:25 149:8 152:2 153:7 154:19 155:25 156:16 157:4,23	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4 179:16 235:8 files 87:7,8,13,19 88:19,23 89:1,12 89:13,17,19 90:11 90:19,23,25 92:3 116:2 165:12	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11 37:5 43:11 45:6 48:13 50:20 53:3 58:20,24 59:5 60:15,17 61:12 63:4 66:6 67:21 68:4 71:17 72:3
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11 188:21 203:16 237:7 factually 110:3 194:18 fair 18:20 94:2 100:25 101:3,4,8 115:15,21 184:2	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13 felt 26:12 37:16 71:14 104:4 129:18,19 ferguson 8:13 10:10,13 11:3 12:7,10,13,19 16:19,22,25 17:2	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16 137:3,19 143:16 143:25 144:5 146:14 148:25 149:8 152:2 153:7 154:19 155:25 156:16 157:4,23 158:5 160:1,13	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4 179:16 235:8 files 87:7,8,13,19 88:19,23 89:1,12 89:13,17,19 90:11 90:19,23,25 92:3 116:2 165:12 191:17,18 192:10	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11 37:5 43:11 45:6 48:13 50:20 53:3 58:20,24 59:5 60:15,17 61:12 63:4 66:6 67:21 68:4 71:17 72:3 74:1 75:19 76:15
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11 188:21 203:16 237:7 factually 110:3 194:18 fair 18:20 94:2 100:25 101:3,4,8	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13 felt 26:12 37:16 71:14 104:4 129:18,19 ferguson 8:13 10:10,13 11:3 12:7,10,13,19	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16 137:3,19 143:16 143:25 144:5 146:14 148:25 149:8 152:2 153:7 154:19 155:25 156:16 157:4,23	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4 179:16 235:8 files 87:7,8,13,19 88:19,23 89:1,12 89:13,17,19 90:11 90:19,23,25 92:3 116:2 165:12	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11 37:5 43:11 45:6 48:13 50:20 53:3 58:20,24 59:5 60:15,17 61:12 63:4 66:6 67:21 68:4 71:17 72:3
217:18,24 218:4 224:9 233:12 236:18 237:2,15 factual 182:11 188:21 203:16 237:7 factually 110:3 194:18 fair 18:20 94:2 100:25 101:3,4,8 115:15,21 184:2	feel 152:25 180:24 182:19 feels 177:24 feet 246:24 fell 197:9,13 felt 26:12 37:16 71:14 104:4 129:18,19 ferguson 8:13 10:10,13 11:3 12:7,10,13,19 16:19,22,25 17:2	103:1,21 118:17 119:1 120:16,21 121:19 124:25 125:11 131:12 132:6 134:16 137:3,19 143:16 143:25 144:5 146:14 148:25 149:8 152:2 153:7 154:19 155:25 156:16 157:4,23 158:5 160:1,13	91:20 93:13 111:5 165:1 195:16 204:22 227:13,25 229:23 255:10 filed 112:24 179:4 179:16 235:8 files 87:7,8,13,19 88:19,23 89:1,12 89:13,17,19 90:11 90:19,23,25 92:3 116:2 165:12 191:17,18 192:10	firm 10:8,18 264:14 first 7:19 11:11 15:2,11 19:10 24:22 28:1 35:11 37:5 43:11 45:6 48:13 50:20 53:3 58:20,24 59:5 60:15,17 61:12 63:4 66:6 67:21 68:4 71:17 72:3 74:1 75:19 76:15

FAX 314-241-6750

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 80 of 103 PageID #: 22/16

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 2/8
96.5 12 19 04.24	form 12.22 22.2.4	54.1 10 55.17	formulation 122:23	252:17 253:13
86:5,12,18 94:24 101:23 103:9	force 13:22 23:2,4 23:7,17,20,22,25	54:1,18 55:17 57:11 70:6 82:16	123:12	foundational 188:3
104:15 107:10,15	24:9,13,15 61:15	82:20 87:3 88:7	forth 28:1 40:16	four 14:1 35:18
104.13 107.10,13	79:14 81:17 86:17	91:14 101:20	96:4 104:9,12	56:1 67:14 183:8
125:10 131:4	96:4 98:8 102:7	103:12 104:18	119:2 167:17	185:17 200:19
138:4 156:18		110:18 115:4	259:1	219:7
	105:3 109:5,11,19	116:11 119:4	forum 142:5 143:4	fourth 187:3
168:7,13 173:4 176:5 182:6 187:5	109:22,23 111:22	120:23 121:14,21		188:20 258:14
193:7 220:24	115:1,2,8,9,16	120.23 121.14,21	forward 90:10 170:1 229:23	
	130:18,22 131:3,9			260:4 265:10
226:5 241:22	132:10,13,24,25	125:24 126:5,16	foun 150:17	fouryear 25:17
248:13 249:19	133:3,7,8 135:17	131:16 132:20	found 93:24 121:5	fpd 191:16 193:10
258:19	136:15,23 137:22	136:4,10 137:24	125:22 153:6	197:9,14
firstline 103:11	137:23 138:8,10	138:8 139:19	157:3 198:17,18	fpds 193:7
fiscal 94:22	138:12 139:7,15	140:14 142:8	237:16	free 152:25 179:10
fishing 172:4	139:18 141:14	151:14 152:9	foundation 30:8	180:24 182:19
fit 36:10	150:6 151:10	156:9 158:17	31:4 37:1 42:18	201:14
five 13:15 84:23	152:6 156:21,25	173:14,18,18	43:2 70:7 100:18	friday 260:6
90:12 95:1,1	167:2,5,8,10	178:11 184:5	101:21 116:12	friend 1:4 2:8 4:4
97:14 154:3 155:1	168:3,8,14,17	188:14 189:14	128:24 132:21	friends 172:5
155:12,18 203:11	169:23 170:15	190:4,24 191:11	136:11 137:25	front 114:4 238:17
241:23	178:14,16,18	194:12 205:22	139:20 140:15	fto 55:21
fivepage 35:18	179:3,17 189:8	212:8 213:13	142:17 149:14	full 14:15 18:4,5
flex 216:5	193:1,8,9,12	217:9 227:15	150:21 151:13	88:16 257:2 259:4
flight 13:19	194:3,11,21 196:6	228:17 229:2	153:12 154:12	fuller 55:25
focus 78:4 159:22	196:8,20 197:3,8	230:4,7 231:9	156:5 157:9,25	fulltime 27:22
159:24 187:1	197:13,18 198:16	232:2,16,23	158:17 159:1	30:12
focuses 59:22	198:18 203:23	234:12,14 236:19	160:6 164:3	fully 184:3 236:17
folder 91:12	208:15 209:8	238:19 248:2	177:16 187:18	237:7
folks 209:21	211:23 212:7,10	249:16 251:16,20	190:14 191:24	functions 104:3
follow 50:24 67:19	212:13,16,19	252:8,18 253:12	194:13 195:12	funding 32:25
168:2 186:16	213:3,12,18,23	253:19 254:6,10	202:4 205:10,16	funds 32:24
250:16	214:1,14,14,20	254:13	210:23 211:11,25	furnished 11:15
followed 77:16	217:3,6,14 218:11	formed 184:18	212:9,21 213:7	further 29:9 112:17
102:9,10 122:13	218:17,17 221:15	former 211:17	214:8 215:11,24	173:6 174:8 179:2
168:8	224:2,10,17 231:1	forms 109:12,18	217:10,12 221:17	179:15,19 189:9
following 113:16	231:3,6 234:7	133:4,7 135:25	223:6,24 224:19	189:11 205:25
253:7,21	251:11,15,16	195:23 229:25	225:7 228:17	207:15 241:3
follows 7:22 149:18	253:20 254:12	234:10 251:9	229:2 231:10	249:25 255:1
followup 99:22	256:23 257:7	253:8,22	232:3,16,24	257:10 259:9
168:13 207:25	foregoing 258:22	formulate 145:8	234:14 235:19	260:15
255:5	258:25 263:2	formulated 34:12	236:19 237:18	
followups 241:8	forgot 98:19 145:14	37:8 146:11	238:5 245:12	<u>G</u>
244:24	form 26:8,22,23	formulating 31:6	246:5 247:8,22	gain 215:23 231:3
footnote 201:23,25	39:14 41:11 42:17	34:15 123:4	249:17 251:20	gambling 17:21

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 81 of 103 PageID #: 2247

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				rage 277
gas 134:8,11,17	generally 27:23	34:2,3,10,14 35:3	165:9,19,24	guidelines 76:17
208:25	32:13 44:8,16	35:25 36:21 48:23	167:21 170:22	100:16 136:16
gateway 266:5	56:19 59:15 64:10	54:6 55:8 57:17	171:10 180:17,18	137:11 142:1
general 24:19,24	64:22 88:5,7	76:23,23 78:15	180:19 181:1	160:18 161:13,22
25:3 26:6,19,20	107:22,24,25	84:9 97:20 100:21	183:19 184:2	gun 246:15
27:8,19 28:1	108:9,13 113:3,25	104:6 105:11	191:2 193:4	guy 71:1
29:14,18,25 30:11	116:24 118:24	111:5 112:2	201:19 207:22,23	guys 72:11
31:6,14,22 32:10	143:13,23 144:2	116:14 124:8	216:11 219:20	
32:19 33:2,6,22	144:11 154:18	125:6 134:6 137:7	220:19 231:16	H
34:12,16 35:15,19	163:12 171:1	139:22 145:21	246:10 253:2	half 75:16 256:13
36:17 37:4,7,8,15	209:1 221:24	150:23 154:14	good 66:11,12	hall 87:17,20 92:10
37:25 38:2,12,24	222:16	167:24 171:7,9	113:2,8 145:4	192:23
39:2,23 40:8,12	gesturing 91:7	172:5,19 176:5,7	163:21 166:13	hand 35:11 46:2
43:4,5,7 45:3,4	getting 25:20	177:18 178:16	183:17 207:20	72:19 103:16
46:15 53:5 60:1	162:22 174:6	181:24 183:19	248:22	104:6,6 118:2
61:17 64:6 66:23	199:17,22 233:6	186:15 187:8	goodsize 225:3	180:17 198:23
72:5,21 73:1,6,12	gig 10:7	188:18 189:16	gore 266:5	259:13 266:10
73:18 76:19,20,24	give 8:7,21 9:9	197:17 201:19	goreperry 260:1,23	handcuff 215:23
78:6,9,18,23 79:2	33:19 54:13,24	206:20 207:23	gotcha 102:18	handcuffing
79:17,21 88:13	71:22 75:22 78:16	216:20 217:21	110:12	168:12
97:2 101:16,23	82:13 83:18 93:18	220:9,15,17	gotten 197:19	handcuffs 246:19
103:17,20 107:21	95:7 97:8 116:10	223:25 224:1	grab 33:25	handed 103:4
108:9 110:23	122:18 128:9	225:8 230:25	grabbed 231:20	124:11
112:14,14 113:11	130:19 145:14	231:10 234:11	grade 14:9	handful 78:9
114:24 118:7	186:4 188:11	238:10 240:8	graduate 16:7 55:1	handle 113:2
119:6,8,9 120:8	189:9,11 193:5	241:18 243:5	graduated 62:9,11	handled 83:12
120:13,18 122:7,8	217:7,18,24	247:8 248:2 252:8	graduates 53:12	223:21
122:21 123:12,15	218:15 219:6	252:17,18 255:7,8	graduation 67:22	hands 201:17 217:4
123:24,25 124:3,8	220:19 237:22	255:10,10 257:2	grants 142:25	218:13 246:24
130:22 132:14	given 57:6 64:22	goals 121:10	144:13	handtohand 247:2
134:15 135:15	97:14 116:21	god 64:20	great 166:11	handwriting 79:24
144:16,17 145:8	131:8 154:19	goes 14:4 36:17	201:20	happen 135:6
145:12,25 146:2	218:4 224:20	136:17 167:15	greater 218:17	happened 75:23
147:3 149:3	233:13 234:2	176:7 196:15	greg 57:12 66:18	100:23 110:25
151:25 152:6,21	238:17 240:25	going 8:11 26:15	griffin 10:21 11:3	164:18 189:21
153:1 156:22,23	249:19 254:11	30:2 32:23 35:1	griffins 11:17	227:22 229:13,19
157:14,16,17,21	259:6 263:5	35:11 46:5 71:4	ground 231:17	247:18 252:12
158:14,19 159:23	gives 53:25	72:19 78:5,8,17	233:3	255:9
166:23,23 172:12	giving 256:22	88:7 90:10 98:5	grounds 150:20	happy 8:25
183:24 198:24	go 16:3 17:19 18:24	99:19 103:16	153:11 179:6	harass 112:9
211:16,18 215:21	19:12,23 21:21	118:2,4 120:7	group 10:21 165:22	hard 220:4 227:9
218:10,14,18	27:2 28:3,14	130:19 140:17,18	guess 106:21	227:16
219:10,17 222:2	30:10 31:21 32:17	140:19 153:2	199:18 255:16	hardcopy 227:15
225:15 226:4	32:24,24 33:4	160:25 161:1,4	guessing 56:2	hasnt 218:1
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 82 of 103 PageID #: 2248

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Tage 200
havent 166:1	hide 181:10	hundreds 29:24	183:1 251:7	217:3 218:10
173:16 214:23	highest 123:8	hurled 177:21	ilcsr 258:4	219:2,7 220:19
216:7,10 223:17	highestranking	hurt 213:3	ill 8:20 9:17,22,25	222:20 224:5
head 9:14 36:12	169:8	hypothetical 54:24	43:2 50:9 58:13	226:4 231:16
150:4 151:8	highly 248:5	217:17 220:5	131:19 141:18	235:9 240:24
169:12 173:22	highway 211:21	232:18	145:15 160:10	242:15 244:25
200:21	212:5		161:4 186:16	256:11
headed 78:16	hint 184:1	I	187:5 194:16,16	imagine 177:24
heads 17:12	hired 16:19 17:6	ia 92:3 95:2 111:5	illegal 212:19 213:2	184:9 232:20
health 215:16	history 17:5	115:17 116:3	im 8:4,11 9:7 12:24	immediately 14:24
healthy 121:23	hit 167:16	117:6 125:16	19:21 20:13 26:15	87:14 167:8
hear 9:6 42:7 61:18	hmm 164:6 172:15	126:9,17 127:4	28:18 33:9 35:1	implement 46:22
139:2	256:8	195:4	35:11 41:12,12,16	62:1,4 74:1 140:5
heard 173:4	hogtied 98:7	iab 91:13	43:10 49:18 51:23	140:25 141:5
heart 149:12,20,22	hold 12:14	iacp 30:10,14 31:3	56:2 59:25 60:3	implementation
150:4,11 151:2,9	holding 97:12	137:6	61:14 63:14 65:3	75:5
heatofthemoment	holm 4:6	iacps 32:13	67:5 72:19 76:23	implementing
138:21	holy 206:23,24	iad 255:10	77:14 78:5,8,16	74:17
heavy 148:12	honest 119:25	icp 137:5	78:17 85:2,3,4	implicit 159:6
heavyhanded	120:4	id 8:25 9:18 116:25	86:23 90:2 93:15	important 79:13
33:17	honorary 36:12	117:14 126:11	93:15 97:12 98:5	104:5 131:10
heightened 156:2	hopefully 165:21	128:16 152:21	99:7,19 103:16,25	211:16,22 212:3,6
211:5	hospital 14:12,18	180:9 185:13	105:1 112:3,8,10	212:15
heights 28:6,11	15:18,24 176:21	233:9	118:2,4 120:7	imposing 185:16
29:15	hostile 46:1	ida 5:5 7:14	125:6 126:20	improper 86:17
held 36:6 216:6	hour 161:2	idea 18:22,23 63:3	129:13 130:8,19	119:22 136:7
helicopter 13:18	hours 3:5 68:19	146:11 163:21	137:6 138:24	217:17
help 9:25 27:16	110:4 244:10	187:23,25 222:25	139:2 144:18	inacademy 243:23
146:14 148:17	house 32:3 44:6,22	identically 29:13	146:7 147:23	inaccuracy 105:5
199:18 215:9	44:23,25 47:9	identification 35:9	149:16 152:11	inaccurate 105:2
henke 56:9,10,17	92:9 99:16 204:25	42:24 72:17 78:22	153:2 154:14,16	119:21
56:24 57:4 66:14	226:7,18	103:14 117:24	160:25 164:1	inappropriate
72:6 73:16,25	housed 176:24	120:11 130:16	167:24 169:10	86:17 102:25
74:10 75:1 230:21	housekeeping	145:19 160:23	171:24 173:22	115:16 237:10
hereinabove 263:6	225:19	180:22	174:16 175:2	inappropriately
heres 43:2 199:22	howard 15:2,15	identified 110:12	179:13 180:6,17	86:21
209:1	hr 88:1 90:15,19	187:2,10 251:25	180:18,19 181:1	incapacitate
hereto 263:4	93:7 173:18	identify 7:6 35:13	181:10 182:2,11	215:22 216:4
hereunto 266:8	192:24	78:19 103:17	186:14,17 191:2	incapacitation
hes 71:1 93:8	huh 230:6	120:8 130:20	192:11 193:4	133:18 145:23
140:19 161:3	human 41:1 87:15	191:16	194:14 195:22	222:4
175:3 225:3,4,6	87:22 88:3 131:13	identity 100:6	196:10 199:22	inception 40:9,10
225:12,12 231:7	131:22 192:22	ied 133:20	207:22,23 211:19	incident 102:9
233:5,15	humane 40:23	ignored 182:20,24	213:16 216:11,19	113:16 132:19
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 83 of 103 PageID #: 2249

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 281
120 0 11 112 12	115 7 100 2 5			
139:9,11 143:12	115:7 138:2,5	55:10 76:17 99:20	65:20 69:14 74:16	interview 17:7,9
143:20 170:15,19	139:16 141:7	100:24 174:10	105:21	32:21 185:19,20
171:17,21 172:9	153:22 155:1,11	184:1 227:17	intentional 105:7	185:24
173:7,25 174:5,7	169:20 171:18	243:21	105:14 151:20,23	interviewed 17:11
174:9,15 175:1,6	211:6,14 226:15	initially 19:1 27:9	228:2	17:11 186:21
175:15 177:6,13	256:18	27:10 31:16 77:13	interaction 143:3	interviews 88:12
178:20 179:21	individually 1:1	183:16 256:6	143:14	intimately 208:4,6
180:1,4 187:10	2:5	injured 48:6	interest 19:5	investigate 255:11
189:2 190:21,22	individuals 46:1	213:25 253:5	interested 112:13	investigated 93:12
195:21 196:15	58:16 59:17 76:14	injuries 210:5	259:11	93:12 214:21
197:18 228:24	113:1 149:12,20	injury 133:1	interfacing 158:10	investigating 88:11
235:24 247:17	150:11 151:2	155:21 156:2	interim 18:6	investigation 83:18
252:1	156:1 157:7	168:21 216:13	interject 73:18	83:19 88:12,22
incidents 186:23	210:11,12	inmate 190:22	internal 78:24	116:23 167:7
187:2 188:4 191:8	inform 18:24 19:12	input 162:24	79:14 83:2 85:11	169:16 181:20,23
194:21 228:24	informant 62:24	inservice 43:23	88:2,6,19,23 89:4	182:3,10 183:7,25
255:8	information 27:25	44:5 243:23	89:12,17 90:11,18	184:2 185:12,18
include 133:11	28:2 29:10,21	inside 256:18	90:23 91:20	186:5 189:2 196:7
237:2	30:11 46:16	insofar 23:19	191:17 192:10,15	228:23 240:18,18
included 92:23	116:21 119:22,25	inspection 205:6	193:8 195:7	240:23 243:9
193:9 237:15	125:14 128:5	inspector 80:6	201:25 227:12	investigations
243:8	136:25 147:5	81:11 82:13 96:12	internalaffairsrel	78:25 79:14 88:2
includes 215:15	165:13,14 174:8	inspectors 81:13	85:14	183:11
including 39:24	174:12 176:11	96:15	international 25:10	investigative
112:11 189:7	184:4,5,17 185:4	instances 193:8	48:24 49:1,7	117:11 178:21
209:16 215:4	185:11 186:18	instant 254:25	137:4,13 145:13	191:3
216:16,23 230:15	187:12,17 189:9	instruct 67:17	147:8,12 157:24	investigator 83:24
236:13,23 260:12	189:11 190:12	260:12	158:5,11 162:3	83:25 88:15 201:3
inconsistent 151:15	193:7 195:15	instructed 69:13	208:23	involve 111:9
151:18	196:23 198:14	instruction 40:1	internationally	involved 101:1,5
incorporated 148:1	205:22 206:13,17	74:13,14 149:8	123:1	106:25 109:5
increases 64:8	226:6 228:21	255:21	interpersonal 67:4	111:13,15 114:12
incustody 101:24	234:6 238:22	instructor 13:19	67:5,10	115:5,12 116:2
102:3,5	239:3,9 240:4	46:3 52:24 248:13	interpose 255:13	130:2,3,4 161:25
independent	251:24	instructors 44:21	interrogatories	174:19 175:14
155:15 189:1	informed 33:16	47:14	7:22	189:8,8,9 191:10
224:7	123:6	insults 177:22	interrupt 28:20	205:12 208:4
independently	inhouse 44:9,11,17	integrity 131:13,22	60:3	209:22 226:17
156:18	44:19 45:7,8,10	intelligently 211:1	interval 218:15	242:25 254:7
index 6:1	45:13,17 46:17,24	intense 65:17	intervene 110:24	involvement 23:12
indicating 193:8	47:14,18,19 57:2	intensive 65:16	intervened 130:12	173:6 250:15,18
individual 8:5 58:1	57:9 92:7	intent 48:3	intervention 58:11	251:2,2
64:17 77:4 89:19	initial 43:25 53:12	intention 25:1	60:16 64:24	involves 109:19
109:3 114:9,12,25	53:19 54:9,11	32:22 58:17,18	209:12	involving 64:23

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 84 of 103 PageID #: 2250

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Tage 202
86:11,17 109:23	204:14 207:20	136:6,12 138:3,15	justified 150:6	89:24 91:7 108:17
109:23 142:11	250:8 257:13	138:23 139:6,21	151:11 224:17	148:12 209:18
169:4 171:17	260:6 263:1,10	140:11,22 141:3	131.11 224.17	235:25 245:8
174:1,10 175:10	264:11	140:11,22 141.3	K	250:11
174.1,10 173.10	jail 114:15 188:9	145:20 149:17,24	kaminski 1:11 2:15	knew 61:4 101:8
180:5 189:3,12	189:4 190:9,21	150:18,22 151:5	7:5 51:8,10,16,22	128:21 163:18
190:21,23 191:8	191:5 252:2 253:5	150.18,22 131.3	51:24 52:2 162:11	225:20
irritant 134:14	james 19:2	154:1,8,13 156:7	162:19 163:11,15	know 8:25 9:4,4,5,9
irritants 133:15	january 118:7,12	154.1,8,13 130.7	163:24 165:1,12	9:15 17:4,20 18:9
134:2 135:14	jason 1:2 2:6 177:5	158:1,22 159:2	170:15 174:14	18:16,18,18 20:3
isnt 53:24 213:18	job 10:25 30:22	160:9,24 161:3,7	175:15 178:20	20:6,12,23 22:16
issuance 21:19	33:20 36:6,10	161:10 164:11	179:3,17 180:7	25:22 26:2,15
197:16 198:11	52:20 55:11,14	166:20 173:2	223:20 225:2	27:18 28:12 29:12
200:19	56:12 120:15	177:17 179:12	246:1 260:7 264:5	32:8,21 42:9
issue 69:6,9 70:23	121:3,6,12 183:9	180:23 181:5,6	kaminskis 110:3	44:15 46:11 47:19
93:7 113:7 227:4	183:10 249:8	183:5 186:17,19	162:24	48:8 50:2 51:8,10
256:21 257:8	jobs 10:9 120:20	187:21 188:2,17	kansas 4:8	51:16,24 52:2,13
issued 37:10 38:24	john 16:20 46:7	189:15 190:7,16	karr 32:1 92:12	52:14,16,18 56:16
180:14 181:11	49:5 51:20 55:25	191:14 192:1	243:4,5	59:7 60:6 63:13
200:12	57:14 147:13	193:15,18,22,24	keep 52:18 56:17	63:20 64:14 65:20
issues 78:15 79:15	201:7 208:7	193:13,18,22,24	56:24 57:4,15	66:17 67:15 68:16
215:16	johnson 4:5 6:3,5,9	199:20 200:7,11	90:20 91:15,19	70:25 71:2 73:6
issuing 33:2	7:8,8,24 8:4 10:2	201:22 202:6,12	184:23 230:2,3	73:25 74:10 75:10
ita 227:6	10:4 19:21,22	202:23 204:4,6,13	240:16 244:14	75:18,19 76:9
iti 226:1 227:6	27:1 34:7,9 35:10	202.23 204.4,0,13	245:15	81:4 85:6 86:3,4
ive 15:8 57:16	39:12,17 41:14	250:2,7,22,24	keeping 178:25	87:5 89:9 92:16
80:23 122:16	43:1 49:12 54:2	251:23 252:14,22	keeps 56:5	97:12 98:7 99:8
183:2 213:14,17	54:10,19,23 55:19	253:1,16 254:2,19	kept 33:16 52:16	99:21 100:6,9
223:3 225:17	60:2,13 70:8,10	255:1,10 254.2,19	57:23 84:25 88:20	101:12 102:9
233:12 238:17	72:18 77:19 78:2	joined 14:10	88:23,24 89:19,24	106:21 110:3,24
247:11 248:9	79:1 82:23 83:5	joint 10:3	90:21 91:12,13,17	111:25 113:23
247.11 240.9	87:4 98:13,16,21	joking 236:10	93:10,13 94:16,17	111.23 113.23
J	98:25 99:5,10	july 103:20 130:23	95:9 97:18 117:6	117:18 123:16
jackson 1:16 3:1	100:19,20 101:22	137:1,15	117:7 131:11	126:7,8,15 127:6
7:4,18 8:2,3,3	100:19,20 101:22	jump 78:13 118:4	173:10 203:2	143:23 144:2,10
22:12,13 27:25	105.15 105.24	jump 78.13 118.4 jury 217:19 221:14	208:7 226:6 227:9	145:23 144.2,10
35:11 36:4 53:8	112:7,20 113:9	jury 217.19 221.14 justice 21:14 22:7	227:13,14 228:6	145.11,24 146.2,6
72:20 77:25 78:4	114:1,7 116:13,20	124:4 142:14	229:10,16,22	140.20 147.13,13
103:18 115:25	114.1,7 110.13,20	144:3 180:13	230:1 234:19	147.19 148.7,23
118:6 120:9 130:8	120:14 121:1,16	181:12 184:6	kicked 231:20	154:22 155:22
132:13 134:14	120.14 121.1,10	185:4,11 234:7,25	killed 213:14,17	154.22 155.22
145:22 153:5	121.22 122.2	242:16,21 243:9	killing 213:18	157.10 158.5,7
161:18 166:18,21	129:1 130:17	255:7	kin 102:15	160:11 161:4,11
193:2 197:2,7	131:18 133:2	justifiable 156:19	kind 78:13,15	160:11 161:4,11
	131.10 133.4	Justiliavic 150.17		102.1,7,3,0,11,10
		l	I	

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 85 of 103 PageID #: 2251

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				rage 203
162:19 170:4,9,13		lead 104:18 111:23	228:15,25	living 203:12
170:17 171:15	labor 21:8	114:8	liaison 185:9	load 153:15
173:10 174:18,20	lack 154:12 156:5	leaders 41:16 208:9	205:15	lobby 177:11 206:9
177:18,21 178:15	157:9,25 160:5	leaf 180:24	license 14:25 15:13	locally 137:8
179:23 180:2,18	164:2 177:15	leap 17:1	100:13 243:20	locate 173:16
182:19 184:25		learn 61:18 141:25	licensure 244:15	198:12
185:8 186:11,20	187:18 190:13	learned 60:15,17	lieu 20:1,4	located 11:7,17
187:12,16,22,23	191:23 195:11	61:12,16 247:11	lieutenant 14:2,5	87:17 94:15
189:18,20 190:8	202:3 210:22	leave 180:7	24:4,6 52:25	187:16 193:7
190:10,15 192:9	211:10,24 213:6	leaving 214:13	80:23 81:5,6	location 12:1
192:13 193:1	221:17 223:6,23	left 30:23 65:4,7	110:8,12,14 169:2	229:11,16
194:2,3,9 195:19	224:18 225:7	84:8 126:24 127:2	169:19 175:16	locked 84:10
196:4 199:6	228:16 229:1,1	137:18 185:7	188:8 230:22	log 94:16 95:8,9
203:15,20 206:5	238:5 246:5 247:8	203:5 206:3 235:3	lieutenants 66:17	96:6 97:9,11,21
210:11,25 213:11	256:14	238:20 239:19,25	72:8 80:16,22	115:17 116:3
216:2 220:4	lacking 125:2	legal 31:13,21 32:9	life 131:13,22	117:6 125:16,22
222:25 225:6,21	lacks 128:23	67:5 243:4	170:22	126:9,17 195:5,8
227:17,22 228:10	lady 189:4 land 35:24	lengthy 180:18	lifeline 122:18	201:25 202:1,11
229:13,14,19		lethal 130:21,21	liked 33:14,16	202:18,25 203:1,1
234:17 235:1	language 239:25	131:2,2 132:10,13	limitation 152:19	203:9,10 205:2
238:22 239:2	large 74:3 209:6	132:18 133:3,6,8	153:8 160:3	logged 58:7 116:2
247:12,25 248:7	225:1 227:20	135:11 139:7,10	limitations 220:21	logically 245:9
252:7 255:14	late 143:10 192:13	139:15 158:21	221:7	logon 30:13
256:20	law 10:9 12:7 14:14	168:3,8,14,17	limited 51:14 112:9	logs 97:14,18
knowing 112:13	14:19 16:3 22:22	224:21 249:20	line 11:1 98:11	117:12 127:4,8
knowledge 31:6	23:11 25:7 28:2	letter 116:24 117:1	107:10,15 109:25	long 17:5 21:21
51:4,5 69:11	29:5 31:2,8,8,9,12	117:13 184:15	260:13 261:1,4,7	65:17 66:9 74:5
70:23 92:15 99:11	31:15,24 32:12	185:3	261:10,13,16,19	177:13 182:6
99:17,23 113:11	36:11,13 42:10	letterhead 41:19	261:22 262:1,4,7	203:21 209:16
125:10 129:19	44:12 65:6 67:3	letters 117:2,16	262:10,13,16,19	213:9 228:5
132:6 135:8,9	109:3 137:7 143:2	127:13	262:22	longer 200:10
158:2,6 160:1	147:20 175:18	letting 114:16,16	lineback 205:19	look 27:25 46:16
162:23 180:8	211:19 212:3	level 20:8 61:24	lipa 266:5	55:9 82:19 122:16
182:23 185:6	213:24	63:1 65:21 150:6	list 66:8 104:16,19	141:15 144:15
188:12 227:1,10	lawful 231:4	151:10 212:16,18	260:12	147:6 152:23
227:11,24 228:1	laws 214:3	214:14 215:21	listed 36:3	165:2 187:13
230:11 256:14	lawsuit 91:18,19,22	217:2 218:11,17	listing 88:13	255:7.10
knowles 19:2,7	179:4,16 222:19	217.2 218.11,17 218:24 220:7,13	literal 9:16	looked 14:21 46:15
21:22 34:14	228:23	220:16 224:10	litigation 64:13	91:9 118:20
known 65:24 129:6	lawsuits 92:2	231:6 248:6	179:7 228:14	121:17 123:16
142:12 193:1	199:16	256:23	little 9:16 33:25	145:6,8 147:16
knows 19:21	lawyers 9:5	levels 208:15 209:7		148:16 189:23
217:13 242:11	lay 35:24 43:2	217:6 219:18	118:4 209:11,15 213:11 217:14	197:24 256:4,4
217.13 242.11	layout 35:23 96:6	liability 64:13	250:2	looking 30:3
	layperson 20:15	1140111ty 04.13	230.2	TOUKING 30.3
	1	I	<u> </u>	ı

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 86 of 103 PageID #: 2252

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 284
197:20 225:20	madison 4:7	27:19 37:22 76:16	44:5 54:3,4 59:13	memorialized 57:8
252:4	magazines 246:20	76:18,25,25 119:5	60:3 63:25 64:19	memorializes
looks 13:2 24:18	main 185:9 201:3	119:8 147:16,25	65:7,7 68:18 93:7	190:18
28:25 168:9,22	maintain 87:25	manuals 147:22	129:24 130:4,8	memory 70:24
169:25 194:4	116:2 117:2 127:7	march 10:16 12:12	139:24 146:6,24	73:10,11 125:9
lopez 201:2	184:23 204:21	12:12,16,16 19:10	183:10 186:12	142:3 170:17
loss 177:23	214:23 244:14	20:20 40:11 66:4	189:20 200:5	171:14 190:1
lost 95:23 173:17	maintained 74:7	71:16 73:7 87:19	209:7 217:21	191:10 193:11
lot 32:2 35:3 42:9	84:13,16,18 85:16	118:13 160:19	226:14 229:22	mental 141:23
45:21 46:23 55:9	87:8,12,22 88:1	200:12,15 203:20	237:11 251:6	215:16 242:6
71:25 72:7 77:6,7	90:8,11 92:3	mark 35:2 78:8,17	meaning 36:20	mentally 121:23,23
	94:15 95:11 173:1	120:7 180:20	43:25 68:24 81:6	122:5,14 139:16
145:10,11 159:11 174:13 185:13	173:10 191:19	191:3	92:24 99:15	141:6 153:21
198:1 212:14	225:23 229:21	marked 35:9,12	120:21 163:23	mentioned 22:12
220:17 248:24		42:24 72:17 78:22	196:14 218:24	263:6
lots 27:16 45:24	maintaining 57:12 maintains 56:5	103:14 117:24	233:23	
	126:21 192:19			mentions 156:13,15 merits 111:6
144:18 197:25		120:11 130:16	meaningful 198:15	met 9:5 23:5 27:11
210:10 louis 3:4 4:16 5:8	major 61:7 63:14	145:19 160:23 180:22 191:15	means 50:2,11 193:12 231:13	
	104:8			27:16 38:6 96:23
8:9 12:20,23 14:11 16:6 22:25	majority 227:20	250:9	meant 93:2 237:12	138:13 143:5,7
	making 74:4 161:8	mary 127:18	medical 165:13	145:13 158:8
24:16 28:4,6	162:25 240:6	235:10,11	medium 91:3	183:15,23
29:15 45:22 46:20	256:14,20	maryland 28:6,11	meet 29:9 37:18	mete 103:9 124:12
46:25 47:6 58:22	malone 164:21,25	29:15	96:18,21 97:9	meted 119:10
62:5,10,11,13,13	165:4	materials 52:6,11	123:8 139:1,5	method 112:5
62:21 63:7 68:9	man 172:22 224:23	52:14,15 56:17	163:5 165:22	167:2 214:17
68:13 75:24 76:6	225:3	mathematical 13:8	166:10,12 167:10	methodist 15:9
137:8 145:12	managed 92:4	matter 7:4 79:15	185:23 199:8	metro 16:5,6 62:12
236:13 258:3,15	211:8 244:2	183:15 201:12	meeting 19:9 65:23	metropolitan 12:20
259:13 260:5,24	management 39:19	217:12 222:21	177:10 183:23	137:9
264:19 265:5,11	62:24	223:2 260:10	199:15 207:11	michael 143:11,20
266:7	manager 10:7	matters 242:25	meetings 23:8 29:4	middle 169:17
loved 71:12	16:21 18:17 34:24	mayor 19:2 21:22	65:25,25 163:7	midpoint 73:16
lower 66:24 149:6	94:20,23 95:2	34:14,14,20	meets 30:3	mike 77:14,16
168:4 248:5	110:24 125:19	mayors 34:16	member 177:5	226:23
luckily 248:10	managers 17:9	mcbride 30:19,20	179:20,24 180:3	mind 22:10 33:25
<u>M</u>	mandatory 69:14	31:5,18 32:9	198:24	65:8 89:5 105:4
m 3:5,6 4:5 7:2	manic 210:11	37:14 38:6 195:15	members 8:5 39:25	130:11 193:13
mace 134:15,20	manned 72:10	204:20 205:20	40:1 80:13 112:16	198:22 258:18
135:20 208:25	manner 96:4	230:21	113:13 213:25	minimum 243:24
217:4 218:13	108:22 139:12	mcbrides 205:23	214:13	244:1
245:9,15,15	manpower 65:19	mcdanel 57:13	memo 264:1	minnesota 171:25
247:12 249:15	mans 217:12	66:18	memorandum	minnesotacanada
241.12 247.13	manual 26:13	mean 27:10 28:20	83:18 88:10	171:4
	l	l	l	l

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 87 of 103 PageID #: 2253

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

minor 1:5 2:9 4:4	monitor 212:15	multiplepage	74:8 122:16 124:3	normally 110:7
103:10 104:8,12	monitored 214:19	161:14	124:8 126:11	119:20 180:10
104:15,16,17	month 92:22 94:22	municipal 62:14	128:15 159:21	north 4:15 264:18
106:16,24	194:21 195:2	211:21 212:5	219:6,20	265:4
minute 230:25	198:3	228:15	needed 20:1,4	norwood 111:15,17
mirrored 27:15	monthly 23:7 72:25	municipalities 23:3	32:18 129:19	113:15,17
misconduct 78:14	73:9 235:22	muscles 216:5	needs 108:18	nos 2:13
78:24 79:9 81:23	months 75:19 79:5		negative 247:6	notarial 259:13
110:21 124:22	80:12	N	negatives 249:5	notary 3:8 258:5
125:15,22 126:3	moonier 17:16,18	n 3:7 258:4	neglected 198:19	259:20 263:18
126:16	17:19	nabdzyk 230:22,24	negotiated 21:6	266:13
misrepresentation	moore 1:1,3,3 2:5,7	naked 172:22	neighbors 28:7	note 196:25 197:6
105:14	2:7 4:3,12 7:5,9	224:23 231:18	net 4:18	notes 196:13 221:5
mission 35:14 40:4	7:11 169:4 170:5	233:4,13	never 47:2 128:21	notice 74:8 258:9
40:5 41:5,8,18,21	171:21 173:7	name 7:25 8:3	129:2 141:18	notices 184:22
121:11	174:1,5,10 175:10	10:18 17:17 18:18	158:5 186:2,2	notification 102:15
missouri 2:2 3:5	175:14 177:6	18:19 32:14 67:23	197:7 213:14,17	notified 168:10,16
11:18 25:4 27:12	178:20 179:3,21	80:23 207:20	221:7 222:5 245:3	171:20
27:14 28:8 29:19	179:24 180:5	226:24 235:9	248:10	november 73:1,5,8
30:6 31:3 37:1	195:21 206:22	264:14	new 11:12 27:8	number 35:8 42:23
55:1 62:7,16	207:21,22 223:2	names 51:7 56:3	29:14 34:16 45:4	43:15 53:10 72:16
205:9 244:3 258:1	223:14,21 224:15	68:14 113:14,21	45:4 73:12 174:13	77:24 78:21 79:22
258:6,11,15	247:17 260:7	113:22 114:2	207:24 226:1	83:3 91:13 93:18
259:14,21 266:7	264:5	230:20	229:14	94:17 96:7,9 97:6
misuse 81:17	moores 170:19	nancy 3:7 258:4	news 252:5	103:13 104:23
mizzou 15:1,12,14	207:7,12	narrative 239:10	nickeson 5:14	117:23 119:15
mmhmm 15:5	morning 8:6 110:4	narrow 199:18	nightstick 136:1	120:10 130:15
182:5 187:4	172:21,21 223:22	217:23	nod 9:14	145:18 152:19
202:17 232:1	mother 177:9	nature 10:22 97:1	nods 169:12 200:21	153:9 160:3,22
236:7	179:25 207:7,13	104:25 113:6		163:12 164:13
	motion 112:23	128:19,21 129:3,9	nonattorney	166:17 180:21
mo 4:8,16 5:8 260:5 260:24 264:19		129:12 173:14	172:17,18 noncertified 135:3	
	164:23	necessarily 116:19		202:16 203:2
265:5,11	move 135:23	117:11 135:17,25	noncriminal 215:16	213:24,24 238:19 250:11 259:19
mocer 3:7 258:4	166:10 194:16	151:21 173:21		
mode 187:15 188:8	moved 89:11,16	245:7	nondeadly 139:9	260:13
model 148:6	114:6	necessary 31:21	nonlethal 158:21	numbers 93:15
modified 149:10	moving 72:9	32:10 37:16 55:16	249:21,24	128:15
modify 124:10,16	151:22 229:22	103:3 178:11	nonpolice 41:3	numerosity 97:3
module 63:9,21	mpc 30:6 37:13	212:16 224:3	nonpoliceofficer	130:3
67:9	mpccf 30:6	231:3 237:2,15	230:16	numrich 4:6
modules 67:2	mudd 77:12,15	249:19	nonsworn 118:10	0
moment 68:1	mules 124:5	necessitate 167:12	120:22	o 243:17,17 244:2,5
monday 260:2	multijurisdictional		normal 167:6	
money 69:6	12 22	I NACH X. // U. / //I. / *	1 170 10 14 2// 2	1 ////: 1 1 /// /: /
	13:22	need 8:24 9:7 24:23	179:10,14 266:3	244:11 247:2

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 88 of 103 PageID #: 2254

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

-				Page 286
oath 120:5	232:23 233:7	offenses 103:10	216:20 217:1,2,5	203:3 208:14
oaths 258:7	237:5,18 245:19	offered 69:23	217:13 218:11,14	209:19 211:8,12
object 19:19 26:22	257:3,18 243:19	offers 208:19	217:13 218:11,14	212:4 213:3,23
41:10 42:17 54:1	252.17 253.12	office 8:7 19:7 86:6	225:1 231:2 233:3	212.4 213.3,23
54:18 55:17 70:6	objections 112:6	89:11,18 90:23	233:5,14 236:12	221:15 231:22
87:3 100:18	138:11 141:2,9	191:19 192:14	236:15,23,24	232:22 237:6,23
101:20 110:18	156:12 165:3	204:23 205:23,23	237:1,14 239:13	242:2 243:18,20
111:19 116:11	216:8 218:20	227:13 229:21	239:15 241:17	244:8,10,14 245:1
119:4 120:23	219:23 220:9,14	260:15	242:11,12 244:16	244.8,10,14.243.1
121:14,21,25	232:8,15 233:18	officer 14:4,8,8	246:1,10 249:6	249:14,18 253:15
125:24 126:5	232.8,13 233.18	24:3 39:4,18	254:7 256:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		,	257:8 264:8	254:11,16 offices 3:2 11:17
132:20 136:4,10	236:2 253:25	43:19 46:3 47:23		
137:24 139:19 140:14 149:14	259:3 objectives 121:10	48:1 52:23,25	officers 23:3,6,16	258:13
179:5 182:17		53:1,2,2,4,23,25	23:21 24:1 41:3,3	official 41:24 66:19
	obligation 215:3	54:7,25 55:5,21	43:13 44:12 45:24	200:14
188:14 189:14	251:6	55:23 56:6,18	47:21 48:3 51:5	oh 71:1 79:23 84:17
190:4 199:14	observed 155:5	57:3,10,12 64:9	51:11 52:10 54:17	117:10 182:8
213:13 214:8	183:7	65:18 68:25 69:12	56:21 58:16,18	193:17 206:9
223:23 230:4	obtain 15:22 16:7	74:12 76:11 84:24	59:12,13,15 65:13	209:21 248:12,12
231:24 245:12	48:18 50:21	85:16,19,19,20,25	65:14 66:6,12,18	okay 9:1,21 12:6
246:4 247:22	187:13	85:25 86:1,12,16	67:18,22,24 68:3	18:1 22:13 25:9
249:16 250:21	obtained 50:23	86:21 87:1 88:19	68:7 69:20 70:14	28:19 32:6 33:11
252:8 254:13	obtaining 208:3	90:12 91:12,18,23	70:21 71:8,23	35:5 42:19 45:1
objected 26:23	obviously 8:13 14:3	92:18 94:18 99:3	73:22 74:6 76:21	50:4 60:10 62:15
111:20 112:3	36:16 57:21 73:19	99:18,19,23,24	81:7 86:5 87:2,9	72:2 73:16 79:21
201:20 230:7	74:22 84:4 108:14	102:19 103:5,8	87:13 89:18 99:7	79:25 82:20 87:7
256:5,9	133:7 159:17	105:18,22 106:10	99:13 100:10	88:9 89:15,21
objection 39:11,14	208:4	107:11,13,15	101:2,6,18 102:21	98:13,21 99:11,22
41:10 106:14	occasion 111:14	108:5,9 111:13	106:4 107:18	102:14 105:2
112:2,22 128:23	occasional 11:21	114:5 115:13	111:13,16 115:16	116:5 117:20
131:16 138:20	occasions 251:14	116:6,8,10 118:22	118:17 119:24	119:17 121:4
150:17,20 151:13	253:19	119:2 125:15,22	121:19 122:5	126:15 143:14
153:11,16,23	occur 11:25 111:10	135:6 137:23	124:22 128:17,22	144:7 145:17
154:5 159:1 160:5	occurred 143:21	138:8,18,22 139:8	129:4,16 131:8	150:16 152:11,13
164:2 177:15	198:9 253:10,24	139:24 140:4,17	134:17 140:9	152:24 154:24
188:1 190:13	occurrence 64:17	140:23 141:5	149:9 154:17,19	159:25 161:9
191:11,23 194:12	64:19 175:9	144:9 156:24	159:4 160:2,12	162:16 166:3
195:11 202:3,9	235:16 236:25	167:4,19,21 169:9	162:2 163:2,24	167:24,25 169:8
210:19,22 211:10	october 223:5	169:22 171:18	172:20,23,25	171:5 172:7 178:7
211:24 212:8,21	ofallon 11:18	172:24 175:5	174:19 176:1	182:2,4 185:2
213:6 215:11,24	offense 107:19	176:4 189:7	178:7,11 186:20	187:15 193:6
217:9 218:5	114:24 187:22	209:16 210:2	191:10 192:19	194:25 195:7
224:11,18 228:16	190:18,25 191:2	213:9 214:1	195:24 196:5	196:4,12 200:8
229:1 231:9 232:2	197:2 198:5,13	215:22 216:13,16	197:25 198:19	201:16 202:13
	l	l	l	

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 89 of 103 PageID #: 2255

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Tage 207
204:7 209:7,24	13:14,17 23:13	166:23 198:24	200:9 247:18	paperwork 192:20
210:4 212:10,18	38:2 39:20 54:14	217:8 218:16	overall 38:17	paragraph 193:15
213:1,11 214:22	56:13 72:6 199:25	219:17 222:2	overlooked 252:13	193:22 194:8
217:1 218:9,23	operator 13:14	244:14	oversaw 81:6,7	paramedic 14:11
219:5,19 220:12	operators 76:2	ordered 95:12	90:7	15:21,22,23
221:11,20 222:2	opinion 83:23 84:1	260:11	overseeing 30:25	paraphrased 54:3
223:11 226:8,12	129:25 182:18	orders 24:19,24	80:19	pardon 114:20
230:19 234:5	211:22 218:1	25:3 26:6,21 27:8	oversight 214:18	park 114:6
236:4 240:11	224:6,7 233:17	28:1,25 29:10,14	214:18	parked 114:6
242:9 243:16	257:5,7	29:19,19 30:11	overturned 197:7	part 14:15 23:16,17
244:24 245:6,18	opinions 144:12	31:7,14,22 32:10	197:12	23:20,21 32:21
248:22 249:11,25	223:20	32:19 33:3,6,22	owner 11:19	38:1 46:19,24
255:23 256:11	opposed 12:25 65:9	34:12,16 35:2,7		50:17 68:17 74:16
old 73:13 89:16	107:1 158:21	35:19,24 37:19	P	87:2,6 88:16,19
olive 260:24 266:6	191:5 210:21	38:2,24 46:15	p 3:3,6 4:6,14 5:6	91:19 119:14
olivette 28:7,11	option 72:9 242:2	76:19,20,24 78:9	243:17,17 244:2,5	137:9 148:16
olivettes 29:16	options 156:21	88:13 101:16	244:11 247:2	165:20,21 195:14
once 30:12 38:5	224:21 247:5	119:6 123:12	258:14	196:7,8 204:20
50:23 65:4 84:8	oral 7:21 103:12	124:3 144:16,17	package 46:4	213:1 240:17
123:15 167:5	155:6	145:12 152:1	167:23	245:23 246:8
168:2,8,14 179:3	order 26:19 27:19	157:21 159:23	page 6:2 36:1,15	248:19
179:16 188:5	29:25 31:13 35:15	219:10 225:15	37:5 50:7 53:6	partially 214:5
ones 33:10 63:1	36:17 37:4,7,8,15	231:4,8,22 232:22	66:23,24 94:3,11	particular 46:2
122:19 130:7,10	37:23,25 38:12	ordinance 104:23	94:14 104:13,15	64:23 130:14
152:4 214:25	39:2 40:8,12 43:4	ordinances 37:22	119:15 132:3	170:25
220:16 229:25	43:5,7 45:3,4 53:6	38:8 39:5	133:10 136:16,17	particularly 151:22
230:2	66:23 72:21 73:1	organic 209:23	149:3,6 168:1,4	183:18
oneshot 70:1	73:7,12,19 78:6	210:5	169:17 187:2,6,7	parties 259:10,11
ongoing 29:8	78:18,23 79:2,17	organization 40:23	187:8,9 193:14,15	parts 149:7
243:22	79:21 101:24	142:4,8	193:21,22 194:19	parttime 10:7 11:1
online 234:20,25	103:17,20 108:9	organizations	196:10 201:23,24	passed 8:5
235:1,4	112:18,20 113:2	41:17	220:20 238:17	passive 135:22
onthejob 243:22	118:7 119:8 120:8	oriented 142:13	250:11 258:25	136:1 221:25,25
open 17:4 246:23	120:13 122:7,8,21	original 264:15	260:12,12,13,15	248:6
opening 183:25	123:15,24,25	265:1	261:1,4,7,10,13	passively 136:3
openings 70:12,12	124:8 130:22	otto 4:6	261:16,19,22	pathogens 62:23
operate 72:12	139:9 145:9,15,25	outcome 63:25	262:1,4,7,10,13	patrol 13:12,24
operating 165:18	146:2 147:3 149:3	64:21 116:22	262:16,19,22	53:2 59:13,15
operation 38:17	149:13,21 150:19	117:1,4	pages 181:8	63:1 65:21 68:24
operational 24:12	150:24 151:16	outside 11:11 17:9	paid 266:2,3	73:22 77:2 80:21
95:17	152:21 153:1	28:2 29:5,9 31:2,5	pain 221:14,16,23	81:3,5,6,8 103:5,8
operationally	156:6,20,23	32:4,5,7,8 44:8	222:7 248:24	107:14,18 110:15
78:11 82:10	157:14,16,17	51:3 52:3 56:23	paper 74:4,7	118:16 122:4
operations 8:12	158:14,19 164:23	92:7 99:16 137:7	papers 142:11	133:23 134:16

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 90 of 103 PageID #: 2256

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 200
169:9 195:25	144:10,15,19,20	87:25 89:12 100:6	260:12,14	211:24 212:8,21
211:21 241:19	160:17 161:12	119:5,8,20 120:12	plunkert 5:4,10 6:4	213:6,13 214:8
patrolman 14:1	perform 47:19	120:22 122:14	6:7 7:12,12 9:25	215:11,24 216:8
74:19 75:2	181:23 185:18	148:19 165:1	19:19 26:22 39:11	217:9,16 218:19
patrolmen 60:6	period 14:13 16:22	173:18	39:14 41:10 42:17	219:1,5,23 220:9
68:18 76:12 212:5	25:15 36:7 40:7	persons 175:17	49:9 54:1,6,18,21	220:14 221:1,4,17
pattern 183:21	41:6,23 42:1 43:8	207:9	55:17 59:25 60:5	221:20 222:12
patternandpracti	47:15 51:2 52:21	perspective 250:15	60:10 70:6 77:17	223:6,23 224:11
183:11,25	79:19 84:21 99:13	250:18	82:22 87:3 98:10	224:18 225:7
payment 21:2	113:13 142:2	perspectives 67:6	98:14,17,23	228:16 229:1
payment 21:2 payroll 20:22	166:24 239:13,15	pertains 73:8	100:18 101:20	230:4,7 231:9,24
peace 210:1	243:25 250:13,25	phone 173:4 174:10	105:19 106:14	232:2,8,15,23
pedestrian 190:23	permitted 208:15	photographs 196:5	110:18 111:19	232.2,8,13,23
peer 214:18	231:2	196:8	110:18 111:19	234:14,18 235:19
peers 28:12	perpetrator 113:20	phrase 53:18	116:11,15 119:4	236:8,19 237:5,18
pen 105:13	perpetrator 113.20 perry 266:5	157:18 201:25	120:23 121:14,21	238:5 241:7
pen 103.13 pencil 74:5,7	person 24:14 27:7	phrases 42:9	120.23 121.14,21	244:20 245:12,19
pending 8:8 199:16	27:22 30:13,15,18	physical 86:11	121.23 123.3,24 126:5 128:23	244.20 243.12,19
258:9	42:15 60:17 66:13	115:9 135:17	131:16 132:20	248:2 249:16
people 14:3 17:8	66:19,20 80:9	168:21	136:4,10 137:24	250:19 251:20
28:12 59:19 66:14	84:18 110:20	picking 114:18	138:11,20 139:19	252:8,17,25
69:3,7 70:5 71:4	112:11 158:9	piecemeal 241:21	140:6,14 141:2,9	253:12,25 254:13
120:16 121:19	168:22 189:8	pilot 13:18	140.6,14 141.2,9	255:13,20,23
120:10 121:19	210:16 215:22	pistol 246:20	150:17,20 151:4	257:1,11 260:3,9
156:4 162:21	216:17,18,23	pitzer 3:3 5:6	150:17,20 151:4	plus 20:17
183:6 185:17	217:7 218:13,15	258:13 260:4	153:23 154:5,12	point 19:14 34:19
201:17 207:5,6,12	218:25 219:12,14	265:9	156:5,9,12 157:9	53:10 66:5 69:17
201.17 207.3,0,12 209:18,22,23,24	218.23 219.12,14 219:19 220:8	place 17:14 18:1,16	157:25 158:17	81:10 106:20
210:4,5,6,12	226:16,17 231:7	113:10 152:18	159:1 160:5 161:1	111:20 113:1
210:4,5,6,12 211:23 212:7	231:17,21 232:4	229:24	164:2,9,15 165:18	119:14 126:12
213:2 215:4,4,9	231.17,21 232.4 232:13 233:13,24	places 205:21	166:11,15 172:13	137:14 141:25
215:15 230:11	264:14	plaintiff 1:7 2:11	172:16,19 177:15	222:1
239:18,18,19,23	personal 1:1 2:5	4:3,12 7:10	172.10,19 177.13	pointed 42:20
pepper 134:15,20	209:20 210:9,18	plaintiffs 3:2 6:12	182:17 186:12,15	points 69:23 163:14
135:18,20,24	215:5,9 219:12	7:8 164:15 165:5	187:18,24 188:1	police 8:13 10:10
241:12,18 245:2	251:1	206:10,14	188:14 189:14	10:13 12:8,14,19
241.12,18 243.2 245:16,24 246:2	personally 100:25	planner 173:13	190:4,13 191:11	12:21,23,25 13:10
245.16,24 246.2 246:11 247:12,20	101:4 159:9	play 22:10	190.4,13 191.11	14:11 16:5 17:2,3
248:1,3,9,14,17	213:20 242:17,20	please 7:6,17 8:24	193:19,25 194:12	18:1 20:7,11
	personnel 10:7,18	35:13 77:25 78:19	195:11 199:14	21:14 22:7 23:5
248:20,23 249:6	· /			
249:14	10:21 36:22 37:22	113:23 120:8	200:3,8 201:13	23:10 24:1,3,24
percentage 68:3	38:8,21 42:14	130:20 166:18	202:3,9,20 204:5	25:12 26:5,6
perf 142:5 143:7,14	63:6 75:11,21	204:12 220:21,25	204:7 206:2	27:13 28:4,14,23
143:18,23 144:7	76:7 87:2,8,12,19	226:24 238:11	210:19,22 211:10	29:19 30:6 31:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 91 of 103 PageID #: 2257

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

35:20 36:4,13,21 211:18,19,21,21 posing 218:25 presence 155:10 99:16 108:11 37:1 38:3,12,17 212:5,5 213:9 220:8 200:4 217:4 146:17,18 159:12 38:21,25 39:4,13 214:19 215:2,2,8 position 17:3,6 218:12 180:11 214:12 39:24 40:6,13 217:13 222:20,23 80:7 137:19 present 33:12 222:9 226:8
37:1 38:3,12,17 212:5,5 213:9 220:8 200:4 217:4 146:17,18 159:12 38:21,25 39:4,13 214:19 215:2,2,8 position 17:3,6 218:12 180:11 214:12 39:24 40:6,13 217:13 222:20,23 80:7 137:19 present 33:12 222:9 226:8
38:21,25 39:4,13 214:19 215:2,2,8 position 17:3,6 218:12 180:11 214:12 39:24 40:6,13 217:13 222:20,23 80:7 137:19 present 33:12 222:9 226:8
39:24 40:6,13 217:13 222:20,23 80:7 137:19 present 33:12 222:9 226:8
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
41.0.600.40.10. 000.0.000.600.4.5 140.15.144.4 00.15.100.10 00.55.00.000.10
41:3,6,22 42:1,3 223:2 228:6 234:5 143:15 144:4 89:17 199:19 227:23 229:19
43:13 51:12 52:4 234:24 236:12,14 185:7 200:5 201:11,13 230:3
52:23 53:11,14,21 236:24 237:14 positional 157:5,6 206:10 207:5 prioritized 104:3
53:23 54:12,16,25 238:18 240:14 positive 247:5 presentation 71:15 prioritizing 79:16
55:1,4 56:5 57:10 241:11 243:18 possession 85:8 155:6 priority 66:8,10
58:22 59:1,3 61:7 244:16 247:25 164:20 230:12 presentations 52:8 76:11,15 103:24
62:5,14 63:1,8
64:13 65:12 67:24 251:18 254:18,20 140:9 173:21 37:15 83:22 prisoner 114:16,13
68:3,9 70:3,11,14 256:14 211:12 216:13 125:18 127:5 private 11:13
73:3 78:12 79:13 policies 25:3 27:17 219:14 226:4 195:8 251:10 privilege 165:15
80:14 81:7 84:20 27:18 53:14 78:5 possibly 148:20 preservation privileged 165:17
86:16,22 87:1,6,9 121:17 123:7 165:23 184:9 184:22 probably 8:12
87:18,19 91:25 152:1,18 153:6,14 post2010 122:22 preserve 185:3 19:10 50:9 78:8
97:15 99:13 153:19 155:24 postcommission president 143:5,7 80:12 82:18 94:1
102:19,21 105:25 156:15 159:22 66:24 press 183:14 106:24 140:16
106:3 108:8 109:2 208:16 209:8 postlabor 171:12 pressure 222:1 175:3 225:12
113:12 115:1 213:22 219:11,17 potential 74:8 presumably 67:16 probe 149:11,20
118:17 120:17,21 236:16 237:3,16 potentially 132:15 226:16 150:9,10 151:2
121:8,19 124:22
125:11,15 126:18 policing 142:13,24 pour 182:2 pretty 61:14 63:14 problematic 77:7
131:8 132:7 policy 30:3 38:13 practice 196:16 129:13 165:6,8 problems 217:22
134:16 137:3 43:12 55:12 practices 21:15 171:24 174:12 227:7
142:2,5,9,9,11,22
142:23 143:3,15 122:4,24 123:5,6 123:11 183:21 254:15 76:16,18 122:13
143:20,24 144:4
159:3 160:13
166:25 167:3 126:4,10,18 preapproved previous 97:25 178:17 180:10
169:21,22 170:1 131:20 132:2,9 239:25 112:6 240:13
170:21 173:24
174:4 176:7,8,12
176:24 177:11
180:3 181:16
182:23 184:12
185:10,18 186:9 228:7,9 240:13,21 pregnant 156:3 primary 214:17,17 209:9 219:11,17
186:20 191:16 poorly 70:8 preliminary 84:1 principal 11:19 236:16 237:3
192:20 198:25 portage 172:4 preparation 222:10 principles 35:7 246:9 253:8
203:24 205:9 portal 234:11,21 prior 12:19 14:7 proceeding 242:22
206:10 208:3,13 portion 66:24 prepared 224:8 23:12 25:11 26:6 proceedings 112:2
208:19 209:3,4,9
208.19 209.3,4,9 149.0 108.4 249.18 40.10 49.1,24 process 9.10 17.9 210:7 211:17,18 169:17 253:22 preprinted 234:12 57:16 73:6 84:15 25:17,20 26:3
210.7 211.17,10 107.17 233.22 preprinted 234.12 37.10 73.0 04.13 23.17,20 20.3
I I I

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 92 of 103 PageID #: 2258

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 290
27:11 29:8,23	100:17 101:16,24	psychosis 210:13	70:9 96:20 98:23	rare 236:15,25,25
32:22 37:9 46:20	122:22 132:17	psychotherapist	99:22 120:24	rarely 197:2
89:7,9 128:8	146:11	165:15	121:2 131:17	rationale 253:18
195:14 196:8,9	promulgating	public 3:8 12:6	136:23 138:1	raw 127:10
197:19 204:21	122:4	205:11 213:25	150.25 158.1	reach 231:19
205:13 208:2,5,7		214:5 258:5	170:5 188:15	233:14
	prongs 167:16 proof 204:24	259:20 263:18		read 22:2,6 53:16
processing 260:15	-	266:13	194:1 199:18	131:19 149:18
produce 112:1	proofs 30:2 204:21		202:21 206:7 212:2 213:21	150:7 168:25
165:1,9,21 166:1	proper 193:5	pull 128:16 255:10		
166:4,7	properly 218:4	pulled 114:4	217:23 218:7	181:3 193:4
produced 165:12	proponent 61:8	punching 172:22	221:12,22 229:5	220:24 221:12
227:18	proposition 77:8	punitively 221:8	229:14 231:25	252:9 253:6
product 208:24	218:18	222:5	236:21 241:10	257:11 260:10,12
production 260:23	propounded 7:22	purchase 48:12,20	242:4,14,18 246:5	261:1,4,7,10,13
professional 51:14	259:2,6	48:23 49:1,8,15	250:12,20 252:10	261:16,19,22
80:5 81:11,13	prospect 19:3	49:24 146:22,25	252:10 253:2	262:1,4,7,10,13
82:13 96:11	protect 42:7 213:25	162:25	255:17 257:2,3	262:16,19,22
143:19	214:5	purpose 49:21	questioning 98:11	263:2
professionalism	protective 112:18	213:21 255:18	113:5	reading 191:8
40:20	112:20 113:2	purposes 185:24	questions 7:24 8:11	194:17
professionally	164:23	200:7 204:15	8:16 9:15 89:14	reads 53:10 150:4
143:2	protocol 196:4	221:8 222:5	120:5 145:15	real 204:6
proficient 226:18	protocols 139:16	245:20	153:2 180:19	really 10:6 71:15
profiling 44:20	prove 116:17	pursuant 113:1	181:2 182:11	152:11 161:3
program 16:1	proved 236:18	126:3,17 258:8	186:1 189:25	164:17 165:20
46:22,25 56:23	provide 10:24 12:1	put 46:4 71:25	205:25 206:2	236:3
57:14 58:15 59:3	43:12 50:13,15,16	93:11 98:2,8	207:2,15,19,23,25	reason 29:17 33:11
63:20 64:7 65:2,9	74:14,20 83:16	119:6 135:3 163:2	241:4,7 243:8,12	57:20 85:2 86:6
65:17 75:6 123:4	90:18 117:4	182:25 183:1	244:20,23 250:1,7	92:17 93:21
progress 256:16	119:24 120:4	187:16 198:19	255:2,4 259:2,5	143:19 170:24
257:6	142:22,24 144:11	208:25 229:10	quick 161:3 204:6	206:22 251:16
progressive 103:1	159:3 195:13	putting 104:23	quite 33:21 61:19	253:8,20 261:2,5
103:19,23 104:11	provided 44:12	201:17	74:5 117:21	261:8,11,14,17,20
prohibition 154:2	82:1 144:8 149:9		147:14 177:22	261:23 262:2,5,8
154:25 155:7	162:3 188:3 192:4	Q	227:7	262:11,14,17,20
project 10:7,8 12:2	192:10 193:9	qualifications 55:8	quote 39:8	262:23
60:24	201:10 254:17	qualified 56:1		reasonable 217:7
projects 10:19 11:2	provider 43:20	241:25 258:6	R	217:20 231:2,12
11:10,22,25	53:12 56:23	qualifier 255:23	r 1:5 2:9 4:4	231:21 232:9
promoted 13:16	176:19	qualify 251:22	racial 44:20	233:17
22:25	providing 185:11	quarterly 235:21	racist 178:4	reasonably 111:23
prompt 260:16	pspclaw 5:10,11	quash 164:23	radio 176:15	112:4 236:4
promulgate 62:1	psychological	question 8:17,20,21	239:23	reasons 113:14
promulgated 79:3	210:18	9:19 24:12 60:1	radios 176:7	reassess 165:19,25
L_	•	-	-	•

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 93 of 103 PageID #: 2259

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

Page 291

				Page 291
recall 42:12 49:19	recertifications	referred 39:3 82:12	195:24 196:5	renee 1:4 2:8 4:3
51:7 74:15 75:9	99:12,14	176:2 202:1	221:12	7:9
100:22 113:22	recertified 51:25	referring 53:19	relating 90:14	renovated 184:12
122:12 137:17	99:24 100:7,9	99:19 134:7	186:5	repeat 8:16,19
145:7 157:18	recertify 99:17	154:15,16,18	relations 53:4	141:18 149:17
161:17,18,20,24	recess 77:22 164:14	193:2 194:3	142:24	250:20
163:15 171:16	204:10 238:14	213:20 226:2	relationship 22:22	repeating 178:6
172:8 173:23	recognize 131:12	refers 36:16 44:8	51:13	206:17,18
174:3 179:18	recognizes 131:21	192:25 194:8	relayed 155:4	repetitive 159:21
180:15 181:8	recollection 148:6	reflect 138:9	released 188:5	rephrase 8:16,20
189:1 194:10	recommendation	194:20	200:25 201:21	212:2 218:8
199:12 204:16	94:9 167:9	refresh 190:1 191:9	232:13	250:22,23
205:19 218:7	recommendations	193:11	relevant 228:13,22	replace 135:16
225:11 227:4	163:6,13,16	refused 172:23	relied 32:1 208:23	reply 7:21
239:6 242:7,18	reconsider 165:20	188:10	rely 54:16 127:25	report 21:14,25
243:13 254:5,10	record 7:1,7 8:1	refuses 135:23	208:24	22:2,6 24:13
254:22	34:4,5 35:15	regard 165:11	remarks 259:3	33:15 34:20,23
receipt 198:25	57:15 77:20,24	215:20 219:11	remedied 106:12	56:14 94:19,21
receive 16:2 20:17	98:18 149:18	224:8 225:15	106:19 112:8	105:25 106:4
22:6 25:14 43:19	161:8 164:12,16	227:18	remember 18:15	107:11,15,18,19
48:2,4 53:13 54:7	166:16 182:15	regarding 111:21	32:14 33:24 42:6	107:11,13,18,19
55:13 56:21 58:3	204:8,11 220:25	111:21,22 125:15	49:22 50:1,19	108:17 109:2,12
63:25 64:3,8,12	238:10,12,15	164:24 222:3	57:19 60:16 61:10	167:14,18 169:22
64:15 67:18 68:8	257:14	228:13 234:7	66:7 67:16 68:16	170:1,2 173:24,24
71:8,18 81:15	recorded 240:7	242:5,14,21 243:9	71:7,21 79:8	178:21 180:14,18
86:4,10,15 90:16	recordings 240:9	243:12	86:14,19,24 100:5	181:11 183:3
141:1 174:8	records 56:6,19	regardless 26:13,14	102:1,4 114:2	184:19 187:22
181:15 185:2	57:12,17 164:19	172:7	115:3,10,14	188:5 189:23,24
200:22 206:24	228:12 255:11	regular 65:25	122:10 125:4,7,13	189:25 190:19,25
received 21:25	recruit 53:12,19	235:25 255:18	130:7,10,11	191:2,3 196:14,17
25:22 51:17,22	redact 165:9 166:7	regularly 23:5	148:22 160:20,21	197:6 198:5
52:2 55:20 71:19	redaction 165:10	regulations 133:6	163:22 171:24	199:23 200:2,20
78:18 86:20 92:18	redactions 166:1,4	rehearsed 71:15	173:5,9,9,11	200:22,24 201:10
111:4 116:5	reduced 82:6	rejected 33:23	174:2,16,22 175:2	201:19 202:15
154:17 157:8	refer 40:4 123:3	rejis 124:4 225:16	175:7 178:9	214:16 222:21,24
162:10 192:3	137:1 166:21	relate 228:25	180:12 193:25	223:2,3 236:17
196:18,22 200:24	250:8	related 113:5 115:1	206:17 235:21	237:1,14,14
206:23 255:6	reference 29:18,25	115:7 143:11	241:9,13 252:2	238:18 250:9
receives 53:23	30:5 36:24,25,25	164:17 199:24	254:8,24 255:9	251:3,9,15,22,25
receiving 99:3	37:13 124:9	219:12 259:11	remembered 98:12	253:4,8,10,22
161:18 173:7,23	238:19	relates 23:19	remove 188:10	254:6,10,23 255:7
254:16	referenced 94:14	115:13 157:17	render 249:18	reported 24:8,10
recertification	124:7 152:4	163:12 173:25	rendered 57:3	24:15 88:3 214:20
100:1,11,15	260:10	174:5 180:4	90:14	258:23
			- ••	
	-	-	-	•

FAX 314-241-6750

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 94 of 103 PageID #: 2260

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				rage 272
reporter 7:17 9:13	66:25 139:1,5	74:3 101:17	reviewed 27:17	260:10
149:18 164:5,7	167:4 244:6	108:20 131:8	37:16 38:5,7	rights 41:1 81:19
216:19	research 31:13,21	212:14	138:13 161:15	181:12
reporting 105:3	32:9,15 46:23	responsible 38:12	170:14 222:9,16	riots 184:12
106:6 119:15,16	142:5 143:4	38:15 39:19	251:17 252:6	risk 155:21 156:2
167:6 169:16	researchers 142:10	102:20 169:15	253:9,14,23	249:6,9
170:14 237:25	resign 18:14,21,25	226:12,16	reviewing 46:21	risks 249:12
260:1,23 266:5	19:13 20:1,4	responsibly 131:11	85:24 92:21 106:5	road 101:17 112:8
reports 95:1 106:5	21:13 22:8	result 102:6 109:7	111:6 130:12	166:10 172:20
108:1,21 110:1	resignation 40:11	resulted 111:11	169:21 196:19	roadmap 78:16
119:18,25 170:2	200:17	results 128:18	197:3 254:5,9,23	roadway 135:23
170:18 173:25	resigned 10:12	retain 240:22	reviews 251:4	robert 5:4 260:3,9
194:5,8 195:4,4,6	18:11,20 19:18	retained 6:25 8:4	revise 28:1 32:19	rogers 1:4 2:8 4:3
197:3,24 198:13	20:8,16 90:22	240:20	74:8 123:22	7:9
227:12 234:25	118:14 123:18	retaining 64:7	revised 24:20,24	role 22:10 45:2
235:8,15 237:6	181:19	retention 124:24	26:13 27:8 29:1	143:24
238:3 250:17	resigning 10:10	125:11 126:4,10	37:16 73:13	rolled 76:22,24
251:17 253:23	11:3 21:23	126:13,18	123:18 124:3	room 201:14
represent 9:6	resist 216:5	retired 17:23,24	137:16 142:1	rotated 80:7,11
207:21	resistance 135:22	20:10 63:18 227:3	revising 27:19	rotating 73:20
representative 1:2	136:15 221:25	retirees 68:14	30:11 33:2	124:1
2:6 56:4 163:4	248:7	retirement 17:4	revision 79:20	rotation 69:19 70:4
205:15	resistant 136:1,3	retrieves 197:2	123:24 146:4	72:14
representatives	resource 146:13	return 173:15	revolver 246:3	roughly 226:9
28:10 49:7,20,23	resources 30:13	188:5 260:14	rifle 134:17	routed 83:16 84:25
84:20 87:23	31:5 87:15,23	returned 37:17	right 10:2,8,20 24:5	85:20
191:21	88:4 192:22	returning 173:8	27:24 30:5,15	routine 179:10
represented 186:7	respect 131:25	180:11	48:9 62:12 70:2	rpr 3:7 258:4
242:17,20,24	236:2 242:9,11,16	revamp 26:20	72:1 112:2 126:23	rude 9:8
reprimand 103:12	244:2,8	review 33:7,12	129:6 133:20	rules 152:12,14
request 9:1 165:6	respects 131:13,21	34:11,17 45:3,4	135:1 149:23	155:20 159:23
requested 240:19	259:4	81:15 83:22 84:1	153:4 161:7 164:9	0
requests 184:4,5,15	respond 168:24	85:21 107:10,15	165:25 171:7	runs 12:17
184:16,18	172:23 217:18	107:17 108:1,18	173:23 176:9	rush 183:9,10
require 32:23	responded 175:13	109:25 138:18	193:20 202:16	rushed 182:7,8,9,9
required 25:3	responders 63:4	148:7 152:21,25	206:4,5,11 208:1	<u> </u>
44:20 53:7 108:10	responding 117:16	174:1 178:11,15	208:20,22 210:2	
109:2,13,19	responds 169:3	178:19 179:2	212:19,19 213:12	s 1:7,13 2:11,17 5:3
195:13 196:9	response 62:23	181:19 191:21	216:6 226:11	5:5 142:14 144:3
218:14 231:7	206:8	197:18,21 198:10	227:19 228:12	243:17,17 244:2,5
243:22,24 244:9	responsibilities	198:15 205:4	231:16 236:6	244:11 247:2
244:10,18	120:12	222:20 227:17	238:24,24 240:5,8	safe 139:11 245:8
requirement 55:11	responsibility	250:16,17 251:4	244:18 245:25	safeguards 113:10
requirements	30:16 42:16,20	252:11 253:7,22	246:3 254:3 256:2	safely 215:4,9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 95 of 103 PageID #: 2261

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

Page 293

				Page 293
safer 45:25	78:3 87:8 99:9,20	204:18,19 205:5	110:8,10 111:15	shes 127:22
safety 64:9 205:11	149:3,6 159:18,24	234:12	113:15,17 226:23	shift 72:15 73:20
211:13 256:21	219:6 232:12,21	sending 65:13	sergeants 59:2,18	73:20 74:12,19
257:8	233:4,16 238:11	70:21	60:9 72:9 81:7	75:3 77:4 107:14
salaried 173:22	secondguess 220:4	sense 91:24 205:5	series 8:11 153:2	108:5,12 110:15
salary 20:17	seconds 154:4	211:16 218:10,14	serious 155:21	169:11 245:2,10
sar 60:6	155:1,12,19	sent 75:20 87:20	156:2	shifts 70:19 72:4,11
sat 47:5 99:9	231:18	117:3,16 148:6	serve 42:7	72:14 124:2,2
save 117:15	section 62:24	158:5 170:18	served 184:23,25	short 74:8 133:20
saved 117:18	220:21	204:14 205:3	service 15:17 124:6	204:4
saw 24:23 39:2	security 10:24 11:1	250:17	176:19,22	shortcircuit 35:3
158:7 222:23	11:13	sentence 193:4	services 11:13 12:1	shorter 228:10
223:4	see 29:17 30:5	220:24	142:13 175:20	shorthand 258:24
saying 42:5 97:21	36:14 41:9 53:7	separate 38:11 41:8	set 26:20 28:1	shotgun 134:12
141:4,12 182:15	53:18 72:22 91:8	41:21 62:6 63:9	32:15 40:16 76:20	shouldnt 256:16
185:20 186:14	91:14 94:5,11	67:11 76:25 87:25	80:9 96:4 104:9	show 71:1 78:5
196:22 222:6	98:5,6 103:23	90:7,20,21 95:10	104:12 119:2	160:25 173:14,19
245:15	114:3 119:16	134:8 177:2 202:8	259:1 266:8	shown 258:22
says 7:21 96:11	120:19 121:3,13	240:9	seven 79:23	siblings 179:25
151:8 156:22	125:21 126:11	separated 25:23	severe 129:20	sick 74:9
222:4 238:20	131:2 146:7,9	95:15	133:1	side 39:8 41:19,25
scanned 227:14	154:6 156:13	separately 90:8	severity 111:8	213:1 249:12
scare 26:17	157:11 166:6,25	92:4 240:17	130:3	sidearm 246:3
scenario 132:25	168:4 169:16	separation 21:10	shafaie 5:5,11 7:14	sign 37:23 117:14
224:15	187:9,14,14 188:7	september 1:17 3:6	7:14 165:15	122:19 260:10,13
scene 140:10,13,19	190:2 198:3,8	7:2 16:9 75:10,14	193:21	signature 31:7,23
168:24 169:3,13	201:24 220:22	81:3 85:5 109:16	shaw 16:20,21,25	32:11 33:3 35:25
170:10 172:23	233:9 237:13	110:4 132:6	18:11 19:12,17	36:15 146:24
176:17 223:25	238:20,24 249:3	133:22 134:18	20:4 32:17 33:4,7	259:7 260:11,13
239:18,19,20,25	250:20 251:14	137:15 146:3	33:12,23 34:23	260:15 263:4
240:1	253:19	152:2,15 153:8,20	96:17 97:8,15	signed 37:25 76:10
schedule 69:19	seeing 125:13	154:11,20 155:25	111:5,11 127:5	78:6 123:13,15
73:2 74:4	129:12 209:19	156:17 157:5	128:21 129:2	146:16,21 157:15
scheduled 51:1	253:4	158:15 159:13	130:12 195:9	158:14
schedules 73:1,9	seek 243:4	160:4,14 169:3	199:6,8 200:1	signin 56:19 57:5
scheduling 72:7	seen 57:16 80:23	170:23 176:20	203:15	57:23,25 58:4
73:23	122:20 161:12	196:6 223:5	shaws 18:16	similar 46:14 71:18
scholarly 142:10	166:2 223:2,3	227:20,23 245:11	sheet 57:5,23,25	77:2 118:3 122:19
school 15:10	selected 48:1 69:13	246:2,10 258:16	58:4	127:13 141:12,13
113:16	self 220:16	259:14 260:2,6	sheets 56:19 260:11	185:24 195:7
scope 192:9,13	send 49:20,23	sergeant 13:16,17	260:13,13,14	simmons 127:18,19
se 210:8	65:14,22 66:6	14:2,4 24:3 52:25	sheldon 205:18	127:25 128:10
seal 259:13 266:10	116:24,25 117:12	60:19 63:15 77:12	sheriffs 211:20	235:10,12,13
second 11:8,12	117:14 180:10	77:12,14,16 110:7	212:6	simms 235:11

FAX 314-241-6750

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 96 of 103 PageID #: 2262

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 294
simply 177.22	106:7 109:7	219:4,5,6 220:14	254:8	266:7
simply 177:22 201:16 203:1	138:21 139:17	221:19 222:20	specifically 32:15	staff 17:12 37:18
256:21	141:8 219:20	231:24 235:9	76:21 102:1,4	38:7 45:25 46:20
sincerely 260:21	223:21 224:16	242:15	123:1 150:5 151:9	61:20,21,25 63:24
sir 7:25 8:9,16,19	225:14 232:21	sort 10:6 225:18	158:19 160:18	65:12,19,23,25
	233:2	239:25	167:16 178:9	74:12,16 80:8,14
9:7,17 10:5,20	situational 220:3	sound 193:20 226:9	194:2 206:15	staffed 70:5
11:10,20 12:12	232:17			
13:11 15:10 19:9		258:18	242:22 244:13	staffing 69:9 70:17
25:6 27:2 29:21	situations 74:21,22	sounds 23:11 25:19	254:20,24	70:18,22 71:3
33:3 34:6,8 37:11	210:5,10 248:7	166:12 226:11	specifics 216:11	72:2,2,4 73:2,17
43:3 46:15 58:21	six 80:12,17 89:23	source 29:20 30:10	specious 256:13	73:23 74:1,11
60:4,22 71:11	194:21 225:12	136:25 182:13,16	speculation 19:20	stamped 82:22
72:22 73:3 75:8	231:18	190:10,11 195:3	49:9 105:19 125:5	stand 25:6 130:11
78:20 79:3 84:9	sixtwo 225:4,13	sources 27:24 28:2	140:6 182:19	standard 30:1,3
89:8 95:8,18 99:5	size 91:2	31:20 32:7,8	236:9	119:2 137:16
103:16 105:11	small 91:3	43:16 46:14,14,16	spell 17:17	168:11 243:18,19
109:13 113:23	smith 201:8	52:3 144:19 145:7	spelling 230:24	standards 29:24,24
114:14 119:16	snlj 2:13,14	145:10 147:5	spirit 206:23,24	30:1 80:5 81:11
122:8 134:10	snodgrass 3:3 5:6	186:18 187:12	spoke 185:22	81:13 82:13 96:11
136:18 137:7,15	258:14 260:4	198:4,14	spoken 223:12,17	118:8,9,23 119:13
139:22 141:25	265:9	south 3:3 5:7	spot 108:1	120:19 121:5
143:3 145:21	soft 217:4 218:12	258:14 260:4	spouse 207:7,12,21	123:1,8 137:4,5,5
147:12 149:3,25	software 226:13,21	265:10	spray 135:18,24	137:12,13 140:1
150:23 152:8	sole 110:20,23	space 247:14	241:12,18 245:2	147:7,7,8 148:8
153:1 154:14	solely 54:16 163:9	speak 48:25 49:6	245:16,24 246:2	243:19
156:8 157:1,21	somebody 18:7,21	174:14,25 175:5,8	246:11 247:12,21	standardsize 91:10
166:25 167:24	24:12 39:8 52:17	175:12 177:4,8	248:1,3,9,14,23	standing 114:4
177:8,20 178:3,23	52:18 64:20 74:23	198:6 211:1	249:6,14	start 27:14,24
179:13 180:15	82:14 92:10 98:7	special 131:21	sprayed 248:15,17	111:17 138:4
181:1 184:5	102:24 136:2	specific 31:8,25	248:20	170:8 187:1 190:8
195:18 196:10	181:24 240:15	33:10 53:13,24	ss 258:2	192:17 245:1
197:22 198:21	247:21 253:5	54:13 55:13 57:7	st 3:4 4:16 5:8 8:9	started 13:12 14:24
201:10,24 203:6	someones 55:8	59:14 64:19 70:24	12:20,23 14:11	27:11,18 29:6
209:13 213:21	somewhat 118:3	71:6,21 73:10,11	16:6 22:25 24:16	55:24 56:8 60:5
234:9 235:14	son 113:17,18	75:4,9,22 76:17	28:4,6 29:15	65:13 66:4 68:12
238:1,17 240:8	soon 108:19	76:20 86:7,14	45:22 46:20,25	72:13 77:13 79:6
241:4,5 244:24	sorry 12:24 28:18	97:13 100:22	47:6 58:22 62:5	84:14,16 87:18
246:25 250:3,5	58:13 60:3 67:5	109:11 115:3	62:10,11,13,13,17	90:5,10 103:24
255:2,5 256:7	76:23 77:15 79:25	131:7 136:22	62:21 63:7 68:9	131:4 163:3
sit 47:8 159:17	90:2 103:25 125:6	144:10 147:15,24	68:13 75:24 76:6	183:12 197:19
223:19	130:8 137:6 139:2	155:13 156:13	137:8 145:12	234:23 241:20
site 23:9 32:14,15	149:16 167:24	160:8,11 163:18	236:13 258:3,15	starting 71:16 95:4
234:13	177:23 194:14,17	197:15 219:16	259:13 260:5,24	118:12 187:9
situation 63:2	213:16 216:8,19	237:23 250:10	264:19 265:5,11	starts 136:16 168:3
				2,332 22 22 33 100.2
	•	•	•	·

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 97 of 103 PageID #: 2263

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Tage 233
state 3:4 7:25 25:4	stories 256:13	subsequent 104:5	168:23 169:5,6,15	suspects 141:23
27:12 31:11 39:9	strategies 59:23	substance 49:13	201:7 229:8	suspension 111:9
44:21 137:5	street 3:3 5:7 59:19	122:11 154:22	supervisors 60:11	suspensions 114:25
141:23 166:7	60:11 62:12 65:18	161:20 206:12	60:11 66:16 67:18	sustained 94:6,6
211:5 242:6	68:18 69:3 76:13	substantiated	74:6 89:20,23	116:18
243:20 244:3,18	82:11 83:13	93:25 116:9	106:5 108:20	swat 248:13
247:21 248:5	121:20 135:4	suffering 210:16	124:12 167:22	swear 7:17
253:15 258:1,5,15	190:23 191:4	sufficient 54:17,22	178:21 196:14,19	switch 57:18 78:3
259:21	209:19 258:14	55:4 217:25 218:3	197:8,12 214:20	switched 124:5,5
stated 104:2 119:7	260:4,24 265:10	232:22 233:5	224:6 229:9,20	225:25
148:8 164:21	266:6	suggest 9:7 218:3	230:13,20	sworn 7:19 118:10
206:21	strike 69:10 96:19	suggests 146:10	supervisory 214:18	120:21 258:20
statement 35:14	121:10 149:12,20	suicidal 210:11	supplemental 54:9	263:12
40:4,5 41:5,18,21	150:11 151:2,23	suit 259:10	170:2 173:25	synonymously
121:11 197:4,5	159:20	suite 3:4 4:7,15 5:7	supplied 23:3	151:19
198:2 207:6 220:2	strive 123:11	258:14 260:4	support 188:4	system 124:4
264:9 266:9	stroke 105:13	264:18 265:4,10	supporting 188:13	225:16 228:10
statements 41:8	strong 182:24	266:6	188:22	235:16 239:24
182:22,22 206:9	studies 67:5,6	summaries 94:13	suppose 225:8	240:4,10,12
238:3	stuff 165:16 183:17	96:2,19,22 97:22	supposed 114:17	
states 2:1 55:12	256:5	97:23,24 127:1,7	sure 9:8 11:22	<u> </u>
181:12 243:20	stun 187:15 188:8	203:7 204:14	22:13 26:16 27:6	t 4:13 5:4 243:17,17
258:10	252:23	205:2	31:9 32:3 33:9	244:2,5,11 247:2
static 219:19	subheader 132:9	summarized 165:6	35:6 37:21 41:12	260:3,9
stating 117:1	187:9	summary 98:3	42:8,21 43:10	tactical 13:14,17
station 161:22	subheading 53:6	125:18,23 127:12	48:7 49:18 50:6	23:13
177:11 184:12	subject 112:1,18	127:16 128:4,14	59:19,25 61:14	take 8:24 17:14
statistical 94:13	179:9 217:4	129:3,5,8 195:8,9	62:20 63:14 67:19	28:10 51:3 60:7
96:1,18,22 125:14	218:19 221:18	196:15,19 202:7	70:4,18 72:10	67:3 69:7,11,13
125:21 126:2	223:24 242:7,12	202:11,19,25	76:12,16 78:7,9	69:20 70:13 77:17
statistics 95:2	subjects 207:25	203:8 205:22	85:2,4 92:24 93:4	95:17,19 122:16
214:11,22,23	submit 108:10	supervise 215:8	108:21 112:25	128:9 141:23
234:7,19,25	167:8 234:6	supervision 39:23	129:13 144:18	152:25 161:2
stats 95:6	submitted 108:21	supervisor 14:12	169:10 171:25	165:3 180:7 182:6
status 199:23	200:17 203:7	23:24 77:5,10	174:16 175:2	196:5 204:4 229:8
stay 204:22 231:22	subordinate 93:1,3	83:13 85:15 88:11	180:25 186:25	241:17 takehome 114:5
233:15	93:5 124:15	92:23,25,25 93:2	192:11 204:5	taken 3:1 7:4 68:8
step 169:25	subparagraph	93:6 103:11	210:10 228:20	77:22 164:14
stephanie 32:1	187:8	105:22 106:11	surrounded 49:15	204:10 238:14
243:3,5	subpoena 164:24	107:10,13,14,18	surrounding 45:22	263:3
stopped 124:4	165:5	107:22 108:5,11	141:22 223:14	takes 65:17
172:24	subpoenas 184:16	109:25 110:4,15	survey 65:1	talk 87:7 130:18
stops 39:9 107:22	subscribed 263:12	124:11,14 167:7	suspect 64:18 98:7	158:9 174:21
store 226:7	subsection 168:5	167:19,22 168:23	102:11 242:7,12	150.71/7.21
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 98 of 103 PageID #: 2264

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Page 296
200.0 204 6 214 4	140.0 11 10 150 0	41	26.0 10 20 0	106.14.16.17
200:9 204:6 214:4	149:9,11,19 150:9	techniques 140:13	36:9,10 38:9	186:14,16,17
talked 36:11 61:19	150:10 151:1,21	140:25 141:16	39:13 44:15 64:6	188:23 196:21
152:19 209:11	152:1,9,15,20	technologies 226:1	94:6,11 97:2	197:3 202:11,11
214:23 239:5	153:9,21 154:10	technology 95:24	103:4,8 135:10,15	204:2 206:24
talking 44:24 55:7	154:11 155:1,10	226:21	135:20 144:15	207:14 208:12,18
60:20 66:2 99:2	156:1,17 157:8,18	telephone 171:22	185:11 192:9	208:18 209:7,8
138:21,24 149:22	157:23,24 158:4	tell 17:10,21 18:19	testified 191:18	210:17 212:11,15
162:22 194:5	158:10,15 159:5	19:4 21:22 22:20	206:15 258:22	214:2,15,15,16
211:19 214:4	159:14 160:2,12	24:22 48:12 49:13	testify 7:19 216:11	216:24 218:5,5
217:3 219:2,8	160:14 162:3,6	51:6 91:5 93:15	258:20	219:2,5 221:3,6
talks 66:24 187:3	163:9,25 164:19	96:6 122:23	testimony 120:4	221:11,20,23
tall 225:9	165:20,21 167:11	128:10,12 146:6	180:15 202:2	222:2,6,15 223:10
tape 91:8 141:19	167:14,16,18	146:12 148:1	204:16 258:23	224:13,20 240:12
239:22	171:18 195:20	162:24 177:20	259:1 263:5	242:10,13,23
tapes 240:17,19	208:23 215:20	201:5,18 221:13	thank 7:16 13:6	243:21,24 245:4
target 149:8	217:5 218:13	222:9 224:8 226:5	34:6 64:20 82:23	248:19,19 249:9
targeted 150:5	221:7 222:4	230:19 236:3	166:14 172:19	256:21
151:9	232:13 241:18	telling 193:13	191:7 195:18	thereof 39:25
tase 252:20	245:7,10 246:3,13	233:15	207:16 219:3	theres 24:11,11
tased 52:10 172:24	253:5 254:22	template 82:18	230:23 235:13	26:17 53:6 54:11
231:17,18 233:3	tasercertified 99:12	temporally 168:4	241:4,5 250:3,5	77:7 79:20 119:5
233:15	tasers 48:21,23	192:14	255:2 257:14	122:7 152:9
taser 16:14,17	49:16 146:22	temporarily 18:3	thanks 161:6	167:14 178:13,15
44:24 45:14,16,21	147:1,2 162:22,25	temporary 10:24	thats 8:4,8 10:2	178:17 190:21
46:8,17,22,25	163:20 208:3,10	tendency 9:20	12:22 13:22 14:25	202:18,18 210:10
47:2,5,9,15,19,22	241:12,21 245:2	tender 164:22	28:19 29:22,22	210:13,13 220:21
48:2,4,9,13,17,24	taserspecific	tens 184:8	34:22 35:17 36:8	231:19 233:14,16
48:25 49:1,7,8,14	216:10	tenure 12:10 22:24	37:23 39:7 40:3	238:23,23 240:13
49:17,20,23,24	task 13:22 23:2,4,7	67:25 75:17,20	40:17 41:2 44:4	243:23
50:13,14,21,23	23:16,20,21,25	79:9 94:25 97:15	48:19 50:11 55:16	thereto 259:4
51:4,17,25 52:2,7	24:9,13,14 61:15	103:21 110:25	73:14 79:24 89:14	theyre 26:2 30:2
52:9,12,22 57:7,9	taught 62:23	130:25	92:9 101:3,7,9	44:2 59:19 63:22
58:2 86:21 99:3	taxed 265:1,7	term 12:16 58:14	104:10,12 105:2	68:18,21 120:4
99:18 100:15	teach 62:18	89:1 194:9 221:23	106:18 109:14	158:20 185:25
101:1 109:20,23	teaching 63:22	terminate 112:16	112:20 113:8,8	194:4 210:1
109:24 115:11,13	team 58:11 60:16	terminated 20:12	115:22 119:24	214:19 218:16
133:17 134:4,22	248:13	112:12 113:13,15	127:14 131:8	225:9 227:19
135:3,7,10,21	teamwork 40:18	114:15	134:8,11 136:3	231:18 238:2
136:2 138:17,17	tear 134:7,11,17	termination 20:1,5	145:4,15 154:6	247:13 249:7
138:24,25 139:1,5	208:25	107:6 108:11	156:20 157:1	theyve 231:17,17
141:22 142:1	technical 67:6	111:9,11 114:8	158:19,20 159:6	thickheaded
145:15,23 146:18	technically 20:22	terminations	161:7 167:3,25	202:13
147:10,11,16,22	85:17	114:19,23	170:5 176:2	thing 32:16 179:10
147:25 148:10	technique 139:10	terms 32:18 35:24	177:24 179:13	181:4 200:3
	-	-	-	-

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 99 of 103 PageID #: 2265

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

		_		rage 297
206:19,19 221:25	thought 26:14,18	219:21 222:23	224:7 256:15	159:14 162:5,12
222:1 225:19	66:11 213:19	223:4 231:7,12,21	tom 5:14 17:16	162:16,19 247:2
245:16	230:20 256:24	232:9,22 233:5,17	22:14	trainee 155:5
things 31:9,10 32:2	257:8	233:23 238:24	tongue 114:3	trainees 159:14
35:4 45:5 55:9	thousands 29:24	240:6,8 242:11	tool 132:23 140:9	trainer 52:22 59:3
62:2 79:12 82:9	184:8	250:3,9,13,25	140:20 208:10	68:13
90:25 91:8 104:25	threat 19:25 20:3	251:19 255:2	tools 140:18 245:25	training 16:2 42:13
113:4 114:16	218:24 219:18	256:3	246:18	42:16 43:5,11,12
124:7 142:21,25	220:7,13,16	timed 183:13	top 24:14 55:12	43:15,20,20,23,25
143:1 144:12	threats 113:19	timeline 239:17	91:14 121:4	44:5,9,11,16,18
163:6 165:23	three 25:17 72:13	timely 108:21	194:19 238:20	44:19,22,23,24
171:15 194:4	80:16,16 92:22	times 9:20 23:25	topic 61:16,17,25	45:7,9,10,13,14
204:22 205:6	195:1 230:14,15	40:7 49:11 50:9	62:2 73:12 160:11	45:17 46:5,17,25
208:1 211:2	threeyear 243:25	111:7 185:22	topics 44:16 45:11	47:5,8,9,18,20
240:17 256:19	thumb 95:21	237:13,17,20	62:21 67:2 78:3	48:2,4 49:15,21
think 13:22 30:6,21	tied 240:12	239:10	78:10 142:11	50:13,16,17,24
32:21 56:1 60:5	time 7:6 13:7 14:10	tina 1:1 2:5 4:12	163:7	51:1,3,17,21 52:3
60:19 62:3 63:19	14:13,15 16:1,22	7:4,11 207:21	torso 149:23	53:5,7,13,13,19
89:24 97:12,13	18:4,5 25:15	260:7 264:5	total 14:1 39:19	53:22 54:7,8,9,11
98:18 112:7 113:8	27:20 32:12 34:15	tip 114:3	171:9 265:6,12	54:13,17 55:4,5
114:17 115:2	36:3,7 40:7,9,10	title 12:14 30:22	totality 141:13,15	55:10,13,20,21,23
118:3 129:22	41:6,23 42:2 43:8	36:6,12 52:20	141:21 233:9	56:6,17 57:2,6,7,9
144:13 152:17	47:15 51:2 52:21	56:12 122:9 159:7	242:5,10	57:12,22 58:2
158:4 161:15,16	56:2 61:22 63:11	titled 43:4 120:12	totally 80:1	59:1,16 60:16
165:8 186:10	65:15 72:4 74:5	titles 36:10	touched 180:13	62:4 63:10,21
189:25 194:7	79:19 80:15 81:14	tjohnson 4:10	225:14	65:14 66:6,11,13
197:11 200:17	84:21 86:22 92:23	today 9:10 35:25	tour 108:12	66:15,17,21,22,25
206:8 210:6	99:20 122:3 123:3	50:10 86:23	towit 7:22	67:19 68:7,19,21
217:13 221:4	123:17 124:7	144:16 185:25	town 113:16	69:4,7,12,13,22
225:4,25 233:2,10 233:12 234:20,20	125:10 126:18 128:14 137:18,23	189:25 191:19 207:16 214:24	track 58:7 65:2 74:25	70:4,12,22 71:1,9 71:14,20 75:21
236:6 241:22	138:12,17,25	222:10,11 223:13	tracking 65:1 75:4	76:17 99:4 100:1
250.0 241.22 252:11 253:6	139:4 140:3 142:2	223:19 224:9	traffic 104:24	101:18 140:4,25
third 36:1,15	144:13,14 146:23	241:1 250:4	142:25	141:6 142:22
230:15	146:25 148:24	todays 7:2 257:13	tragic 177:23	143:1 144:8,11
thirtyone 13:4,5	161:17 166:24	todd 4:5 7:8 8:4	train 49:17 52:7,12	145:11 146:19
thirtysix 213:10	169:9 170:25	98:10	58:16 62:21 63:5	147:10,16,20,22
thomas 1:16 3:1	171:17 172:1	told 21:22 31:3	65:12 157:23	147:25 154:6,9,10
7:3,18 8:2 17:18	184:11 185:15	48:16 49:11 68:15	160:1 163:23,24	154:11,16,18
36:4 77:25 166:18	189:21 192:9	89:24 96:7 115:17	215:3	159:6,11,18,24
257:13 260:6	195:10 201:12	125:16 127:13	trained 58:18 59:8	160:8,12 162:1,10
263:1,10 264:11	203:18 209:16	128:11 129:2,9,13	60:12 65:21 67:24	208:21,24 209:3,3
thoroughness	216:20 217:2,5,7	177:22 183:16	68:4 76:7,14	209:8,12 211:9
252:11	217:20 218:21,23	197:2,7 223:15	139:25 140:23	213:22 216:10

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 100 of 103 PageID #: 2266

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

				Tage 270
243:13,19,21,23	197:20 198:10	233:13	61:17 65:12 77:3	47:14,21 48:17
243:24 244:1,13	210:2 216:11	uncommon 123:21	197:22 198:10	50:9,14,17 51:4
248:11,20 254:11	trying 9:8 112:2	unconstitutional	undertaking 46:24	51:25 52:6,7,9,12
254:16,17	181:10 186:18	252:15	undertaking 40.24 undertook 67:17	58:6 59:16 64:16
trainings 45:3	226:4 249:7	undercover 13:13	85:24 203:16	71:23 76:2,4
53:24 58:7 100:23	turn 220:20	undergoing 184:11	undetermined	79:13 86:17 96:3
102:2 254:22	turned 87:15	undergoing 164.11 underneath 36:14	258:10	98:8 99:18 102:6
trains 208:14	108:18	188:13,19	uneducated 14:4	105:3 109:5,11,19
transcribed 258:24	turnover 77:7	underreporting	unfortunate 64:17	109:20,22,23
transcript 239:10	two 11:8 14:1 15:11	203:23	unfounded 94:5	115:1,2,8,9,11,13
239:22 258:23	20:10 44:21 79:5	understand 8:9,15	116:19	115:16 130:18,21
259:5 260:12	80:22 89:14 90:6	8:21 9:11 10:12	unhealthy 121:24	130:22 131:2,3,9
263:2,5 264:15	91:5 171:10	12:10 14:7 18:11	uniform 29:19	132:12,22 135:10
265:1	194:20 205:21	20:14 24:17 27:4	36:25 113:19	135:12,20,21,25
transcripts 225:20	207:5 213:24	35:6,23 38:11	unintentional	136:2,15 138:17
227:9,10 239:6	230:12 244:24	41:13 59:21 62:25	105:5,8,17 106:9	139:5,18 141:16
240:16 266:1	twopage 196:13,18	72:20 78:7,10	106:18	142:1 149:9
transfer 176:10	type 22:22 32:15	82:9 108:8 128:8	union 21:8	151:18 152:1,6,15
transfers 176:12	42:4 44:16 58:17	138:1 142:20	unique 131:7	155:19 156:16,24
transform 106:16	96:2 132:14	146:15 166:5	unit 13:14 23:16	157:4,8 159:4,14
traumatic 174:20	135:15 137:22	175:9,13 177:5	90:7 163:4	160:2,13 161:23
trial 258:12	144:8 169:16	182:4,12,13	united 2:1 181:11	162:6 163:25
tried 26:20 95:19	174:3 187:17	183:13 185:2	258:10	167:8,10,11,15,18
trigger 216:6	195:19 205:3	207:7 213:19	units 38:21	170:15 175:20
232:13	221:25 222:1	215:1 221:22	unlesss 79:20	178:13,15,18
triggered 179:1	typed 239:24 240:2	224:16 228:20	unlimited 140:13	179:2 189:3,8,12
trip 172:3 173:15	types 45:5 56:16,16	231:13 247:17	unnecessary 213:4	191:9 196:6,8,19
tripled 254:15	93:10 121:18	255:16	unreasonably	197:3,8,13 198:17
trittler 61:6 63:14	132:24,25 210:12	understanding	213:4	203:23 208:3
true 29:2,3 36:4,5	211:1 214:11	8:17 9:23 19:17	unstable 74:23	211:23 212:7,13
36:18,19 37:2,3	254:17 256:19	99:25 126:10	122:6,14 139:16	212:15,19 213:3
	typewriting 258:25	155:14,17 162:9	141:7 153:21	213:23 214:12,12
109:10,16 119:18	typically 194:20	166:3 170:12	156:4	214:20,22 218:11
136:17 148:14,15	U	210:15 211:4,9	unsubstantiated	218:16,17 221:2
149:3 158:24		215:21 221:13,14	182:22 198:1	221:15 224:17
181:13 194:7	u 142:14 144:3	228:5 229:15	unusual 93:24	231:1,2,6 234:7
196:21 208:12	ultimate 42:15	247:11	110:14,17	234:10 241:17
236:4 259:4 263:5	101:17	understood 8:23	unverified 182:21	247:13 253:5
trust 28:12	ultimately 28:9	34:19 69:22	198:1	254:11,21 256:16
truth 7:19,20,20	42:21 72:14 102:20 140:10	113:21 186:4	updates 148:23	257:6
258:20,20,21	unable 216:5	207:12 229:5	updating 161:22	useofforce 44:23
truthful 106:6	unaccredited 25:12	236:6,9 245:8	upper 149:23	45:11 50:13,16
119:18,25 120:4	unaccredited 23:12 unarmed 224:23	249:2	use 16:14,16 29:20	98:6 132:2,24
try 9:19,22 91:15	unai meu 224.23	undertake 46:17	31:8 32:4 45:16	136:8,16 137:2,10
				<u> </u>

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 101 of 103 PageID #: 2267

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

	1	I	l	
various 69:23	violent 211:7	235:24 236:24	124:1 140:3	201:11 217:18,25
209:21 210:14	violence 79:15	200:10 206:16	121:11 123:17,23	185:19 186:14
variety 32:2 142:21	104:12,16,17,17	160:7 198:17	112:1 113:18	170:11 172:15,18
135:19	violations 104:8,8	wasnt 123:21 130:4	72:15 74:5 94:20	161:9 164:6,8
variables 123:23	237:3,16	110:22,22	65:4 67:21 71:9	99:6 139:4 161:6
40:22,25	188:20 236:18	93:17,20,25	56:22 57:11,24	82:24 98:22 99:2
values 40:15,18,20	151:7,12,19,24	83:21 84:5,6	26:19 28:23 32:9	witness 7:17 34:6
value 131:13,21,24	106:17,17,25	warranted 74:21	16:5,10 25:1	withdrawn 94:5
valleys 164:20	violation 104:24	warnings 209:1	14:17,23 15:10	withdraw 194:16
164:24	118:22	wanting 79:8	went 12:2 13:16	wished 18:25 19:13
valley 162:15,17,20	violates 109:3	225:19 243:4	wellston 62:14	willing 164:21
valid 88:17	119:2 236:16	98:18 140:8	224:23	william 4:13
217:24	violated 88:14	wanted 82:25 98:11	weigh 116:7 weighed 156:21,22	172:3
vague 170:5 217:16	view 178:10 viewing 46:21	236:3 250:8		wilderness 171:2
173:20	3:1 view 178:10	228:9 230:25	weeks 21:24 171:7 171:10	wife 177:9 179:25 223:18
vacation 171:14	videotaped 1:16	217:21,25 221:1	weekend 110:9	widespread 203:24
V	238:12,15 257:12	189:16 209:14	week 19:10 177:14	wideopen 247:18
uttered 197:1	166:16 204:8,11	186:23 187:1,8	249:23	widely 136:23
utilizes 137:23	77:20,23 164:12	181:3,7 182:3	231:19 249:21,21	210:13
168:12,14	7:1,16 9:13 34:3,8	159:20,22,23 161:2 166:6,21	158:21,21 161:23	wide 209:21 210:13
167:3,5 168:3,8	videographer 5:13		131:3 133:6	219:12 233:3
153:7 166:24	260:1,23	78:3,4,6,13 82:19 98:14 106:3	weapons 130:21	whos 74:23 210:16
137:2,22 146:13	195:23 196:2	69:7 74:18 77:17	233:4	whites 165:12
119:1 132:5 133:3	195:19,20,20,21	40:22 50:6 66:3	160:18 161:13	223:21
45:14 52:16 72:21	video 166:15	27:4 34:3 35:6	135:16 139:10	white 162:8 175:5
utilized 31:6 45:11	135:20	want 9:8 22:13	132:14,18 135:11	whereof 266:8
141:14,22 154:25	105:8 116:8	176:14	weapon 50:5,12	whereabouts 171:3
utilize 94:10 137:1	versus 7:5 85:25	walkietalkie 176:2	190:17	whatsoever 55:6
222:1	versions 147:21	walked 183:23	ways 93:3 143:6	228:5 251:22
171:9 174:19	version 147:16,25	walk 37:7 113:11	229:15 232:18	84:11 217:11
103:11 111:8	203:16	waived 259:7	213:4,5 222:6	whats 10:18,22
usually 23:10 94:24	verify 43:10 197:20	waive 260:10	188:6 206:22	wexler 143:8
uses 42:10 217:2,5	verbiage 148:13	257:1,1,1	151:6 171:20,25	214:3,25
users 135:4	168:16	wait 219:13,21,22	141:4 146:20	165:11 207:24
254:6,9,23	128:10,11,12	W	115:25 118:13	161:1 164:25
251:3 253:8,10,22	82:6 124:14 128:6	***	107:5 113:2	122:20 123:16
247:5 250:16	verbally 66:1 82:2	264:5	58:24 78:14 98:16	118:3 121:17
214:15,16 246:18	115:20 198:20	vs 1:9 2:13 260:7	way 26:11 46:1	weve 111:20,20
195:6,9 196:14,17	83:11 107:5	voluntary 69:12	waters 171:1	254:6 256:3
194:5,8,20 195:4	verbal 9:3,7,9	voluntarily 20:16	168:22 169:5,7	228:8 245:10
173:24 178:19	varying 168:11	volume 38:1	167:22 168:9,19	203:4 208:2,8
169:14 170:1	163:14 210:12	voices 239:23	watch 72:7 118:13	170:9 198:10
145:6 166:23	95:11 142:11	visavis 203:20	241:23	144:16 145:7

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 102 of 103 PageID #: 2268

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

	rage 300
219:4,7 221:19 61:11 69:8 75:11 22:17 33:9	9 63:3 yesterday 222:11 103 6:17 35:16 40:8
230:6 241:5 250:1 77:4 93:8 110:15 63:19 64:1	
250:5 258:18	
259:2,6,13 260:10	
260:12 263:1 181:16 110:11 114	Table
266:8 workmanship 33:1 126:14 138	·
witnessed 154:23 workplace 51:14 139:4 143:	
154:24 175:9 workproduct 179:7 145:3,5 14	, ,
woman 188:9 works 205:7 155:23 159	
wondering 112:4 workweek 68:22 164:11 165	
word 13:7 world 226:1 166:11 170	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
word 77:14,16 worth 64:7 178:6 178:2,13 1	
226:23,25 206:16,18 178.2,13 1 226:23,25 206:16,18 182:1,15 1	
word 116:8 117:7 worthless 183:4 193:17 194	
145:4 151:18 wouldnt 83:13 206:14 213	
194:11 106:21 110:23 220:3 221:	
worded 70:8 116:19 117:11 225:11,12	1 *
words 23:15 32:4 132:22 135:17,25 226:15 234	
54:11 140:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
157:13 241:25 205:5 245:7 238:9 245:	
work 10:19 13:10 252:15 248:1,12,1	
13:24 14:14 15:2 wrestling 135:23 240.1,12,13 252:9,21,2	' 7
15:4 16:10 17:1 write 148:13 255:25	135 224.24 145 6:21
27:22 43:13 68:10 236:17 year 10:13,1	lack
68:24 72:12,25 writing 82:4,7 year 10:13,1	
73:9 93:3 120:16 93:11 184:20 13.23 19.1 93:11 184:20 20:12,17 2	I 120.12 120.22 I
142:11 163:4	' I MM 2.5 25.16 MM.O I
142.11 163.4 256.7,9 25.18 29.1 165:24 171:14 written 21:10 52:8 64:23 68:4	1 42.5 70.32 140.4 I
173:8 179:6	166.17
173.8 179.6 32.13 83.8,9,13 71.17,18 7 180:11 181:16 83:18 84:19,24 86:5,12,18	3.10 0000 101.2 220.10 10 1.17 /3.19 121.3
182:23 254:18,20 85:4 92:17 109:2 93:14,16 9	0.92.22 0.405.00.0 01.14 121.0,12.200.0
256:14 129:14 151:25 94:24 97:2	14.22,22 004004460 250.5 203.3 204.13
worked 12:7,20,22 156:20 128:16 143	100 0.23
	$\frac{1}{2.20}$
	0 10:13 33:2,8,12,18 1070 16:0
	.9 36:15 37:1 5 40:5 1979 10.9
63:11 80:21 82:10 X 15:11 22:2	'
131.23	204.911.265.7
Worker 11.22 10.1	1106.2277.2124 12224.6525
213.10 21	160:22.25 [6]:11 52.666.22.22.24
working 10:5 12:13 Y 236:12,13,	161:12,18,20 77:24 104:13
12:19 14:7,24	1100 3:3 5:7 258:14 122 2 164 12
13.13 10.4 23.12 17.22 22 10.2 yening 210.1	260:4 265:10 238:13,15 257:14
29:6 44:3 55:24 17.22,23 19.3 yep 114:1	102 181:8
l l	

Case: 4:14-cv-01443-SNLJ Doc. #: 76-2 Filed: 06/01/16 Page: 103 of 103 PageID #: 2269

Tina Moore, et al. v. Brian Kaminski, et al.

Thomas Jackson September 18, 2015

_				Page 301
20 7:2 13:3 263:13	200:12 203:20	38 119:15 193:15	264:19 265:5	221:2 222:3 263:3
200 73:1	258:17 259:14	193:21,22	266:7	264:13
2010 12:11 29:2,6	260:2,6 263:3	39 194:19	63102 4:16 5:8	90 228:9
34:19 44:10 45:6	264:13		260:5 265:11	911 76:2
50:22 55:24 66:4	2016 20:20 259:15	4	64122 4:8	92 13:15
68:12 71:17 73:8	206 6:4	4 2:13,14 6:16		
73:15 78:24 84:13	207 6:5,6	78:18,21 79:22	7	
87:19 92:17 95:3	210 4:7	80:3 94:3,11,14	7 6:3,19 120:7,10	
103:20 130:23	211 4:15 264:18	40 68:18	121:4,7,13 168:4	
137:1,15 187:10	265:4	400 3:4 5:7 258:14	700 266:6	
187:15 188:7	21st 78:23	260:4 265:10	70s 17:25	
189:3 190:9	222 43:5	4050 4:15 264:18	72 6:15	
192:16 203:4	23rd 43:5	265:4	7200 4:9	
252:1 253:6	240 225:5,6	41 196:10	74 14:23 15:1	
2011 8:6 37:5 40:11	241 6:7	410 130:22 149:4	76 16:1	
43:6 50:22 73:1,5	2416750 260:25	152:5	78 6:16	
73:8,16 75:10,14	244 6:8	42 6:14	79 15:1	
77:10 81:4 85:5	24th 118:7,12	421 5:9		
94:25 95:4 109:16	250 6:9	46 204:9	8	
110:5 118:7,12	2500 4:17	4600 4:7	8 6:20 94:14 130:15	
132:6 133:22	255 6:10	49 77:24	130:19 132:2,18	
134:18 137:15	26 37:5 40:11	495 82:22	133:11 136:17	
146:3 152:2,15	259:15	4th 3:3 5:7 200:12	145:7 149:2	
153:8,20 154:11	27th 259:14	203:20	152:16 156:11,15	
154:20 155:25	28 187:2,6,7 260:2		157:1,2,4 166:22	
154.20 153.25	29 187:8,9	5	168:1,1 169:17,17	
158:15 159:13	27 107.0,7	5 6:17 103:13,16	81 13:13	
160:4,14,18	3	104:9,13,15	816 4:9	
161:12 169:3	3 6:15 37:1 53:10	118:21 119:1	85 13:13 201:23	
170:23 173:15	72:16,19,24 73:22	515 260:24 266:6	87 13:15	
176:20 195:21,24	166:17 220:20	531 4:9	88 13:15	
196:6 223:5	30 172:21 260:14	55 3:6 257:14	888 3:7 258:4	
225:11 227:20,23	301 78:23	5545 5:9	8th 200:18	
245:11 246:10	303 103:20 119:11	57 201:25		
2012 94:25 226:9	304 118:7	58 204:11	9	
2012 94:25 220.7 2013 94:25	30plus 22:21		9 3:5 6:21 7:2	
2013 94.23 2014 25:19 92:18	30th 73:1,5,8	6	145:14,18,21	
95:1 143:21	314 4:17 5:9 260:25	6 6:18 94:3,11	146:10,15,21	
192:13	32 77:21 164:13	103:20 117:23	147:6,17 148:1,4	
2015 1:17 3:6 7:3	34 238:13	118:2,6 119:3,15	148:13,20,23	
10:16 12:11 19:11	35 6:13	120:19 121:6	149:2,7 150:12	
34:20 40:12 44:10	36 93:14,16 217:13	130:23 133:10	151:3,7 152:3,4	
95:1 118:14	236:12,23 247:25	137:15 172:21,21	152:16 153:6,19	
180:14 189:20	37 238:15	621 4:17	155:24 156:16	
100.17 107.20	-	63101 260:24	157:4 220:19	
		<u> </u>	I	ı